



IOM COVID-19 IMPACT ON POINTS OF ENTRY

BI-WEEKLY ANALYSIS

24 NOVEMBER 2021

PUBLISHER

The opinions expressed in the report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the International Organization for Migration (IOM). The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout the report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IOM concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning its frontiers or boundaries.

IOM is committed to the principle that humane and orderly migration benefits migrants and society. As an intergovernmental organization, IOM acts with its partners in the international community to assist in meeting the operational challenges of migration, advance understanding of migration issues, encourage social and economic development through migration and uphold the human dignity and well-being of migrants.

Please send any feedback, comments and suggestions related to the Covid-19 Mobility Tracking dashboards and outputs to the DTM Covid-19 Team at dtm-covid19@iom.int

© 2021 International Organization for Migration (IOM)

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior written permission of the International Organization for Migration (IOM).

COVER PHOTO:

© IOM Afghanistan 2021

Dr Salma leads a health education session to curb the spread of COVID-19 among women in Afghanistan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
METHODOLOGY & DEFINITIONS	5
1. PoE SCOPE AND COVERAGE: NUMBERS AT A GLANCE	6
2. OVERVIEW OF AIRPORTS	7
3. OVERVIEW OF BLUE BORDER CROSSING POINTS	8
4. OVERVIEW OF LAND BORDER CROSSING POINTS	9
ANNEX	10

Executive summary

The current COVID-19 pandemic has affected global mobility both in terms of international mobility restrictions and restrictive measures on internal movement. To better understand how COVID-19 affects global mobility, IOM has developed a global mobility database to gather, map and track data on these restrictive measures impacting movement. The information in this report relies on a compilation of inputs from multiple sources, including from IOM staff in the field, DTM reports on flow monitoring and mobility tracking.

Points of Entry (PoEs):

- As 18 November 2021, a total of 4,534 PoEs were assessed in 184 C/T/As: 1,101 (24%) airports, 2,675 (59%) land border crossing points and 758 (17%) blue border crossing points.
- Overall, 10 per cent of the assessed PoEs were fully closed (no change compared to the previous reporting period), 29 per cent partially operational (no relative change compared to the previous report) and 53 per cent fully operational (a 1 p.p. increase compared to the previous reporting period), however the operational status of PoEs varied across IOM Regions and PoE types:
 - The IOM Region with the highest share of fully closed PoEs was West and Central Africa (15%, i.e. a 1 p.p. decrease compared to the previous reporting period), followed by South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia (13%, i.e. no change compared to the previous reporting period);
 - The European Economic Area remained the IOM Region with the highest percentage of fully operational PoEs (80%, i.e. no change compared to the previous reporting period), followed by East and Horn of Africa (71%, no change compared to the previous reporting period), South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia (56%, no change on a fortnightly basis), and Middle East and North Africa (55%; i.e. no change compared to the previous report);
 - About 13 per cent of the assessed land border crossing points, globally, were fully closed. For airports and blue border crossing points this percentage was reported as 6 and 5 per cent, respectively, with a slight increase recorded for airports compared to the previous report;
 - The share of fully operational locations slightly decreased for airports (68%, a 1 p.p. decrease compared to the previous reporting period), while it slightly increased for blue border crossing points (54%, a 1 p.p. increase compared to the previous reporting period) and land border crossings points (46%, a 1 p.p. increase compared to the previous reporting period).

Methodology & Definitions

To better understand and capture how COVID-19 affects global mobility, IOM has developed a global database used to map, track and analyse the impact the pandemic is having on Points of Entry (PoEs) and other key points and locations of internal mobility. This system for data collection and analysis, which has been operational since March 2020, is called Mobility and Restrictions Mapping (MRM) and was developed in phases responding to the evolution of the pandemic and the resulting restrictiveness at points of entry and locations of internal mobility. Until the end of August the system was in phase two and was composed of components, called modules, tailored to capture different information. This included modules for mapping PoE operational status and measures, as well as other modules related to observations at key locations of internal mobility. Modules related to internal mobility captured information on general COVID-19 measures within country contexts, internal mobility restrictions, the situation at in-country transit points and areas such as cities and provinces that have specific COVID-19 measures in place which may differ from those imposed at country level, and sites and locations with populations of interest (stranded foreigners/migrants and or internally displaced persons whose mobility was impacted due to COVID-19 measures).

Since the beginning of September 2020, phase three of the MRM is based on gradual improvements as well as on recommendations provided by users and key stakeholders. It is aimed at establishing a Global PoE Reference Database-master list, used as a baseline for other assessments. This will be a comprehensive list of all official PoEs worldwide and will expand on the original PoE module to capture information on the official and operational status of observed PoEs (airports, blue border crossing points and land border crossing points). It will be implemented in all countries, territories and areas currently captured in the database but will aim to reach every country in the world and all PoEs. All data collected through previous versions of the module since March 2020 will continue to be updated regularly.

Through phase three, data collected for key locations of internal mobility (in countries, areas or sites with populations of interest) will continue to be collected and processed through the existing modules and will continue to be functional in the improved version of the MRM system.

The Points of Entry Bi-Weekly Analysis report and the Key Locations of Internal Mobility Monthly Analysis report serve to present an overview of these COVID-19 related changes observed at the assessed airports, blue border crossing points, land border crossing points, as well as at internal transit points, and other key locations of internal mobility.

The IOM COVID-19 Impact on Points of Entry Bi-Weekly Analysis is meant to serve IOM Member States, IOM, UN and voluntary partner agencies, the civil society, including media, as well as the general population in analysing the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on PoEs. It is particularly relevant when identifying and addressing specific needs faced by migrants and mobile populations, disproportionately affected by the global mobility restrictions.

The report is based on information provided by IOM field staff, using resources available at the IOM country office level and is accurate to the best of IOM's knowledge at the time of compilation. All information is being constantly validated, including the geo-location and attributes, and through regular assessments and triangulation of information. The updates depend on the time frame within which the information becomes available and is processed by IOM. For this reason, the analysis is always dated and timestamped in order to reflect the reality at a given time. However, as the situation continuously evolves and changes, despite IOM's best efforts, the analysis may not always accurately reflect the multiple and simultaneous restrictive measures being imposed at a specific location.

This report provides an overview and analysis on the data from a global and regional perspective of PoEs. For more detailed country-specific information and dataset used for the analysis please visit: <https://migration.iom.int/>

Methodology & Definitions

As the situation of the COVID-19 pandemic continues to evolve, the resulting restrictive measures issued to mitigate the spread, have become increasingly complex and varied. The IOM database monitoring the impact on points of entry has been updated in a way which reflects the varied stages of measures issued at different times by countries, territories or areas. As such, the evolution of global restrictive measures, has resulted in varied update timelines and can explain the difference in monthly updates. Data has been collected between 13 March 2020 and 18 November 2021. Data for 14 per cent of the PoEs have been updated since the beginning of November, with 22 per cent of the PoEs have been updated during the month of October, 10 per cent in September and 46 per cent in August. The remaining 8 per cent of the PoEs have been updated before August 2021. For more information see Table 1.2 in the Annex.

For further information on the methodology, definitions and explanation please refer to the [Methodology Framework](#).

Regional maps are available [here](#).

Data is collected on the following location types:

- Airports (currently or recently functioning airport with a designated International Air Transport Association (IATA) code)
- Blue Border Crossing Points (international border crossing point on sea, river or lake)
- Land Border Crossing Points (international border crossing point on land, including rail)

The following operational status is captured for each assessed PoE:

- Fully operational:
 - Open for entry and exit: all travelers can use the PoE.
- Partially operational:
 - Open for commercial traffic only: only transport of goods is permitted, travelers are not allowed to cross;
 - Closed for entry: travelers cannot use this location to enter the country, territory or area;
 - Closed for exit: travelers cannot use this PoE to leave the country, territory or area;
 - Open for returning nationals and residents only: the PoE is open to returning nationals and residents only, including military and humanitarian personnel and other special groups for whom entry and exit is permitted according to national procedures in place.
- Fully closed:
 - Closed for both entry and exit: no one is permitted to use the PoE.
- Unknown

List of acronyms used throughout the report

- C/T/As: countries, territories or areas
- DTM: Displacement Tracking Matrix
- IBM: Immigration and Border Management
- IDPs: Internally Displaced Persons
- MRM: Mobility and Restrictions Mapping
- PoE: Point of Entry
- p.p.: Percentage Point¹
- SOPs: Standard Operating Procedures

Data is geographically aggregated by IOM Regional Offices. The list of countries under each IOM Regional Office can be found here: <https://www.iom.int/regional-offices>

1. Not to be confused with per cent, percentage point (p.p.) refers to an increase or decrease of a percentage rather than an increase or decrease in the raw number.

I. PoE Scope and Coverage: Numbers at a glance

4,534

Assessed Points of Entry

184

Assessed C/T/As


To better understand how COVID-19 has affected the various travel restrictions and disruptions hampering global mobility, this report looks at IOM's global mobility database to map and gather data on the locations, status and different restrictions at PoEs globally, including airports, blue border crossing points and land border crossing points. This report also looks at the impacts on stranded migrants and other populations such as tourists who are affected by the changes in mobility measures using a compilation of inputs from multiple sources, including from IOM staff in the field, DTM reports on flow monitoring and mobility tracking as well as from trusted media sources.

The IOM COVID-19 Impact on Points of Entry Bi-Weekly Analysis report provides an overview and analysis on the data from a global and regional perspective, using data updated as of **18 November 2021**.

IOM has assessed **4,534** total PoEs in **184 countries, territories and areas**, so far. Of these PoEs, 2,675 (59%) were land border crossing points, 1,101 (24%) were airports and 758 (17%) were blue border crossing points (sea-, river and lake ports). More details can be found in Table 1 in the Annex.

Of all assessed PoEs, **10 per cent** were reported as **fully closed** and **53 per cent** were reported to be **fully operational**. Another **29 per cent** were **partially operational**. At the regional level, **West and Central Africa** was the region with the highest percentage of fully closed assessed PoEs (15% out of 530), followed by South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia (13% out of 899). Conversely, European Economic Area, Central and North America and the Caribbean, and South America were the regions with the lowest percentage of fully closed assessed PoEs (6% out of 902, 6% out of 432, and 6% out of 125 respectively). More details can be found in the Annex, in Table 1 and 2.

Global map of assessed PoEs and their operational status



Disclaimer: This map is for illustration purpose only. The boundaries and the names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by IOM.


2. Overview of Airports

IOM assessed **1,101 airports** in **181 countries, territories and areas**. Of the assessed airports, **6 per cent** or 61 airports were reported to be **fully closed** (a 1 p.p. increase compared to the previous report). Airports with **partially operational** status were reported for **17 per cent** or 185 airports (a 1 p.p. increase compared to the previous report). For **68 per cent** (754 airports) of the assessed airports, the operational status was reported to be **fully operational** (a 1 p.p. decrease compared to the previous report). Information was not available for the remaining 9 per cent (no change on a fortnightly basis) of assessed airports (for more details, see Table 3 in the Annex).


The IOM region that reported the highest percentage of fully closed airports was South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia with 30 out of 202 closed airports or 15 per cent (no change over the previous update). It was followed by South America (6 out of 46, 13% of the assessed airports in the region, no change compared to the previous report). Conversely, East and Horn of Africa (91% of fully operational airports, 73 out of 80, i.e. no change compared to the previous report) and the European Economic Area (90 per cent of the total or 182 out of 202 fully operational, a 1 p.p. decrease compared to the previous report) remained the regions with the highest proportion of fully operational airports. They were followed by Central and West Africa (82% of fully operational locations, i.e. a 4 p.p. decrease compared to the previous report).

Operational status at assessed airports

- Fully closed
- Fully operational
- Partially operational
- Unknown



Global map of assessed airports and their operational status




Disclaimer: This map is for illustration purpose only. The boundaries and the names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by IOM.

3. Overview of Blue Border Crossing Points (sea-, river and lake ports)


IOM assessed a total of **758 blue border crossing points in 119 countries, territories and areas**. The operational status of the assessed blue border crossing points varied slightly in the past two weeks. **Five per cent** were reported to be **fully closed** (no relative change compared to the previous report), while the portion of **partially operational blue border crossing points** was reported at **31 per cent** (a 1 p.p. decrease compared to the previous reporting period). Finally, **54 per cent** of the locations were reported as **fully operational** (a 1 p.p. increase compared to the previous report). Information was not available for 10 per cent of the locations (for more details, see Table 3 in the Annex).

Central America, North America and the Caribbean was the IOM region with the highest share of fully closed blue border crossing points (17 out of 44, 39% of the total, no change compared to the previous report), followed by Southern Africa, with 11 per cent (4 out of 38 assessed blue border points in the region, no change compared to the previous reporting period). The European Economic Area region continued to be the IOM region with the highest share of fully operational blue border crossing points with 140 fully operational locations out of the 147 assessed blue border crossing points in the region (95% of the total, no change compared to the previous report). The region with the second highest share of fully operational blue border crossing points was East and Horn of Africa, with 88 per cent or 77 out of 88 ports reported as fully operational, followed by Central and west Africa (46 out of 62, 64% of the total) and South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia (71 out of 123, 58% of the total).

Operational status at assessed blue border crossing points



Global map of assessed blue border crossing points and their operational status



PoE by operational status

- Fully Closed
- Fully Operational
- Unknown
- Partially Operational

Disclaimer: This map is for illustration purpose only. The boundaries and the names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by IOM.

4. Overview of Land Border Crossing Points


Among the **2,675 assessed land border crossing points** in 135 countries, territories or areas, the **fully closed** and **partially operational** made up **13** and **33 per cent** of the total, respectively, while **46 per cent** of the assessed locations were **fully operational** without any restriction. The operational status of the remaining 8 per cent was unknown. Compared to the previous report, an increase of 1 p.p. was recorded in fully operational land border crossing points, while a contemporaneous decrease of 1 p.p. was recorded in locations whose status is unknown (for more details, see Table 3 in the Annex).

Asia and the Pacific (53 out of 244, 22%, i.e. no change compared to the previous reporting period) and West and Central Africa (74 out of 406, 18%, i.e. a 1 p.p. decrease compared to the previous reporting period) remained the IOM regions reporting the highest share of fully closed land border crossing points. Other IOM regions with a significant proportion of fully closed land border crossing points include East and Horn of Africa (35 out of 213, 16%, i.e. no change compared to the previous report) and South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia (87 out of 571, 15%, i.e. no change compared to the previous report).


The highest percentage of fully operational land border crossing points among IOM regions remained in the European Economic Area with 403 out of the 553 assessed land border crossing points that are currently fully operational (73%, a 1 p.p. increase compared to the previous reporting period), followed by East and Horn of Africa (122 out of 213 locations, 57% of the total, i.e. no change compared to the previous report), Middle East and North Africa (69 out of 128, 54%, i.e. no change compared to the previous report), and South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia (278 out of 571, 49% of the total, i.e. no change on a fortnightly basis).

Operational status at assessed land border crossing point

- Fully closed
- Fully operational
- Partially operational
- Unknown



Global map of assessed land border crossing points and their operational status



PoE by operational status

- Fully Closed
- Fully Operational
- Unknown
- Partially Operational

Disclaimer: This map is for illustration purpose only. The boundaries and the names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by IOM.

Annex: Tables

Table I: Number (#) and percentage (%) of assessed Points of Entry by type and IOM region

Region	Total		Airports		Land border crossing points		Blue border crossing points		No. of C/T/A
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Asia and the Pacific	657	100%	232	35%	244	37%	181	28%	39
Central and North America and the Caribbean	432	100%	125	29%	263	61%	44	10%	22
West and Central Africa	530	100%	51	10%	407	77%	72	14%	22
East and Horn of Africa	381	100%	80	21%	213	56%	88	23%	9
European Economic Area	902	100%	202	22%	553	61%	147	16%	30
Middle East and North Africa	263	100%	80	30%	128	49%	55	21%	17
South America	125	100%	46	37%	69	55%	10	8%	10
South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia	899	100%	202	22%	574	64%	123	14%	20
Southern Africa	345	100%	83	24%	224	65%	38	11%	15
Total	4534	100%	1101	24%	2675	59%	758	17%	184

*Table I.2: Percentage of update of PoE data by month

Location Type	July 2021	July 2021 (%)	August 2021	August 2021 (%)	September 2021	September 2021 (%)
Airport	73	7%	399	36%	209	19%
Blue border crossing point	76	10%	303	40%	152	20%
Land border crossing point	229	9%	1364	51%	114	4%
Total	378	8%	2066	46%	475	10%

Location Type	October 2021	October 2021 (%)	November 2021	November 2021 (%)	Total	Total (%)
Airport	206	19%	212	19%	1101	100%
Blue border crossing point	103	14%	124	16%	758	100%
Land border crossing point	673	25%	285	11%	2675	100%
Total	982	22%	621	14%	4534	100%

*For illustration and readability purposes, the columns for the period June 2020 – June 2021 were not included as all of them did not had a PoE update, except 10 Land border crossing points (<1%) for June 2020, one Land border crossing point and one airport for the month of January 2021, and one Land border crossing point and one airport for the month of April 2021

Annex: Tables

Table 2: Number (#) and percentage (%) of assessed PoEs by operational status and IOM region

Region	Fully closed		Partially operational		Fully operational		Unknown		Total
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Asia and the Pacific	75	11%	273	42%	136	21%	173	26%	657
Central and North America and the Caribbean	24	6%	247	57%	152	35%	9	2%	432
West and Central Africa	80	15%	184	35%	247	47%	19	4%	530
East and Horn of Africa	35	9%	72	19%	272	71%	2	1%	381
European Economic Area	53	6%	16	2%	725	80%	108	12%	902
Middle East and North Africa	19	7%	81	31%	145	55%	18	7%	263
South America	8	6%	63	50%	52	42%	2	2%	125
South-Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe and Central Asia	118	13%	225	25%	507	56%	49	5%	899
Southern Africa	37	11%	137	40%	158	46%	13	4%	345
Total	449	10%	1298	29%	2394	53%	393	9%	4534

Table 3: Number (#) and percentage (%) of assessed PoEs by operational status and type

Location Type	Fully closed		Partially operational		Fully operational		Unknown		Total
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Airport	61	6%	185	17%	754	68%	101	9%	1101
Blue border crossing point	38	5%	236	31%	411	54%	73	10%	758
Land border crossing point	350	13%	877	33%	1229	46%	219	8%	2675
Total	449	10%	1298	29%	2394	53%	393	9%	4534