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## INTRODUCTION

## Background: Population Mobility and Internal Displacement in Ethiopia

Ethiopia faces significant internal displacement. In 2018, Ethiopia recorded the third highest number of new displacements worldwide, with 3,191,000 internally displaced persons (IDPs) identified. ${ }^{1}$ A significant portion of these displacements are conflict-induced, largely related to ethnic and border-based disputes. Old tensions such as the contestation of the Oromia-Somali regional border which first flared up in 2017 continue to persist, while new conflicts have also emerged. In April and later in June 2018, conflict which was aggravated by competition for land and resources broke out between Gedeo and Guji Oromo tribes in West Guji. It is estimated that by August 2018, 748,499 IDPs were displaced from the Gedeo-West Guji conflict alone. ${ }^{2}$ Simultaneously, a localized conflict in Benishangul Gumuz region and the East and West Wellega zones of Oromia region displaced an estimated 191,995 IDPs. ${ }^{3}$ This brought displacement in Ethiopia to a peak of 3.04 million IDPs in March 2019.

Ethiopia is also riddled with climate-induced displacement mainly caused by drought and floods. Beginning in 2015, Ethiopia faced one of the strongest onsets of El Niño, a periodic heating of the eastern tropical Pacific, which reduced the kiremt rainfall ${ }^{4}$ and successively resulted in drought in the Southern and Southeastern parts of the country. ${ }^{5}$ This prolonged drought continued to impact agricultural and pastoralist communities across Ethiopia in 2019 by driving down crop yields of the main meher harvest ${ }^{6}$, reduced pastures for livestock, and dried up water resources. Floods are another major cause of climate-induced displacement. While certain areas experienced reduced rainfall, others experienced heavy rainfall and floods during the kiremt rainy season in many low laying areas. Around 202,202 IDPs were displaced in October 2019 due to several flood incidences in Afar, Oromia, SNNPR and Somali regions ${ }^{7}$.

Adding to the high mobility landscape of Ethiopia is the number and rate of returns. According to the government, 1.8 million IDPs have returned to their place of origin as of June 2019. This nationwide, government-led return operation has been ongoing since April 2019. ${ }^{8}$

Since June 2019, Ethiopia has been combating a desert locust invasion which is reportedly the worst the country has seen in 25 years. As of January 2020, hopper bands had covered more than $429 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ worth of crops and vegetation. ${ }^{9}$ Since arriving in the country, the desert locusts have bred and produced millions of hoppers, placing additional strain on food security and livelihoods. If left uncontrolled, this could lead to 500 times more locusts than at present. ${ }^{10}$

[^0]In March 2020, the Ministry of Health confirmed the first COVID-19 case in Ethiopia. Since then, the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases has increased to 217,327 cases as of 4 April 2021. ${ }^{14}$ The spread of COVID-19 and regulations to curb it has caused unemployment and has exacerbated the food insecurity situation in the country. On 29 June 2020, the killing of a popular musician in Addis Ababa sparked civil unrest across Oromia region. ${ }^{15}$

In early November 2020, the regional party of Tigray allegedly attacked the Northern Command of Ethiopia's National Defense Force in Mekelle, Tigray region, prompting a military offensive from the federal government of Ethiopia. Following this, conflict broke out in the North of Ethiopia and it is estimated that more than a million IDPs have been displaced due to the conflict. ${ }^{16}$

## IOM Population Observation in Ethiopia

In order to capture population mobility and internal displacement in Ethiopia, IOM's Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) is deployed to track internally displaced persons (IDPs), returning IDPs, migrants and returned migrants. IOM entered into partnership with the Government of Ethiopia's National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC) in August 2016, and has since been regularly implementing DTM assessments in Ethiopia since September 2016.

DTM tools that are active in Ethiopia, include a quarterly Site Assessment which focuses on tracking internal displacement and a quarterly Village Assessment Survey (VAS) aimed at tracking returns. Site Assessment covers areas where there are reports of 20 or more IDP households, whereas VAS covers areas where there are reportedly 5 or more returning IDP households. In addition, a monthly Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) tool has been deployed to cover crises with significant displacement, such as the Northern Ethiopia Crisis.

Figure 1 illustrates DTM's coverage of its Site Assessment, Village Assessment Survey and Emergency Site Assessment tools during December 2020 and January 2021's data collection. The areas in blue represent areas that were exclusively covered by Site Assessment, while the areas in green represent areas where both Site Assessment and VAS were deployed. The areas in yellow represent areas that were only covered by VAS, while the areas covered in pink represent areas covered exclusively by the Emergency Site Assessment. Notably, this is the first time DTM obtained access to cover Addis Ababa with the Site Assessment tool.


Figure 1: Geographic coverage of DTM assessments in December 2020 and January 2021.

[^1]
## "In order to overcome

 the fuel shortage issue in Tigray region, DTM teams collected data across the collective centers by traveling by bicycle in Shire."
## Section I

## Site Assessment

## KEY FINDINGS — SITE ASSESSMENT



Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs)

## Reasons for Displacement



331,963 drought displaced IDPs (17\%) in 237 sites

169,662 flash flood displaced IDPs
50.4\% male 988,652 males

49.6\% female 971,145 females


1,208,716 conflict displaced IDPs (62\%) in 790 sites
(9\%) in 72 sites
Other reasons for displacement included: Seasonal Floods (114,496 IDPs), Social Tension (91,822 IDPs), Landslides (16,963 IDPs), Swampy Lands ( 13,655 IDPs), Hydropower Projects ( 5,597 IDPs), Strong Winds (4,364 IDPs), Volcanoes (2,016 IDPs), Economic Factors (390 IDPs) and Absolute Poverty ( 153 IDPs).

## Top 3 Zones With the Highest Displacement Figures



## Newly Arrived IDPs

Defined as arrived in the last 2 months


Of the newly arrived IDPs, estimated number displaced in their kebele of displacement


24,150 households

[^2]
## METHODOLOGY

IOM Ethiopia employs a series of tools in its DTM. The present methodology refers to the Site Assessment tool which falls under the Mobility Tracking component of the DTM. Data for this tool is collected at the zonal, woreda and site level on a quarterly basis. Since September 2016, IOM Ethiopia has conducted 24 rounds of data collection. The DTM programme is implemented in close collaboration with the National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC) and regional, zonal and woreda counterparts.

IOM's DTM team is composed of 140 field enumerators, under the direct supervision of 13 field focal points, and the overall supervision of the DTM team based at IOM's Addis Ababa Country Office. The data collection methodology is divided into three administrative levels:

Zone level: Data is collected through interviews with key informants from the Disaster Risk Management Office (DRMO), community representatives, and the education and health offices. This data includes the estimated number of displaced individuals, a list of woredas within the zone that hosts displaced persons, reasons for displacement, time of arrival of IDPs and their locations.

## Coverage of this round



| J |
| :---: |
| $\times$ |Data collection:

1 December 2020 - 10 January 2021
Woreda level: Information is collected through key informants at woreda level and data collected includes number of displaced households and individuals at woreda level, site typology and approximate locations of identifiable displacement sites among other indicators. The information is used to plan the roll out of the Site Assessment.

Site level: In-depth Site Assessments capture detailed information through focus group discussions, key informant interviews and direct observation. The focus group discussions are made up of 7 individuals comprising of male, female, elderly and youth IDP representatives. The Site Assessment is conducted at sites where there are 20 IDP households or more. Data on available services by sector, accessibility constraints, exact type, location and name of the site, place of origin of IDPs, estimated size and type of the site and most common type of shelter are captured. Age and gender disaggregation for the site is extrapolated using a demographic calculator tool based on the age range and sex of the household members. A total of 30 IDP households are sampled at each site. However, all households are sampled for sites where there are fewer than 30 IDP households.


Figure 3: The map depicts the percentage of sites assessed by DTM at zonal level for this round.


## 126 Inaccessible Sites

Data was not merged from previous rounds for uncovered sites. This methodology was implemented as of round 18 onwards. For round 24,100 sites had security issues, mainly in Metekel, West Wallega, East Wallega, Kelem Wallega, East Hararge, Guji, West Guji, Borena and Sheka zones. 71 sites were inaccessible due to road issues and this was primarily in Bale, East Bale, West Guji, Liben and Wolayita zones.

## OVERVIEW OF DISPLACEMENT

## National Level



Figure 4: The displacement caseload in Ethiopia based on data collected in December 2020 and January 2021 through Site Assessment round 24 and Emergency Site Assessment round 2.

According to data collected through the Site Assessment round 24 in December 2020 and January 2021, 1.96 million IDPs (338,109 households) were internally displaced across 1,222 accessible sites in Ethiopia. This reflects a $6.1 \%$ increase of 113,246 IDPs since the previous round's data collection in August and September 2020.

The gender breakdown of IDPs is fairly equal with $50.4 \%$ males and $49.6 \%$ females. Conflict remains the primary cause of displacement and displaced 1.12 million IDPs (62\%), while drought displaced 331,963 IDPs (17\%), flash floods displaced 169,662 IDPs (9\%), seasonal floods displaced 114,496 IDPs (6\%) and social tension displaced 91,822 IDPs (5\%).

Factors preventing return as cited by respondents include a lack of food and livelihoods in 915 sites ( $75 \%$ ) and 883 sites ( $72 \%$ ) respectively, followed by
damaged or destroyed houses in 840 sites (69\%). The main form of support requested to help resolve displacement was economic opportunities across 1,128 sites ( $92 \%$ ), followed by the restoration of lost assets in 1,007 sites ( $82 \%$ ).

Additionally, the Northern Ethiopia Crisis broke out in November 2020 and displacement caused by this crisis was captured through the Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 2 which was conducted in December and January 2021. Through this assessment, a total of 131,590 IDPs (30,383 households) were identified to be displaced across 39 accessible sites in Tigray, Afar and Amhara regions. However, it is important to state that this does not reflect the total number of persons displaced due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis as a lack of access and insecurity were considerable challenges during the time of data collection.

## National Displacement Timeline



Figure 5: Number of IDPs identified nationwide by DTM since January-February 2019 (round 15). Round totals include displacement data captured through previously conducted Rapid Response Assessments and the ongoing Emergency Site Assessments.

IOM Ethiopia has been collecting data on internal displacement via its Site Assessment tool for 24 rounds now. Round 16 (March-April 2019) recorded the highest displacement total captured through DTM with 3.04 million IDPs. During this round, the Rapid Response Assessment (RRA) in Gedeo and West captured anestimation of 690,364 IDPs, while the RRA in East and West Wellega captured 158,385 IDPs.

Since then, round 17 (May-June 2019), round 18 (JulyAugust 2019) and round 19 (September-October) have witnessed drastic declines in displacement figures due to government-led return operations beginning in April 2019. However, round 20 (NovemberDecember 2019) and round 21 (February-March 2020) experienced increases in the number of IDPs to 1.73 million IDPs and 1.74 million IDPs respectively.

In round 22 (June—July 2020), the total number of IDPs increased to 1.82 million but this can be largely explained by the new coverage of SNNPR and Sidama region which additionally captured 93,982 IDPs. There was only a marginal increase in round 23 (AugustSeptember 2020) to 1.84 million IDPs.

In round 24 (December 2020-January 2021), the total number of IDPs incrceased to 2.1 million. This increase can be expained by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis which broke out in November 2020. 131,590 IDPs could be verified thus far and found to be displaced through the roll out of the Emergency Site Assessment. DTM is working to expand its assessment coverage in Tigray region and it is very likely that the number of IDPs will increase substantially following better access in the coming rounds.

## Regional Level

Addis Ābaba


## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


1,854 households comprised of 5,854 IDPs were identified in 2 sites in Addis Ababa. Gelan Kersa site is in Woreda 11 in Akaki Sub City and has 5,486 IDPs (1,754 households), while Kilinto Condominium site is in Woreda 9 in Akaki Sub City and has 368 IDPs (100 households). This is the first time Site Assessment was conducted for Addis Ababa and DTM is working to expand its coverage of Addis Ababa. Conflict was the primary cause of displacement for all 5,854 IDPs.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 69 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 201 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 15 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> under 18 | 2 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> over 18 | 7 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 30 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 8 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 13 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Single-child head- <br> ed Households | 8 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 11 |

## Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Addis Ababa


*Since this is the first time DTM is covering Addis Ababa, please note that this is not reflective of the displacement total for the capital. However, DTM is working to improve its coverage of Addis Ababa in the coming rounds.

## Site Assessment



## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs



21,847 households comprised of 127,356 IDPs were identified in 90 sites in Afar region. These figures represent a decrease of 20,695 IDPs ( $-14 \%$ ) since round 23 (August-September 2020). This decrease is due to the return of flood-displaced IDPs to their places of origin following the end of the long rainy season which also resulted in the closure of 17 IDP sites this round. Flash floods were the primary cause of displacement for an estimated 58,606 IDPs, or $46 \%$ of the displaced population. This is followed by conflict which displaced 29,440 IDPs ( $23 \%$ ) and drought which displaced 17,850 IDPs (14\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 38 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 161 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 1,398 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 86 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 2,089 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 18 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> under 18 | 183 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 103 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> over 18 | 366 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 392 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 4 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 163 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Single-child head- <br> ed Households | 5 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 181 |

## Emergency Site Assessment



34,091 IDPs tracked by ESA round 2

6,320 IDP households
tracked by ESA round 2
17 sites tracked by ESA
round 2

Conflict due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis displaced 34,091 IDPs in Afar

The Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 2 was conducted to capture internal displacement caused by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis and was carried out from 11 December-14 January 2021.

The ESA is a multisectoral location assessment which assesses the number of IDPs and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.

Site Assessment \& Emergency Site Assessment


Figure 7: Displacement figures for Afar region based on Site Assessment round 24 and Emergency Site Assessment round 2.

## Site Assessment

## Amhara

 an estimated 69,479 IDPs, or 99\% of the displaced population. This

| 70,041 IDPs <br> 15,470 displaced households <br> 84 sites covered <br> Conflict was the primary reason for displacement and displaced 69,479 IDPs (99\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex and age breakdown of IDPs |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pregnant women over 18 | 758 | Unaccompanied children | 4 |
|  | Breastfeeding mothers | 2,795 | Separated children | 7 |
| 33,515 males $\quad 36,526$ females Male $■$ Female <br> 15,470 households comprised of 70,041 IDPs were identified in | Persons with mental or physical disabilities under 18 | 125 | Orphaned children | 24 |
| 46,700 IDPs (+200\%) since round 23 (August-September 2020). This increase is mainly due to the new arrival of IDPs in Awi, North She- | Persons with mental or physical disabilities over 18 | 189 | Single-female headed households | 1,440 |
| gions which resulted in the opening of 30 new IDP sites this round. | Persons with chronic diseases | 109 | Single-male headed households | 656 |
| As of 5 January 2021, 35,799 IDPs were found to be displaced in Awi zone due to conflict with a majority of them being displaced | Religious minorities | 0 | Child-headed households | 48 |
| in Chagni Ranch site and coming from Metekel zone in Benishangul Gumz region. Conflict was the primary reason for displacement for | Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed households | 103 |

Sex and age breakdown of IDPs
 33,515 males


- Male - Female

15,470 households comprised of 70,041 IDPs were identified in 84 sites in Amhara region. These figures represent an increase of 46,700 IDPs (+200\%) since round 23 (August-September 2020). This increase is mainly due to the new arrival of IDPs in Awi, North Shewa and South Gondar zones from Benishangul Gumz and Oromia regions which resulted in the opening of 30 new IDP sites this round. As of 5 January 2021, 35,799 IDPs were found to be displaced in Awi zone due to conflict with a majority of them being displaced in Chagni Ranch site and coming from Metekel zone in Benishangul Gumz region. Conflict was the primary reason for displacement for


## Emergency Site Assessment



6,453 IDPs tracked by ESA round 2

3,533 IDP households tracked by ESA round 2


Conflict due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis displaced 6,453 IDPs in Amhara

The Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 2 was conducted to capture internal displacement caused by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis and was carried out from 11 December-14 January 2021.

The ESA is a multisectoral location assessment which assesses the number of IDPs and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.
8

Site Assessment \& Emergency Site Assessment


Figure 8: Displacement figures for Amhara region based on Site Assessment round 24 and Emergency Site Assessment round 2.

## Benishangul Gumz



## 874 displaced households

Conflict was the primary reason for displacement and displaced 3,896 IDPs (100\%)

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


874 households comprised of 3,896 IDPs were identified in 13 sites in Benishangul Gumz region. These figures represent a decrease of 4,416 IDPs (-53.1\%) since round 23 (August/September 2020). This substantial decrease was mainly due to the inaccessibility of Metekel zone due to insecurity. Conflict was the cause of displacement for an estimated 3,896 IDPs, or $100 \%$ of the displaced population.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 59 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 185 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 41 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 9 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 18 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 33 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 29 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 6 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 17 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 3 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 45 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Benishangul Gumz


Figure 9: Displacement figures for Benishangul Gumz region in round 24.

## Dire Dawa

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $+0.9 \%$ |  |
| IDPs | 2 sites |
| covered | conflict was the primary reason for displacement |

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs



954 households comprised of 4,921 IDPs were identified in 2 sites in Dire Dawa. These figures represent an increase of 46 IDPs (+0.9\%) since round 23 (August-September 2020 ). Conflict was the main reason for displacement for 4,921 IDPs, or $100 \%$ of the displaced population.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 89 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 120 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 22 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 0 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 41 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 0 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 0 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 0 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Dire Dawa


## Gambela




## 5,622 displaced households

Conflict was the primary reason for displace-
ment and displaced 38,208 IDPs ( $91 \%$ )
Sex and age breakdown of IDPs

5,622 households comprised of 42,020 IDPs were identified in 15 sites in Gambela region. These figures represent an increase of 5,301 IDPs (+14.4\%) since round 23 (August-September 2020). This increase is mainly due to the coverage of 2 new sites, namely Alerie ( 335 households) and Wibur Primary School (165 households). Conflict was the main reason for displacement for an estimated 38,208 IDPs, or 91\% of the displaced populations. The remaining 3,812 IDPs (19\%) were displaced due to seasonal floods.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 69 | Elderly persons <br> without care givers | 55 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pregnant wom- <br> en over 18 | 478 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 14 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 906 | Separated children | 68 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> under 18 | 82 | Orphaned children | 95 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> over 18 | 117 | Single-female <br> headed households | 69 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 33 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 92 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Single-child headed <br> Households | 0 |
| Ethnic minori- <br> ties | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 272 |



Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Gambela


Figure 11: Displacement figures for Gambela region in round 24.


Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Harari



Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Oromia


Figure 13: Displacement figures for Oromia region in round 24.

4,888 displaced households
Conflict was the primary reason for displacement and displaced 28,468 IDPs (96\%)
Sex and age breakdown of IDPs

4,888 households comprised of 29,556 IDPs were identified in 18 sites in Sidama. These figures represent a decrease of 1,507 IDPs (-4.9\%) since round 23 (August-September 2020). Conflict was the primary reason for displacement for an estimated 28,468 IDPs (96\%), followed by flash floods which displaced 1,088 IDPs (4\%).

| Pregnant girls under <br> 18 | 5 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 347 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 85 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 562 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 103 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties under 18 | 21 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 157 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties over 18 | 52 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 91 |
| Persons with chron- <br> ic diseases | 76 | Child-headed <br> households | 6 |
| Minorities | 3 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 135 |
| Elderly persons <br> without care givers | 15 |  |  |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Sidama

*The administrative breakdown of zones and woredas in Sidama region is a temporary arrangement as agreed with Sidama Regional Disaster Risk Management Commission until all administrative areas and boundaries have been finalised by the regional government.
Figure 14: Displacement figures for Sidama region in round 24.

Sex and age breakdown of IDPs

41,946 households comprised of 228,823 IDPs were identified in 130 sites in SNNP region. These figures represent a significant increase of 102,676 IDPs ( $+81.4 \%$ ) since round 23 (August-September 2020). The increase in IDPs is mainly due to the conflict in Konso zone where DTM was able to track 91,571 IDPs across 22 accessible sites. However, a number of sites were inaccessible due to insecurity in Sheka and Konso zones and road inaccessibility issues in Wolayita zone. Conflict was the primary reason for displacement for 149,016 IDPs (65.1\%), followed by flash floods which displaced

| Pregnant girls under <br> 18 | 21 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 65 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 7,910 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 1,623 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 11,190 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 4,091 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties under 18 | 505 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 5,701 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties over 18 | 1,407 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 1,207 |
| Persons with chron- <br> ic diseases | 1,594 | Child-headed <br> households | 280 |
| Minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 2,630 |
| Elderly persons <br> without care givers | 2,037 |  |  | 69,843 IDPs (30.5\%), landslides which displaced 9,338 IDPs (4.1\%) and seasonal floods which displaced 626 IDPs ( $0.3 \%$ ).

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in SNNP Region


Figure 15: Displacement figures for SNNP region in round 24.


Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Somali


## Emergecny Site Assessment

## Tigray

This was tracked
using the
Emergency Site
Assessment
Tigray
20,530 displaced households identified by ESA round 2
Conflict due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis displaced 91,046 IDPs ( $100 \%$ )

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs



20,530 households comprised of 91,046 IDPs were identified in 13 accessible sites in Tigray region using the Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 2. These IDPs were displaced due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis. DTM managed to gain access to Shire, Dansha, Enderta,

| Pregnant women | 1,628 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 81 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 2,471 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 1,182 |
| Persons with men- <br> tal disabilities | 118 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 1,277 |
| Persons with phys- <br> ical disabilities | 476 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 3,546 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 2,579 | Child-headed <br> households | 97 | Mekelle (Hadnet Sub City only), Raya Azebo, Raya Alamata, Raya Chercher zones to conduct its Emergency Site Assessment. However, the rest of Tigray was inaccessible due to security and access constraints during the time of data collection. Conflict was the main reason for displacement for all 91,046 IDPs (100\%).

## Emergency Site Assessment

The Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 2 was conducted to capture internal displacement caused by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis and was carried out from 11 December-14 January 2021.

The ESA is a multisectoral location assessment which assesses the number of IDPs and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.

Due to the nature of the ongoing crisis, only the Emergency Site Assessment was conducted in Tigray region as it is a shorter assessment than the Site Asssessment thus allowing for more efficient data collection and due to its higher frequency of being rolled out on a monthly basis as compared to a quarterly basis.


Figure 17: Displacement figures for Tigray region are based on Emergency Site Assessment round 2.

## MOBILITY

Number of Sites With Newly Arrived IDPs


Figure 18: Number of sites hosting IDPs who newly arrived within the last 2 months.

110 sites (9\%) registered new IDP arrivals in the last 2 months, while 1,106 sites (91\%) did not.

Percentage and Number of Newly Arrived IDP Households From the Kebele of Displacement


Figure 19: Percentage of newly arrived IDPs who are currently displaced in the same kebele from which they came.

In the 110 sites hosting new arrivals, more than $75 \%$ or 21,775 IDP households are from the kebele of displacement, while 51-75\% or 1,026 IDP households are displaced in the same kebele.

## Newly Arrived IDPs



## 1 22,847 newly arrived IDPs in Chagni, Awi zone, Amhara.

## 2

 22,390 newly arrived IDPs in Derashe Especial Woreda, SNNPR.This map portrays areas hosting newly arrived IDPs. The lighter the shade, the fewer the number of newly arrived IDPs. Conversely, the darker the shade, the larger the number of newly arrived IDPs. The following are the top 3 woredas hosting the largest number of newly arrived IDPs:

Figure 20: Concentration of newly arrived IDPs.
*Please note that the displacement figures continued to increase in Chagni, Derashe Especial Woreda and Alle Especial Woreda due to ongoing conflicts even after the DTM teams left these locations as they needed to continue collecting data elsewhere.

## Factors Preventing Return



Figure 21: Factors preventing return at national level.

Support Needed to Resolve Displacement


Figure 22: Supported needed to resolve displacement at national level.

## DURABLE SOLUTIONS

## National Level



In 221 sites (18\%), the majority of IDPs prefer to return.


Relocate
In 282 sites (23\%), the majority of IDPs prefer to relocate.

Locally Integrate
In 718 sites (59\%), the majority of IDPs prefer to locally integrate.

Figure 23: Preferred durable solution of the majority of IDPs per site aggregated at national

## Regional Level




Majority of IDPs in 8 sites prefer relocation (62\%) and IDPs in 4 sites (31\%) tion. prefer return.


All IDPs in both sites (100\%) prefer local integra-


Majority of IDPs in 72 sites (55\%) prefer return and in 41 sites (32\%) prefer relocation.


Majority of IDPs in 363 sites (89\%) prefer local integration.

## SHELTER

## Shelters in Current Location

## Standard Temporary Shelters

None of the IDP households across 576 sites feel like they are living in standard temporary or culturally appropriate shelters. In 46 sites, more than $75 \%$ of IDP households feel that they are living in standard temporary shelters.


Figure 24: Percentage of IDP households living in standard temporary shelters.

## Shelters in Places of Origin



Figure 26: Place of origin of the largest IDP group if more than 75\% of IDP households report that shelters in places of origin are fully destroyed.


## Sites With Overcrowded Shelters

$25-50 \%$ of IDP households in 25 sites in Oromia are of the opinion that they are living in overcrowded shelters. There are also 10 sites in Amhara where $25-50 \%$ of IDP households believe they are living in overcrowded shelters.


Figure 25: Number of sites by region where 25-50\% of IDP households are living in overcrowded shelters.

${ }^{\circ}$

## Partially Destroyed Shelters

- In 40 sites, the shelters of 51-75\% of IDP households are partially destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 34 sites are in Somali region.
- In 8 sites, the shelters of more than $75 \%$ of IDP households are partially destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 6 sites are in Oromia region.



## Fully Destroyed Shelters

- In 271 sites, the shelters of 51-75\% of IDP households are fully destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 137 sites are in Somali region.
- In 575 sites, the shelters of more than $75 \%$ of IDP households are fully destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 246 sites are in Oromia region.


## - $\uparrow$ NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)



Figure 27: Most needed NFI for IDPs by site.

In 703 sites (58\%), IDPs most needed emergency shelter kits. In 246 sites (20\%), the most needed NFI was bedding sets while in 130 sites (11\%), kitchen sets were identified as the most needed NFI.


IDPs in 550 sites have access to NFI-selling markets (45\%).


IDPs in 672 sites have no access to NFI-selling markets (55\%).

## T. WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

## Amount of Water



Figure 28: Sites where the average number of water jerrycans/buckets (20L) collected per household per day is less than 2.

## Accessibility of Water

## Distance to Nearest Water Point

In the largest proportion of sites ( 424 sites), the main water distribution point was on-site and was within a 20-minute walk. The main water distribution point for 293 sites was on-site but required more than a 20-minute walk for a one-way journey. Notably, there are 373 sites where IDPs have to walk off-site for more than 20 minutes to reach the main water distribution point.


At the national level, IDP households collect an average of 2.5 water jerrycans/buckets (20L) per day. The region that collected the lowest number of water jerrycans/buckets is Amhara with an average of 1.4 per household per day. In Sidama, the average number of water jerrycans/buckets collected per household per day is 1.9. In Gambela, the average number is 3.8 water jerrycans/buckets (20L) per household per day.


Figure 29: Distance required to reach the nearest water distribution point from the sites.

## Time Needed to Queue for Water

Once at the water distribution point, IDPs queue for an average of 16-30 minutes in 382 sites - this is the most common waiting time. In 159 sites, IDPs queue between 31-60 minutes and the wait is over an hour for IDPs in 166 sites.

Figure 30: Average time IDPs take to queue for water.

## Sanitation and Hygiene

## Number of Non-Functioning Latrines

It was found that there are at least 2,013 nonfunctioning latrines on-site in Oromia and 945 nonfunctioning latrines on-site in Somali. In SNNP, it was found that there are 165 non-functioning latrines.


Figure 31: Number of non-functioning latrines on-site by region.


Complaints About the Drinking Water
Of the 1,222 sites covered, a total of 650 sites (53\%) had complaints about the quality of drinking water, while in 572 sites ( $47 \%$ ), there were no complaints about the drinking water.


Figure 32: Number of sites where there are complaints about the quality of drinking water.

## FOOD \& NUTRITION

## Access to Food



Out of the 1,222 sites covered, a total of 998 sites ( $82 \%$ ) have access to food, of which 568 sites have access to food on-site and 430 sites have access to food off-site. However, 224 sites (18\%) reported having no access to food. As visible through the map on the left, the region in which this is most prominent is Oromia with 102 sites reportedly not having access to food.

Figure 33: Sites reporting no access to food.

## Main Source for Obtaining Food

The main source for obtaining food is through food assistance, as reported in 788 sites (64\%). The second main source for obtaining food is cash assistance in 163 sites ( $13 \%$ ) and the third main source is the cultivation or sale of livestock in 91 sites (7\%).

IDPs in 564 sites (46\%) have access to a food selling market.

IDPs in 658 sites (54\%) do not have access to a market.


Figure 34: Number of sites by main source of obtaining food.

## SEO: LIVELIHOODS



Income and Occupation


Figure 35: The occupation/trade of the majority of IDPs at sites by region.

A total of 143 sites in Oromia reported that the majority of IDPs in these sites have no main occupation. However, the next recorded main occupation among the majority of IDPs in 85 sites is pastoralism.

In Somali, the majority of IDPs are pastoralists in an 262 sites, and agro-pastoralists in 100 sites. The majority of IDPs in all 67 sites in Afar are also pastoralists.

## Livestock

Of the 643 sites that have livestock, the following is the average percentage of households owning livestock:

- Addis Ababa - 0\%
- Afar-70\%
- Amhara-30\%
- Benishangul Gumz-20\%
- Dire Dawa - 0\%
- Gambella - 61\%
- Harari-0\%
- Oromia - 49\%
- Sidama- $25 \%$
- SNNP - $37 \%$
- Somali-11\%



## Limited Access to Land for Cultivation

- Less than 25\% of IDPs in 37 sites in Somali have access to land for cultivation.
- Less than 25\% of IDPs in 36 sites in Oromia have access to land for cultivation.


## © No Access to Land for Cultivation

- IDPs in 323 sites in Oromia do not have access to land for cultivation.
- IDPs in 201 sites in Somali do not have access to land for cultivation.

Figure 36: Sites where no IDPs and less than 25\% of IDPs have access to land for cultivation.

## HEALTH

## Health Concerns



Typhoid is the main Malaria is the main concern for 1 site concern for 37 sites (50\%) and stomach (41\%), followed by ache is the concern typhoid in 26 sites for 1 site (50\%). (28\%).
(21\%).




Pneumonia is the main concern for 2 sites (100\%).



Typhoid is the main concern for 2 sites (25\%) and acute malnutrition is the con cern in 2 sites ( $25 \%$ ). ( $17 \%$ ).
diarrhea in 78 sites


Malaria is the main concern for 118 sites (26\%), followed by


Malaria is the main for all 18 sites (100\%).


## Accessibility of Health Services



Figure 37: Factors preventing IDPs from accessing health services by number of sites.

## Distance to Health Facilities or Services



## EDUCATION

## Accessibility of Schools



■Unknown $\quad$ No, temporarily closed due to COVID-19 ■ No ■ Yes, remote learning ■ Yes

The main factor preventing IDPs from accessing healthcare services is cost, as found in 906 sites (74\%). The second main factor is the lack of medicine as reported in 493 sites ( $40 \%$ ), followed by the lack of reachable health services in 201 sites (16\%). Kindly note that this was a multiple-choice question.

IDPs in 998 sites (82\%) have access to a health facility.
IDPs in 224 sites (16\%) do not have access to a healthy facility.

For a majority of IDPs, the location of health facilities or services are on-site and within 3 km in 645 sites (65\%). In 107 sites ( $11 \%$ ), the health facilities were more than 3 km away but were on-site.

In 143 sites (14\%), health facilities or services were off-site and required travel of more than 3 km to reach. 3 additional sites are relying on mobile clinics.

Figure 38: Location of health facilities/services.

## Access to Schools for Displaced Children

As a COVID-19 prevention measure, 26 pre-primary schools, 47 primary schools and 35 secondary schools were temporarily closed.

Pre-primary schools and secondary schools are not accessible for displaced children in 1,006 and 863 sites respectively, making primary schools slightly more accessible in comparison. Displaced children reportedly have access to primary schools in 977 sites.

Figure 39: Access to pre-primary, primary and secondary school education for displaced children.

## Access to Learning Supplies

Of the displaced children who have access to primary schools, children reported having access to some learning supplies in 415 sites (42\%).

However, children reported not having access to learning supplies in 496 sites ( $51 \%$ ). In 68 sites (7\%), it was reported that all displaced children have access to learning supplies.


Figure 40: Access to primary school learning supplies.

## Reasons for Dissatisfaction with the Standard of Schools



IDPs in 487 sites ( $40 \%$ ) were dissatisfied with the standard of schools due to poor infrastructure, while IDPs in 367 sites (30\%) were dissatisfied because of the lack of awareness of the benefits of education.

Notably, IDPs in 29 sites (2\%) mentioned that they experienced unequal access to education due to their displacement status in their current location.

Figure 41: Reasons for dissatisfaction with the standard of schools by the number of sites.

## Distance to Nearest Primary School



Figure 42: Distance to the nearest primary school from sites.

Of the sites that have access to primary schools, the most common distance to the nearest primary school is less than 1 km away, as found in 456 sites. The distance is between 1-2 km for 381 sites,
while in 122 sites, the distance to the nearest primary school is $3-5 \mathrm{~km}$. However, children from 7 sites (21\%) have to travel more than 10 km to reach the nearest primary school.

## PROTECTION

## Security Incidents

## The Relationship Between IDPs and Host Communities



## Protection Services \& Documentation

## Type of Protection Services Available

In 936 sites (77\%), there are reportedly no protection services being provided. However, family tracing services are present in 220 sites (18\%), while referral mechanisms for survivors of gen-der-based violence is available in 101 sites (8\%).


Figure 44: Types of protection services provided at sites.


The relationship between IDPs and host communities is reportedly good in 826 sites ( $68 \%$ ) and very good in 375 sites ( $31 \%$ ). The relationship is reportedly fair in 11 sites. However, please note that this information is self-reported by the IDPs who were part of the focus group discussion.

Figure 43: The relationship between IDPs and host communities across accessed sites.

## Possession of ID Cards

Less than $25 \%$ of female IDPs have ID cards in 703 sites, while less than $25 \%$ of male IDPs have ID cards in 430 sites. Only in 134 sites did more than $75 \%$ of female IDPs have ID cards and only in 251 sites did more than $75 \%$ of male IDPs have ID cards.


Figure 45: Percentage of male and female IDPs who have ID cards by number of sites.

## 4 COM 4 - 19

## Impact of COVID-19 on IDPs

## Number of Confirmed COVID-I9 Cases

In locations where Site Assessment was conducted, 25 medically tested and confirmed COVID-19 cases were found among IDPs in SNNP, while 16 cases were found in Somali. However, it should be noted that this data is based on self-reported information and thus the actual number of confirmed COVID-19 cases may vary.

Figure 46: Number of confirmed COVID-19 cases by region.

## Coping Mechanisms Used Due to COVID-I9



- No specific coping mechanism
- Borrowing money from family/friends
- Reducing meals
- Selling livestock and/or other assets
- Using savings
- Migrating/leaving site
- Begging
- Other
- Don't know

Figure 47: Coping mechanisms adopted by IDPs.
In 686 sites (56\%), IDPs did not have any coping mechanism. In 363 sites (30\%), IDPs resorted to borrowing money from family and friends.

## Shortage of Items \& Price Increases



Figure 49: Shortage of items by number of sites.



Availability of Quarantine Facilities


Figure 48: Availability of quarantine facilities by sites.
Quarantine facilities were available in 480 sites (39\%), where they were off-site in 442 sites and on-site in 38 sites. However, there were no quarantine facilities in 728 sites ( $60 \%$ ).

COVID-19 has impacted the supply of various items. In 979 sites (80\%), IDPs mentioned that there are food shortages. In 975 sites ( $80 \%$ ), there is shortage of hygiene products and this entails water, soap, sanitizers and personal protective equipment (PPE).

Additionally, it was reported that the price of food has increased across 1,037 sites ( $85 \%$ ), while the price of hygiene products has increased in 982 sites ( $80 \%$ ).

## "Returning IDPs are

 collecting water in a village in Gambela region. This is the first time the Village Assessment Survey was conducted in the region."
## Section 2

## Village Assessment Survey

 (VAS)
## KEY FINDINGS — VILLAGE ASSESSMENT SURVEY

## 1,381 villages covered

117 inaccessible villages

Population Breakdown \& Initial Causes of Displacement



1,429,185 returning IDPs (95\%) were initially displaced by conflict in 1,300 villages

Other reasons for initial displacement included drought (16,096 returning IDPs), fire (331 returning IDPs) and landslide (328 returning IDPs).

## Top 3 Zones With the Highest Returning IDP Figures



Figure 50: Top 3 zones with the highest number of returning IDPs.
*Kindly note that an estimate of an additional 90,814 IDPs (15,472 households) were captured through VAS in locations with high returns.

## METHODOLOGY

The Village Assessment Survey (VAS) was used for the first time in IOM Ethiopia in July 2019 in response to the government-led return movement in an effort to capture return trends and sectoral needs in areas reporting high return concentrations. This is the 7th round of VAS data collection.

VAS targets villages with reported returns equal to or greater than 5 returning IDP households, and collects demographic data on returning IDPs, IDPs, resettled IDPs, returned migrants and host community members. The purpose of VAS is to assess the capacity of villages to absorb returns through the availability of services with a focus on livelihoods and reintegration.

DTM works closely with national, zonal and woreda level officials who serve as key informants in identifying villages with high returns. At the village level, DTM collects its data through focus group discussions, key informants and through direct observation.

## Coverage of this round



Data collection period:
1 December - 11 January 2021

Focus groups are made up of 7 individuals whereby each focus group must comprise 2 individuals over the age of 65 years of age, 1 individual under 18 years of age, 2 females and at least 1 government official (i.e. kebele administrator, village leader etc.). In villages where they are present, all 5 population categories, namely returning IDPs, IDPs, resettled IDPs, returned migrants and host community members must also be represented in the focus group. This lower limit of 7 individuals is employed to ensure that COVID-19 prevention measures, such as social distancing, can be observed. DTM teams ensure that they are equipped with personal protective equipment (PPE) during data collection.

All VAS data is collected in close collaboration with the National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC). All data is electronically collected through survey forms designed in Kobo. The data collection period for this round of VAS was 1 December to 11 January 2021.


Figure 51: Percentage of villages assessed by DTM at zonal level for round 7 of VAS.


## II7 Inaccessible Villages

For round 7 of VAS, a total of 117 villages could not be accessed. 79 villages were inaccessible due to security issues mainly in Guji, West Guji, East Wallega, West Wallaga Fafan, Metekel and Sheka zones. 23 villages could not be accessed due to road inaccessibility issues mainly in West Guji, Shabelle, Central Gondar, East Hararge and West Arsi zones.

## OVERVIEW OF RETURNS

## National Level



Figure 52: The return caseload based on data collected from the Village Assessment Survey in December and January 2021.

According to the data collected between December to January 2021, there were an estimated 1.5 million returning IDPs (267,583 households) across 1,381 villages in Ethiopia. The large number of returning IDPs is mainly due to government-led return movements which began in April 2019.

The largest returning IDP caseload is in Oromia with an estimated total of 821,653 returning IDPs ( 145,884 households), as captured through the coverage of 847 villages. Oromia witnessed an increase of 14,792 returning IDPs ( $+1.8 \%$ ) as found across 66 new villages in East Shewa, West Shewa, Finfinne Special Zone, West Arsi and East Harerge. However, it should also be noted that there were significant accessibility challenges this round as 82 villages could not be accessed due to security and road inaccessibility issues. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in 811 villages (96\%).

The region hosting the second largest number of returning IDPs is Somali with an estimate of 408,741 returning IDPs ( 68,124 households). There was a significant increase of 259,310 returning IDPs ( $+173.5 \%$ ), which was mainly
due to the return of IDPs to their homes in Hudet and Moyale woredas following the end of the long rainy season. However, it should be noted that several villages could not be covered due to road inaccessibility issues in Shabelle zone. Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in 96 villages (86\%).

The region hosting the third largest number of returning IDPs is SNNP region with an estimated 153,923 returning IDPs ( 25,618 households). There was a considerable increase of 21,642 returning IDPs ( $+16.4 \%$ ) since the previous round. However, it should be noted that DTM is still working to improve its coverage of SNNP region given that the pilot round of covering this region started in June 2020. Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in all 129 villages ( $85 \%$ ).

The gender breakdown for returning IDPs is 742,929 males (48.6\%) and 785,655 females ( $51.4 \%$ ). 1.4 million returning IDPs (95\%) were initially displaced by conflict, 47,122 returning IDPs (3\%) were initially displaced by flash floods and 35,522 returning IDPs were initially displaced by seasonal floods (2\%).


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Amhara

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

Figure 53: Returning IDP figures for Amhara in VAS round 7.

## Benishangul Gumz

|  | $\left.\rightarrow \begin{array}{l}95,603 \text { returning IDPs } \\ (18,928 \text { households）}\end{array} \quad \rightarrow \begin{array}{l}2,372 \text { IDPs } \\ (557 \text { households）}\end{array}\right)$27,349 host community <br> members（5，664 households） |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \quad+11.7 \% \\ \text { Returning IDPs } \\ \text { Benishangul Gumz } \end{gathered}$ | 0 returned migrants covered |

18，928 households comprised of 95,603 returning IDPs were iden－ tified in 159 villages in Benishangul Gumz region．This increase of 10，001 returning IDPs is mainly due to returns to 3 new villages in Assosa zone and returns to Kamashi zone．However，it should be noted that 25 villages in Mandura woreda and 16 villages in Dangura woreda in Metekel zone were inaccessible due to inse－ curity．A majority of returning IDPs in 155 villages arrived more than 6 months ago，while a majority of returning IDPs in 4 villag－ es returned between 3－6 months．Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in all 159 villages （100\％）．

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 11 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 1,394 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 1,809 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 12 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 5,571 | Separated <br> children | 494 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 443 | Orphaned <br> children | 546 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 772 | Single－female <br> headed house－ <br> holds | 486 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 162 | Single－male <br> headed house－ <br> holds | 480 |
| Religious minori－ <br> ties | 0 | Child－headed <br> households | 23 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly－headed <br> households | 2,082 |

- ーーー ー

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Benishangul Gumz


Figure 54：Returning IDP figures for Benishangul Gumz in VAS round 7.
＊Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the per－ centage of returning IDPs at each age bracket．This is calculated at village level．

## Dire Dawa

No change in
the number of
returning IDPs
Dire Dawa

## Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*


106 households comprised of 540 returning IDPs were identified in 2 villages in Dire Dawa. There was no change in the number of returning IDPs since the previous round 23 (August/September 2020). No IDPs or host community community members were observed in these 2 villages. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in both villages.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 10 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 25 | Separated <br> children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 0 | Orphaned <br> children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 0 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 0 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 0 |

## Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Dire Dawa


*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.
Figure 55: Returning IDP figures for Dire Dawa in VAS round 7.

## Gambela



8 villages covered

0 host community members
(0 households)


## Flash floods was the main reason for initial displacement for

 returning IDPs in all 8 villages (100\%)Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*


492 households comprised of 2,424 returning IDPs were identified in 8 villages in Gambela. This is the first time VAS was conducted. A majority of these returning IDPs lived in Berhane Selam site for many years as their villages in their place of origin was inhabitable. It should be noted that while some IDPs have returned, others are still in the process of return as the villages still lack many facilities such as latrines and schools. A majority of returning IDPs in 6 villages returned between 3-6 months, while a majority of returning IDPs in 2 villages arrived more than 6 months ago. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 47 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 104 | Separated <br> children | 31 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 19 | Orphaned <br> children | 6 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 36 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 10 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 0 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 18 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 55 |

a majority of returning IDPs in all 8 villages (100\%).
Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement Gambela


Figure 56: Returning IDP figures for Gambela in VAS round 7.

## Harari

No change in the number of returning IDPs

(0 households)
(0 households)

## 2 villages Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for covered <br> returning IDPs in both villages (100\%)

Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*

145 households comprised of of 955 returning IDPs were identified in 2 villages in Harari region. There was no change in the number of returning IDPs since the last 2 rounds (both June/July 2020 and August/September 2020). The majority of returning IDPs in both villages (100\%) arrived more than 6 months ago. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in both villages.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 35 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 50 | Separated <br> children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 2 | Orphaned <br> children | 4 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 1 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 0 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 40 |

## Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement Harari


*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.


Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*

145,884 households comprised of 821,653 returning IDPs were identified in 847 villages in Oromia region. There was an increase of 14,792 returning IDPs (+1.8\%) since VAS round 6 (August-September 2020). However, 82 villages could not be accessed due to security and road inaccessibility issues mainly in West Guji, Guji, West Wallega, East Wallega, West Hararge, East Harerge and West Arsi zones. The majority of returning IDPs in 764 villages (90\%) arrived more than 6 months ago. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in 811 villages (96\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 339 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 3,350 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 35,385 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 620 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 51,919 | Separated <br> children | 1,176 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> under 18 | 2,030 | Orphaned <br> children | 4,067 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> over 18 | 2,724 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 8,662 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 1,379 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 3,031 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 1,320 | Child-headed <br> households | 785 |
| Ethnic minorities | 721 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 8,847 |

## Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Oromia


*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

Figure 58: Returning IDP figures for Oromia in VAS round 7.

## Sidama

This is the first
time VAS was
conducted


## 5 villages covered

Flash floods was the main reason for initial displacement for II returning IDPs in all 5 villages (100\%)

Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*


2,354 households comprised of 15,507 returning IDPs were identified in 5 villages in Sidama region. This is the first time VAS was conducted in Sidama region. Returning IDPs in all 5 villages arrived between 1-3 months ago. Flash floods were the initial reason for displacement for returning IDPs in all 5 villages (100\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 165 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 41 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 259 | Separated <br> children | 49 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 8 | Orphaned <br> children | 70 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 17 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 48 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 24 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 77 |
| Ethnic minorities | 2 | Elderly-headed <br> households |  |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement Sidama

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

## SNNP

|  | 153,923 returning IDPs (25,618 households) | $F^{\circ} \rightarrow \begin{aligned} & 8,464 \\ & (1,418 \end{aligned}$ | 241,936 host community members (39,321 households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+16.4 \%$ <br> Returning IDPs SNNP |  | 151 villages covered | Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in all 129 villages (85\%) |

## Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*



| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 339 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 620 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 35,385 | Separated <br> children | 1,176 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 51,919 | Orphaned <br> children | 1,443 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 2,030 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 2,045 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 2,724 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 536 |
| Persons with chron- <br> ic diseases | 1,379 | Child-headed <br> households | 163 |
| Minorities | 2,041 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 1,620 |
| Elderly persons <br> without care givers | 978 |  |  |

25,618 households comprised of 153,923 returning IDPs were identified in 151 villages in SNNP region. This is a significant increase of 21,642 returning IDPs (+16.4\%) since the previous round (August - September 2020) which can be explained by the end of the long rainy season and the return of IDPs who were initially displaced due to floods and landslides. Returning IDPs in 122 villages (81\%) arrived more than 6 months ago while returning IDPs in 14 villages arrived between 1-3 months ago. Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in 129 villages (85\%), followed by flash floods for without care givers returning IDPs in 15 villages (10\%).

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in SNNP

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

Figure 60: Returning IDP figures for SNNP region in VAS round 7.

[^3]
## Somali

I


Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*
!

68,124 households comprised of 408,741 returning IDPs were identified in 111 villages in Somali region. There was a very significant increase of 259,310 returning IDPs (+173.5\%) since the previous round. When conflict broke out, many homes were destroyed or damaged and IDPs left Hudet and Moyale woredas of Dawa zone as II the rain would seep into homes. However, IDPs have returned now that the long rainy season has passed. The majority of returning IDPs in 102 villages (92\%) arrived more than 6 months ago. Conflict II was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in 96 villages (86\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 1,259 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 8,255 | Separated <br> children | 2,015 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 11,413 | Orphaned <br> children | 3,139 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 563 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 2,030 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 928 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 1,000 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 593 | Child-headed <br> households | 651 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 4,104 |
| Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 898 |  |  |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Somali

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

## LIVELIHOODS

The main livelihood for 552 villages in Oromia is farming, followed by pastoralism in 156 villages. In Benishangul Gumz, the most common livelihood is farming as found in 157 villages.

Figure 62: Main type of livelihood in each village by region.


## Agriculture

## Most Needed Agricultural Inputs

The most needed agricultural input is seeds, as reported in 1,297 villages. The second most needed

## Lack of Access to Land for Cultivation

Returning IDPs in 169 villages (12\%) do not have access to land for cultivation. agricultural input is tools in 1,202 villages.


- Seeds
- Tools
- Fertilizers
= Training
- Labor
- Land
- Other
- None

Figure 63: Most needed agricultural inputs across villages.


Figure 64: Villages where returning IDPs do not have access to land for cultivation/farming.


## Average Land Holding Per Household

- Amhara: 2.46 hectares
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { - Oromia: } 1.31 \text { hectares } \\ \text { - Benishangul Gumz: } & \text { - Sidama: } 0.27 \text { hectares } \\ 2.67 \text { hectares } & \\ \text { - Dire Dawa: } 0.50 \text { hectares } & \text { - SNNP: } 0.50 \text { hectares } \\ \text { - Gambela: } 0.58 \text { hectares } & \text { - Somali: } 0.35 \text { hectares } \\ \text { - Harari: } 1.5 \text { hectares }\end{array}$


## Food

## Food Types Available in Villages

Vegetables is reportedly available in 893 villages, while Other grains are available in 822 villages.


Figure 65: Food types available in villages.

## Livestock

Of the 956 villages (69\%) that own livestock, the pie chart shows the main problems affecting livestock herders:


## Livelihood Issues

The main issue with livelihoods in a majority of villages is food shortage, as reported in 566 villages (41\%), followed by a shortage of farmland in 281 villages (20\%), and a lack of non-agricultural livelihood activities in 228 villages (17\%). Locusts are an issue in 74 villages (5\%).

Figure 68: Main livelihood issues in villages assessed.

## Problems Affecting Production of Food and

 Cash Crops1,036 villages were affected by crop disease, while 743 villages were affected by other forms of crop damage caused by insects such as desert locust, livestock and wildlife.


Figure 66: Main problems affecting the production of food and cash crops across sites.


Figure 67 (on the left): Main problems faced by livestock herders across villages surveyed.


## HEALTH

## Availability of Health Facilities

## Villages Without Access to Health Facilities

1,022 out of 1,381 villages (74\%) do not have a health facility.


Figure 69: Villages that do not have health facilities.

## Common Problems With Health Services

Of the 359 villages that have problems with access to health facilities problem, the lack of medicine is the most common problem as found in 301 villages.


Figure 70: Common problems in accessing health services in villages where health facilities are available.

## Health Concerns



Malaria is the main concern for 72 villages (75\%) in Amhara followed by scabies in 15 villages (16\%).
 Malaria is the main concern for 139 villages (87\%) in Benishangul Gumz.


Benishangul Gumz

Pneumonia is the main concern for both villages (100\%) in Dire Dawa.


Malaria is the main concern for 8 village (100\%) in Gambela.


Pneumonia is the main concern for 178 villages (21\%) in Oromia followed by malaria in 176 villages (20.7\%) and typhoid in 156 villages (18\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 5 villages (100\%) in Sidama.


Typhoid is the main concern for 117 villages (77\%) in SNNP followed by malaria in 31 villages (21\%).


Malaria is the main concern for one village (50\%) and there was no health concern for the other one village (50\%) in Harari.


Pneumonia is the main concern for 61 villages (55\%) in Somali followed by malaria in 28 villages (25\%).

## - <br> WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

Main Water Source


## Accessibility of Water

## Distance to Nearest Water Source

The main water distribution point for the highest proportion of villages ( 569 villages or $41 \%$ ) is a 21-30 minute walk one-way. However, it takes more than 45 minutes one-way for people in 191 villages (14\%) to reach the nearest water source.


Figure 72: Distance to the nearest water source.

## Main Reasons for Not Having Water Access

Reasons for insufficient household access to water include a general lack of sufficient water sources (418 villages), poor quality of water (206 villages) and distance (161 villages).

Figure 74: Major reasons affecting water accessibility.

## Main Source of Drinking Water

The main source of drinking water in 366 villages is rivers ( $27 \%$ ), and in 222 villages it is unprotected springs (16\%). In 163 villages (12\%), people rely on other options such as hand pumps, while in 162 villages (12\%), people rely on protected springs.

Figure 71: Main source of drinking water across villages.


Figure 73: The average queue time for water across the villages surveyed.


Water sources are accessible to all households in 581 villages (42\%).
Water sources are not accessible to all households in 800 villages (58\%).


## EDUCATION

## Accessibility of Schools



## Kindergarten

Kindergartens are available across 72 villages in Amhara, Benishangul Gumz, Oromia, SNNP and Somali regions. 3,403 returning IDPs, 129 IDPs and 3,263 host community children are known to be attending kindergarten.

## -1 <br> Secondary School

Secondary schools are available across 63 vilages in Amhara, Benishangul Gumz, Oromia, SNNP, Somali and Tigray. 6,738 returning IDPs, 295 IDPs and 18,609 host community children are known to be attending secondary schools.

## Primary School

Primary schools are available in 723 villages across 6 regions. The following is the attendance for each population category:

| Region | Number of Villages <br> With Primary Schools | Number of Returning IDP <br> Children Attending | Number of IDP <br> Children Attending | Number of Host Commu- <br> nity Children Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amhara | 35 | 3,179 | 20 | 7,704 |
| Benishangul <br> Gumz | 42 | 9,979 | 288 |  |
| Oromia | 486 | 71,277 | 1,814 | 2,376 |
| Sidama | 5 | 4,143 |  | 119,949 |
| SNNP | 72 | 13,085 | 6 | 1,899 |
| Somali | 83 | 10,786 | 3,103 | 25,842 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{7 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 , 4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1 9 7 7}$ |

Figure 75: Number of primary schools and primary school attendance across villages.
Based on data available, it was found that there are 71,277 returning IDP children attending primary school in Oromia, along with 1,814 IDP children and 119,949 host community children. In SNNP, 13,085 returning IDP children and 25,842 host community children are attending primary school.

## Education Challenges



- Poor infrastructure
= Lack of teachers
- Lack of awareness of the benefits of
education
- Temporary closure due to COVID-19
- Distance
- Untrained teachers
- Expensive
= Unequal access because of status
= Permanent closure


## Reasons for Dissatisfaction with Schools

It is reported that 414 villages are not satisfied with the standard of schools due to poor infrastructure The second reason for dissatisfaction with schools is a lack of teachers as reported in 288 villages. This is followed by a lack of awareness of the benefits of education 282 villages.

Figure 76: Reaons for dissatisfaction with schools.

## SHELTER

## Shelter Types \& Conditions

The 3 main housing options in villages are houses made of mud walls with iron sheet roofing in 979 villages, followed by houses made of mud walls with thatched roofing in 978 villages and Buuls/Tukuls (wood frame with cloth or plastic sheeting) in 633 villages.

Out of 1,381 villages, a majority of returning IDPs have returned to their own homes in 1,140 villages ( $83 \%$ ), while those in 241 villages (17\%) have not. Of those who did not return to their own homes, a majority of returning IDPs cited that it was because their shelters were completely damaged in 170 villages and partially damaged in 53 villages. Notably, returning IDPs in 12 villages said that they did not own shelters.

## Issues With Shelter



Figure 78: Issues with shelters across villages by region.
The main issue with shelters across the villages is the poor quality of shelters as reported in 429 villages in Oromia, 60 villages in SNNP, 54 villages in Somali, and 50 villages in Amhara. The next notable issue is the cost of construction which is said to be too expensive in 356 villages in Oromia. The lack of shelters or overcrowding is also an issue in 44 villages in Oromia and 11 villages in Somali.

## NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)



Figure 79: Most needed NFI for returning IDPs.

The most needed NFI for returning IDPs is emergency shelter kits as reported in 501 villages (36\%), followed by bedding sets in 372 villages ( $27 \%$ ) and kitchen sets in 278 villages (20\%).


600 villages (43\%) have access to NFI selling markets.


781 villages (57\%) have no access to NFI selling markets.

## HOUSING, LAND AND PROPERTY (HLP)

## Land Ownership \& Land Access

## Main Form of Land Tenure

The main form of land tenure is landholding certificates in 853 villages ( $59 \%$ ), while communal land is the main form of land ownership in 325 villages (24\%). Meanwhile, informal land tenure is the main form of land ownership in 68 villages (5\%).


Figure 80: Main forms of land ownership.

## Claim and Access to Land

A majority of returning IDPs in 940 villages (68\%) had a claim to land and currently have access to land. However, returning IDPs in 438 villages (32\%) stated that they had a claim to land but no longer have access to it.


Figure 81: Villages where returning IDPs have a claim to land but do not have access to their land.


## Tenure Documentation

Access to Official Documentation Related to Housing, Land and Property


## PROTECTION

The relationship between returning IDPs and host communities is reportedly poor in 4 villages ( $0.3 \%$ ), excellent in 151 villages ( $11 \%$ ) and good in 678 villages (49\%). However, it should be noted that the focus group discussions in 547 villages ( $40 \%$ ) did not answer this question.

In addition, threats of conflict were found in 32 villages ( $2 \%$ ) and threats of violence in 51 villages (4\%) since IDPs returned, while threats of both violence and conflict were reported in 103 villages (7\%).

A government recognized security provider is present in 1,221 villages ( $88 \%$ ).

A government recognized security provider is not present in 160 villages (12\%).

## Obstacles to Return to Abandoned HLP

The main perceived, potential or concrete obstacle for returning IDPs to return to their abandoned housing, land and property (HLP) is damage and destruction as reported in 559 villages. This is followed by the lack of essential services and infrastructure in 438 villages.

Figure 82: Perceived, potential or concrete obstacles to return to abandoned housing, land and property.


In 555 sites, more than $75 \%$ of male returning IDPs had access to official documentation related to housing, land and prorperty, while more than $75 \%$ of female returning IDPs had access to these documents in 399 sites.

Figure 83: Percentage of male and female returning IDPs who currently have access to official documentation.

## Relationship Between Returning IDPs and Host Communities



Figure 84: The reported relationship between returning IDPs and host communities across villages.


## Percentage of Returning IDPs With a Separated Family Member

In 911 sites, returning IDPs did not report having separated family members. However, in 439 sites, less than $25 \%$ of returning IDPs reported having a separated family member.

Figure 85: Percentage of returning IDPs with a separated family member by number of sites.

## \^ LEGAL ACCESS AND POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

## Political Participation

## Voting

Among the main obstacles listed impeding returning IDPs from voting, the most prominent obstacles are a lack of knowledge on local affairs as found in 167 villages and a lack of documentation in 56 villages.


Figure 86: Main obstacles foreseen for returning IDPs to participate in voting.


## Participation in Community, Social and Political Organizations

There are various community, social and political organizations existing across the villages. The most common are religious groups which are present in 929 villages. Village or Kebele Committee(s) can be found in 988 villages, while youth and/or women organizations can be found in 491 villages.

In 1,241 villages, returning IDPs are actively participating in these groups, but are not actively participating in 68 villages.


## COVID-I 9



## Most Impacted Population Groups

In 872 villages, respondents mentioned that everyone was affected the same by COVID-19. However, in 256 villages, daily laborers were said to be the most impacted, followed by children of schooling age in 241 villages.

Figure 88: Population groups most impacted by COVID-19 across villages.

## Coping Mechanisms Used Due to COVID-I9



Figure 89: Coping mechanisms adopted by people living in villages hosting returning IDPs.
In 615 villages borrowing money from family and friends (45\%), while in 474 villages (34\%) people resorted reducing their meals.

Shortage of Items \& Price Increases


Figure 91: Shortage of items by number of sites.


Availability of Quarantine Facilities


Figure 90: Availability of quarantine facilities by sites.

There were no quarantine facilities in 890 villages. Quarantine facilities are available in 481 villages, where 459 of them are off-site and 22 of them are on-site.

COVID-19 has impacted the supply of various items. In 1,188 villages ( $86 \%$ ), IDPs mentioned that there was a shortage of hygiene products. In 1,098 villages (79\%), there was a food shortage.

Additionally, it was reported that the price of hygiene products has increased across 1,198 villages (87\%) and the price of food has also increased across 1,180 villages (85\%).

## ANNEX A SUMMARY TABLE OF DISPLACEMENT Site Assessment
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\section*{ANNEX A SUMMARY TABLE OF DISPLACEMENT Emergency Site Assessment}


\section*{SUMMARY TABLE OF RETURN Village Assessment Survey}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & Isplacement Trac & ng Matrix/Vill & ge Assessmen & Surey (DTM &  &  & \[
2021 \text { - Summ }
\] & Y Table of Po & lation Break & & & & & \\
\hline & & & \# of Assessed & Intemaly os , & red People & Reum & & & Hostrececinin & Communty & Resettl & & other pe & Hation & & \\
\hline Region & zone & Woreda & Vlliges & Housenold & Indudual & Household & Insividual & & Housenold & Induvidal & Household & madivasal & Household & Indiva & & Toal Indivituals \\
\hline Amhara & Central Sonder & Chilga 1 & 9 & 5 & \({ }^{19}\) & \({ }^{626}\) & \({ }^{3,988}\) & & & & & & & & \({ }^{631}\) & \({ }_{3}^{3,277}\) \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Amhara }}\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Central Sonder \\
Central onder \\
\hline
\end{tabular} &  & 26 & & & \({ }^{1,722}\) & 8,683
371 & & & & & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
1,738 \\
74 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} & 8,763

371 \\
\hline Amhara & Central Gonder & Gonder zuria & 7 & & & 372 & 2,000 & & & & & & & & 372 & 2,000 \\
\hline Amhara & Central Sonder & tharmachei & \({ }^{11}\) & 4 & 20 & 221 & 899 & 0 & 2.845 & 10,006 & & & & & 070 & 0,925 \\
\hline Amhara & & ma fursi & 2 & & & \({ }^{190}\) & & 30
32 & & 1,250 & & & & & & \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Ammara }}\) Amba & Oromil & Kenissie City Cdmministration & 1 & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
86 \\
35 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 284
170 & & \({ }_{40}^{87}\) & \(\substack{287 \\ 192}\) & & & & & \({ }_{75}^{173}\) & \begin{tabular}{|c}
603 \\
362 \\
362
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Amhara & Waghimra & Dehana & 1 & & & \({ }^{21}\) & 100 & & 52 & 260 & & & & & 73 & 360 \\
\hline Ambara & West 6 onder & Metema & 13 & 58 & 290 & 695 & 3,345 & & 618 & 2,999 & & & 200 & 200 & 1.571 & 6,834 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Ampara }}\) & West oinder & Cuara Were cir Adminitration & 12 & 15 & 75 & 690 6 &  & & 1,341
1.589 &  & 48 & 240 & & & 2,079 & 10.333
11358
1 \\
\hline & West 6 onder &  & \({ }_{6}\) & & & 620 & \({ }^{3,925}\) & & \({ }_{20}\) & \({ }_{100}\) & & & & & \({ }_{640}\) &  \\
\hline Amhara Total & & & 96 & 98 & 484 & 5,932 & 29,238 & 62 & \({ }_{6,842}\) & 29,907 & \({ }^{48}\) & \({ }^{240}\) & 200 & 200 & 13,120 & 60,131 \\
\hline  & \({ }_{\text {Acosa }}^{\text {Acsasa }}\) & \({ }_{\substack{\text { assosa } \\ \text { Bildigilu }}}\) & 44 & 119 & 318 & 35
5,482 & 234
27.631 & & & & & & & & \({ }_{5}^{54.601}\) & 303
27,949 \\
\hline Beneshangul Gumuz & Asosa & Maokomo Special & 5 & & & 309 & 2.018 & & 71 & 457 & & & & & & \\
\hline  & \({ }_{\substack{\text { Kemashi } \\ \text { kemashi }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}\) & \({ }_{\text {Kamas }}^{\text {Ray }}\) & \({ }^{38}\) & \({ }_{17} 16\) & \({ }_{810}^{335}\) & 575
3,381 & 3,066 & & \({ }_{1,4,98}^{1,57}\) & 7,719
7,288 & & & & & \({ }_{5}^{2,009}\) & 10,720
24,192 \\
\hline Beneshangul Gumuz & kemashi & Miziga & \({ }^{43}\) & 158 & 751 & 6,921 & 36,018 & & 2,135 & 10,394 & & & & & 9,214 & 47,163 \\
\hline Beneshangul Gumu & Kemashi & & \({ }^{14}\) & \({ }^{24}\) & 97 & \({ }^{2,097}\) & 9,874 & & & & & & & & \({ }_{2}^{2,121}\) & \\
\hline \({ }_{\substack{\text { Beness hangu Gumuz } \\ \text { Beneshanul Cumuz }}}^{\text {Total }}\) & & & -4 & 13
557 & [,372 & -128 & 96,603 & & \({ }_{5,64}^{294}\) & \({ }_{\text {27,39 }}\) & & & & & 25,149 & res, \\
\hline Dire ama & Dire Oaw R Rural & Jeldess & 2 & & & 106 & 540 & & & & & & & & 106 & \\
\hline Dire Dawa Total & & & 2 & & & 106 & 540 & & . & & & & & & & \\
\hline Hareri & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Harerer }}\) & \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {Sire Teyara }}\) & 1 & & & \({ }_{82}^{63}\) & \({ }_{640}^{35}\) & & & . & & & & & \({ }_{82}^{63}\) & 645
640 \\
\hline Hareei Total & & & 2 & & & 145 & 955 & & & & & & & & 145 & \\
\hline \(\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Oromia } \\ \text { Oromia }}]{\text { a }}\) & \(\substack{\text { che } \\ \text { Bale }}_{\text {Bade }}\) & Gura oamole
Meda Weabu & 7 & & &  & 10,194
1.511 & & 447
36 & 2,207 & & & & & \({ }_{2}^{2,177}\) & \({ }_{\text {12,401 }}^{12,48}\) \\
\hline Oromia & Borena & Guchi & \({ }^{21}\) & & & 8,254 & 45,793 & & 19 & \({ }_{95}\) & : & & & & 8,273 & \({ }_{45,588}\) \\
\hline Oromia & Broena & Moyle & 44 & & & 22,79 & \({ }^{113,973}\) & & 384 & 1,920 & & & & & 23,163 & 115,893 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Oramia }}^{\text {Oromia }}\) &  & Oewe Kachen & 2 & & & 1, 1,991
1.981 & ( \(\begin{aligned} & 8,3,36 \\ & 9,363\end{aligned}\) & & \({ }_{683}^{420}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
2,198 \\
2,822 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & & & & & \({ }_{\text {2,374 }}^{1,1617}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
10,544 \\
122185 \\
128 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Oromia & East bale & Reyitu & 5 & & & \({ }_{2}^{1,030}\) & 11,330 & & 559 & \({ }_{2,460}^{2,40}\) & & & & & \({ }_{2,589}\) & 13,990 \\
\hline Oromia & Easts bale & Sevena & 3 & & & \({ }^{1,208}\) & \({ }_{6,558}\) & & 449 & 2,215 & & & & & \({ }_{1}^{1,552}\) & 退, 8173 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {Orem }}^{\text {Oromia }}\) &  & Oowe ser & 78 & & & \({ }_{\substack{1,588 \\ 9,58}}^{1,188}\) & 4, 47.485 & 180 & \({ }_{\substack{180 \\ 5,135}}\) & 29,698 & & & & & \({ }_{14779}\) & \({ }_{7}{ }_{74,363}\) \\
\hline Oromia & East tharerge & Chinaksen & \({ }^{36}\) & \({ }_{80}\) & 500 & 3,113 & 15,622 & & 4,411 & 26,050 & 364 & 1.590 & & & 7,968 & 43,762 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {Oromia }}\) &  & Fedisa & \({ }_{1}^{4}\) & & & \({ }_{46}^{129}\) & \({ }^{3,681}\) & 15 & 180 & 900 & & & & & \({ }_{226}^{729}\) & \({ }_{\text {3, }}^{\substack{\text { 1,76 } \\ 1,16}}\) \\
\hline Oromia & East tharerge & Kumbi & 4 & & & 4,045 & 15,614 & & \({ }^{1,781}\) & \({ }^{8,732}\) & & & & & 5,826 & 346 \\
\hline & 觟 Harerge & Meta & 7 & & & 690 & 3,450 & \({ }^{55}\) & 900 & 4,500 & & & & & \({ }^{1,590}\) & 8,005 \\
\hline \(\xrightarrow{\text { Oromia }}\) Oromia &  & Mey Muluke & \({ }_{5}^{8}\) & & & 2,290
453 & \begin{tabular}{l}
11,450 \\
3.001 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 6 & & & & & & & 2,290 & \begin{tabular}{l}
11,456 \\
3.001 \\
\hline 1.2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Oromia & East shewa & Fentale & 22 & 4 & 20 & 4,884 & 24,297 & & 1,367 & 7,135 & & & & & 6,255 & \({ }^{31,452}\) \\
\hline Oromia &  & \({ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {Liben Chukala }}\) & 2 & & & 231
99 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(1,1,55\) \\
\hline 1626
\end{tabular} & & 195 & 430 & & & & & \({ }_{294}^{231}\) & \({ }_{\substack{1,1,155 \\ 1,056}}\) \\
\hline Oromia & East Wallaga & Gicatama & 2 & 388 & 1,525 & \({ }_{40}\) & 160 & & 700 & 4,658 & & & & & \({ }_{1}^{1,288}\) & \({ }_{6,3,33}^{108}\) \\
\hline Oromia & East walaga & Guto Gida & \({ }_{4}^{4}\) & & & \({ }^{1,015}\) & \({ }^{4.535}\) & & & & & & & & \({ }^{1,015}\) & 4,535 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {a }}\) & \({ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{\text { tast Waliga } \\ \text { Eataga }}}\) & \({ }_{\text {Limu }}\) & \({ }_{3}^{10}\) & \({ }_{108}^{988}\) & \({ }_{\text {5,39 }}^{48}\) & (2,888 & \({ }_{1,187}^{20,31}\) & & \({ }_{1,552}^{4,52}\) & \({ }_{8,804}^{\text {8, } 80}\) & & & & & - & \begin{tabular}{l} 
5, 510,39 \\
10, \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline oromia & East Wallaga & Sasiga & 18 & 236 & 1.927 & \({ }_{6}^{6,582}\) & \({ }^{34,507}\) & & - & - & & & & & \({ }_{6,1818}\) & 36,434 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {a }}\) & Carinine special & Seateratatuwas & \({ }_{3}\) & & & \({ }_{301}^{43}\) & \({ }_{1,196}^{1,464}\) & & & 62 & & & & & \({ }_{301}^{136}\) & \({ }_{\text {, 1,14 }}\) \\
\hline mia & Guil & Odo Shakiso & \({ }^{17}\) & & & 1.013 & 4,534 & & 12,083 & 56,357 & & & & & 13,096 & 60.891 \\
\hline Oromia & \(\underset{\substack{\text { Guii } \\ \text { Guij }}}{\text { a }}\) & Uraga
Negele
cirydaministation & 17
1
1 & & & 592 & 3,377 & & 3,979 & 22,924 & 110 & 510 & & & \({ }_{1}^{4,571}\) & \(\underset{\substack{26,315 \\ 515}}{ }\) \\
\hline Oromia & Westarsi & Sirato & 13 & & & \({ }^{322}\) & 1,630 & & 685 & 3,110 & & & & & 1,007 & 4,740 \\
\hline \(\underset{\substack{\text { Oromia } \\ \text { Oromia }}}{\text { a }}\) & \(\underset{\text { Westarsi }}{\text { Westisi }}\) & \({ }_{\text {Ste }}^{\substack{\text { Shalas } \\ \text { Gedeb Asasa }}}\) & \({ }_{4}^{8}\) & & & 253
65 & \(\underset{1,293}{1,29}\) & & \({ }_{205}^{442}\) & \({ }_{\text {2,1,25 }}^{2,15}\) & & & & & 695
270 & ( \begin{tabular}{l} 
3,408 \\
1,350 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


For datasets and more reports from DTM Ethiopia, visit our website using the following QR Code~~~


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, 2019. Global Report on Internal Displacement (GRID) 2019 Report. Available at: http://www. internal-displacement.org/sites/default/files/publications/documents/2019-IDMC-GRID.pdf.
    ${ }^{2}$ Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM), 2018. Ethiopia - Gedeo/West Guji Rapid Response Assessment Round 2 (11 - 23 August 2018)— Host Community Dataset. Available at: https://displacement.iom.int/datasets/ethiopia-\%E2\%80\%94-gedeowest-guji-rapid-response-\%E2\%80\%94-host-community-dataset-\%E2\%80\%94-site-assessment-\%E2\%80\%94-round.
    Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM), 2018. Ethiopia - Gedeo/West Guji Rapid Response Assessment Round 2 (11 - 23 August 2018) - Collective Center Dataset. Available at: https://displacement.iom.int/datasets/ethiopia---gedeowest-guji-rapid-response---collective-center-dataset---site-assessment--.
    ${ }^{3}$ Displacement Tracking Matrix, 2019. Rapid Response Assessment Benishangul Gumuz/ East \& West Wellega:
    Round 1 (8 - 16 February 2019). Available at: https://displacement.iom.int/reports/ethiopia-\%E2\%80\%94-benishangul-gu-muz-east-west-wellega-1-rapid-response-site-assessment-report-date?close=true.
    ${ }^{4}$ Kiremt rain is the summer rain that normally lasts between June to September. This rain is crucial for the main meher harvest. Conversely, the belg rain is the spring rain that usually spans between March to August.
    ${ }^{5}$ USAID, 2016. El Niño in Ethiopia 2015-2016: A Real-Time Review Of Impacts And Responses. Available at: https://www.agri-learn-ing-ethiopia.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/AKLDP-EI-Nino-Review-March-2016.pdf.
    ${ }^{6}$ Meher harvest is the main harvest and includes crops harvested between September and February. The main meher zones cover the western part of Tigray, Amhara, Oromia and SNNPR regions. Meanwhile, the belg harvest is between March and August.
    ${ }^{7}$ The United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), 2019. Ethiopia - Flooding Flash Update (20 October 2019). Available at: https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/flood_flash_update_22_october.pdf.
    ${ }^{8}$ The United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), 2019. Ethiopia - Situation Report No. 23 (as of June 2019). Available at: https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/ocha_ethiopia_situation_report_no.23_june_2019_final_0.pdf.
    ${ }^{9}$ Food and Agriculture Ogranization (FAO), 2020. FAO in Emergencies - Ethiopia. Available at: http://www.fao.org/emergencies/countries/detail/en/c/151593.
    ${ }^{10}$ Ibid.

[^1]:    ${ }^{14}$ Ministry of Health, Ethiopia, 2020. Avaialable at: http://www.moh.gov.et/ejcc/.
    ${ }^{15}$ New York Times, 2020. Ethiopia Announces Arrests in Prominent Singer's Killing. Available at: https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/11/ world/africa/ethiopia-hachalu-hundessa.html
    ${ }^{16}$ BBC, 2021. Ethiopia's Tigray crisis: A rare view inside the conflict zone. Available at: https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-56456793.

[^2]:    *Kindly note that in addition to the 1.96 million IDPs captured through the Site Assessment, the Village Assessment Survey (VAS) observed another 90,814 IDPs (15,472 households) in locations of high returns. This analysis is conducted down to the kebele level and not further due to methodological differences between both assessments.

[^3]:    **The total number of IDPs reported in SNNP region is based on DTM's current coverage in the region. DTM is working to improve its coverage of SNNPR since the roll out of Village Assessment Survey across the region was newly piloted starting in June 2020.

