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## INTRODUCTION

## Background: Population Mobility and Internal Displacement in Ethiopia

Ethiopia faces significant internal displacement. In 2018, Ethiopia recorded the third highest number of new displacements worldwide, with 3,191,000 internally displaced persons (IDPs). ${ }^{1}$ A significant portion of these displacements are conflict-induced, largely related to ethnic and border-based disputes. Old tensions such as the contestation of the Oromia-Somali regional border which first flared up in 2017 continue to persist, while new conflicts have also emerged.

In April and later in June 2018, conflict which was aggravated by competition for land and resources broke out between Gedeo and Guji Oromo tribes in West Guji. It is estimated that by August 2018, 748,499 IDPs were displaced from the Gedeo-West Guji conflict alone. ${ }^{2}$ Following that, inter-communal violence in Jijiga in the same month resulted in the displacement of approximately 141,410 IDPs from the Somali regional capital. ${ }^{3}$ Adding to the displacement caseload, more than 90,000 IDPs were displaced in Amhara region in September 2018 due to longstanding tensions and sporadic conflict between the Amhara and Qemant communities. ${ }^{4}$ Simultaneously, a localized conflict in Benishangul Gumuz region and the East and West Wellega zones of Oromia region displaced an estimated 191,995 IDPs. ${ }^{5}$ This brought displacement in Ethiopia to a peak of 3.04 million IDPs in March 2019.

Ethiopia is also riddled with climate-induced displacement mainly caused by drought and floods. Beginning in 2015, Ethiopia faced one of the strongest onsets of El Niño, a periodic heating of the eastern tropical Pacific, which reduced the kiremt rainfall ${ }^{6}$ and successively resulted in drought in the southern and southeastern parts of the country. ${ }^{7}$ This prolonged drought continues to impact agricultural and pastoralist communities across Ethiopia in 2019 by driving down crop yields of the main meher harvest ${ }^{8}$, reducing pastures for livestock, and drying up water resources. Floods are another major cause of climate-induced displacement. While certain areas experienced reduced rainfall, others experienced heavy rainfall and floods during the kiremt rainy season in many low laying areas. Around 202,202 IDPs were displaced in October 2019 due to several flood incidences in Afar, Oromia, SNNPR and Somali regions ${ }^{9}$.

Adding to the high mobility landscape of Ethiopia is the number and rate of returns. According to the government, 1.8 million IDPs have returned to their place of origin as of June 2019. This nationwide government-led return operation has been ongoing since April 2019. ${ }^{10}$

[^0]Since June 2019, Ethiopia has been combating a desert locust invasion which is reportedly the worst the country has seen in 25 years. As of January 2020, hopper bands had covered more than $429 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ worth of crops and vegetation. ${ }^{11}$ Since arriving in the country, the desert locusts have bred and produced millions of hoppers, placing additional strain on food security and livelihoods. If left uncontrolled, this could lead to 500 times more locusts than at present. ${ }^{12}$ As of August 2020, swarms continue to mature and lay eggs in northern Ethiopia (54,703 hectares) while immature swarms persist in eastern Ethiopia ${ }^{13}$.

In March 2020, the Ministry of Health confirmed the first COVID-19 case in Ethiopia. Since then, the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases has increased to 55,213 cases as of 3 September 2020. ${ }^{14}$ The spread of COVID-19 and regulations to curb it has caused unemployment and has exacerbated the food insecurity situation in the country. On 29 June 2020, the killing of a popular musician in Addis Ababa sparked civil unrest across Oromia region. ${ }^{15}$

## IOM Population Observation in Ethiopia

In order to capture population mobility and internal displacement in Ethiopia, IOM's Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) is deployed to track internally displaced persons (IDPs), returning IDPs, migrants and returned migrants. IOM entered into partnership with the Government of Ethiopia’s National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC) in August 2016, and has since been regularly implementing DTM assessments in Ethiopia since September 2016.

DTM tools that are active in Ethiopia include a quarterly Site Assessment which focuses on tracking internal displacement, a quarterly Village Assessment Surveys (VAS) aimed at tracking returns and an ad-hoc Event Tracking Tool used to supplement both the Site Assessment and VAS. Site Assessment covers areas where there are reports of 20 or more IDP households, whereas VAS covers areas where there are reportedly 5 or more returning IDP households.

Figure 1 illustrates DTM's coverage of its Site Assessment and VAS tools during the June and July 2020 data collection. The areas in blue represent areas that were exclusively covered by Site Assessment. The areas in green represent areas where both Site Assessment and VAS were deployed. The areas in yellow represent areas that were only covered by VAS and not Site Assessment such as Gedeo zone. Notably, this is the first time DTM obtained access to cover SNNPR and Sidama regions with the Site Assessment tool. Areas that were inaccessible this round include North Gondar in Amhara; Mao Komo in Benishangul Gumuz; Majang in Gambella; Horo Gudru Wellega, Finfine Special and Ilu Aba Bora in Oromia; and Kefa, Dawuro, Konta, Gofa, Basketo, Alle in SNNPR.


Figure 1: Geographic coverage of DTM assessments in June and July 2020.

[^1]'Internally displaced women and children are gathering water at a water distribution point in Malka Balo woreda,
East Hararge zone, Oromia region."


## Section I

## Site Assessment

## KEY FINDINGS — SITE ASSESSMENT

$$
1,297 \text { sites covered }
$$

104 inaccessible sites

## Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs)

1,820,811 individuals


329,084 households
+4.92\% increase since round 21*
(there were 1,735,481 IDPs in round 21)
Top 3 Reasons for Displacement


1,233,557 conflict affected IDPs (68\%) in 952 sites


351,062 drought affected IDPs (19\%) in 234 sites

50.2\% male 914,019 males 906,792 females


104,696 seasonal flood affected (6\%) in 38 sites
*This increase is mainly due to the new coverage of SNNPR and Sidama which resulted in 93,982 IDPs being added to the total displacement caseload.

Top 3 Zones With the Highest Displacement Figures


## Newly Arrived IDPs

Defined as arrived in the last 2 months


Of the newly arrived IDPs, estimated number displaced in their kebele of displacement

*Kindly note that in addition to the 1.8 million IDPs captured through the Site Assessment, the Village Assessment Survey (VAS)

## METHODOLOGY

IOM Ethiopia employs a series of tools in its DTM. The present methodology refers to the Site Assessment tool which falls under the Mobility Tracking component of the DTM. Data for this tool is collected at the zonal, woreda and site level on a quarterly basis. Since September 2016, IOM Ethiopia has conducted 22 rounds of data collection. The DTM programme is implemented in close collaboration with the National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC) and regional, zonal and woreda counterparts.

IOM's DTM team is composed of 115 field enumerators, under the direct supervision of 12 field focal points, and the overall supervision of the DTM team based at IOM's Addis Ababa Country Office. The data collection methodology is divided into three administrative levels:

Zone level: Data is collected through interviews with key informants from the Disaster Prevention and Preparedness Office (DPPO), community representatives, and the education and health offices. This data includes (the estimated caseload of displaced individuals, the identification of woredas with in the zone that host displaced populations, reason for displacement, time of arrival of IDPs and location.

## Coverage of this round



Data collection: 1 June - 5 July 2020

Woreda level: Information is collected from key woreda informants and includes (among others) estimated length of stay, number of displaced households and individuals at woreda level, displaced population by type of temporary settlements and approximate locations of identifiable displacement sites. The information is used to plan site assessments.

Site level: In-depth Site Assessments capture detailed information through focus group discussions, key informant interviews and direct observation. The focus group discussions are made up of 7 individuals comprising male, female, elderly and youth IDP representatives. The Site Assessment is conducted at sites where there are at least 20 IDP households. Data on available services by sector, accessibility constraints, exact type, location and name of the site, place of origin of IDPs, estimated size and type of the site and most common type of shelter are captured. Age and gender disaggregation for the site is extrapolated using a demographic calculator tool based on the age range and sex of the household members. A total of 30 IDP households are sampled at each site. However, all households are sampled for sites where there are fewer than 30 IDP households.


Figure 3: The map depicts the percentage of sites assessed by DTM at zonal level for this round.


Data was not merged from previous rounds for uncovered sites. This methodology was implemented as of round 18 onwards. For round 22,75 had security issues and 29 sites had road inaccessibility issues.

## OVERVIEW OF DISPLACEMENT

## National Level



Figure 4: The displacement caseload in Ethiopia based on data collected in June and July 2020 from the Site Assessment.

According to the data collected in June and July 2020, 1.82 million IDPs (329,084 households) are internally displaced across 1,297 sites in Ethiopia. This reflects a $4.9 \%$ increase of 85,330 IDPs since the previous round's data collection in February and March 2020.

This increase is mainly due to the new coverage of Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People's Region (SNNPR) and Sidama region which resulted in 93,982 IDPs being added to the total displacement caseload. This is the first time that DTM has obtained authorization to conduct the Site Assessment in both regions. While 592,057 IDPs were found in Oromia region which signifies a $0.56 \%$ decrease, it should be noted that this region faced substantial accessibility issues this round.

The gender breakdown of displaced individuals is fairly equal with $51.3 \%$ males and $48.7 \%$ females. Conflict remains the primary cause of displacement and displaced 1.23 million IDPs (68\%), while drought displaced 351,062 IDPs (19\%) and seasonal floods displaced 104,696 IDPs (6\%).

Factors preventing return as cited by respondents include a lack of livelihoods in 908 sites (70\%), followed by damaged or destroyed houses in 897 sites (69\%) and insufficient food in 820 sites (63\%). The main form of support requested to help resolve displacement was economic opportunities across 1,220 sites ( $94 \%$ ), followed by the restoration of lost assets in 1,076 sites (83\%). The preferred durable solution of IDPs across the board was local integration as reported in 903 sites (70\%).

National Displacement Timeline


Figure 5: Number of IDPs tracked nationwide by the Displacement Tracking Matrix since September - October 2018 (round 13). Round totals include displacement data captured through previously conducted Rapid Response Assessments (RRA).

IOM Ethiopia has been collecting data on internal displacement via its Site Assessment tool for 22 rounds now. In round 13 (September—October 2018), the number of IDPs tracked by DTM was 2.68 million IDPs. This was largely due to the displacement in Gedeo and West Guji which as of September 2018, displaced an estimated 603,757 IDPs according to DTM's Rapid Response Assessments (RRA).

The displacement caseload gradually increased to 2.89 million IDPs in round 14 (November-December 2018), and reached 3.04 million IDPs by round 15 (January-February 2019). This is mainly due to the conflict in Benishangul Gumuz region and the East and West Wellega zones of Oromia region, which displaced an estimated 191,995 IDPs in February 2019. Following that, round 16 (March-April
2019) recorded the highest displacement totals captured through DTM with 3.043 million IDPs. During this round, the RRA in Gedeo and West captured an estimation of 690,364 IDPs, while the RRA in East and West Wellega captured 158,385 IDPs.

Since then, round 17 (May-June 2019), round 18 (July—August 2019) and round 19 (September-October) have witnessed drastic declines in displacement figures due to government-led return operations beginning in April 2019. However, rounds 20 (Novem-ber-December 2019) and 21 (February-March 2020) experienced increases in the number of IDPs to 1.73 million IDPs and 1.74 million IDPs respectively. In round 22 (June—July 2020), the total number of IDPs increased to 1.82 million and can be largely explained by the new coverage of SNNPR and Sidama region.

## Regional Level

## 51.1\% male 38,990 males <br> 48.9\% female <br> 37,377 females

13,240 households comprising 76,367 IDPs were identified in 63 sites in Afar region. These figures represent an increase of 4,005 IDPs (+5.5\%) since round 21 (February/March 2020). This increase was mainly caused by the coverage of 3 new sites in Afambo and Garani woredas of Zone 1 which were not accessible in previous rounds due to road and security issues. Additionally, Degagali site in Ewa woreda was inaccessible this round due to flash floods. The overflow of the Awash river and heavy rains is also likely to cause accessibility issues for Site Assessment round 23. Conflict was the primary cause of displacement for an estimated 27,181 IDPs, or $36 \%$ of the displaced populations. This is followed by drought which displaced 16,704 IDPs (21.9\%) and flash floods which displaced 15,085 IDPs (19.8\%)

## 13,240 displaced households

## Conflict was the primary reason for

 displacement and displaced 27,181 IDPs (36\%)
## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs



| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 68 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 87 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 755 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 1,085 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 2 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> under 18 | 59 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 48 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> over 18 | 140 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 234 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 18 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 96 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Single-child head- <br> ed Households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 186 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Afar


Amhara


## 5,022 displaced households

Conflict was the only reason for displacement and displaced 17,911 IDPs (100\%)

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs



5,022 households comprising 17,911 IDPs were identified in 55 sites in Amhara region. These figures represent a decrease of 40,232 IDPs (-69.19\%) since round 21 (February/March 2020). This decrease in displacement numbers is largely due to government led return movements in Central Gonder and West Gonder zones, as well as efforts to return IDPs in Awi zone in Amhara region back to Benishangul Gumuz region. The variation in displacement numbers could also be attributed to ongoing return movements. Conflict was the cause of displacement for an estimated 17,911 IDPs, or 100\% of the displaced population.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 71 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 128 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 4 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 832 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 3 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 34 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 14 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 100 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 594 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 51 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 355 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 53 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Amhara


Figure 7: Displacement figures for Amhara region in round 22.
 1

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Benishangul Gumuz


Figure 8: Displacement figures for Benishangul Gumuz region in round 22.

## Dire Dawa

| $+\underset{\text { IDPs }}{+29 \%}$ | $\rightarrow 4,921 \text { IDPs }$ |  | 954 displaced households <br> Conflict was the primary reason for displacement and displaced 4,921 IDPs (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs



954 households comprising 4,921 IDPs were identified in 2 sites in Dire Dawa. These figures represent an increase of 1,105 IDPs (+28.96\%) since round 21 (February/March 2020). This increase is due to the temporary return of IDPs who had relocated to West Hararge zone in Oromia region and to Somali region. They returned to these 2 sites in Dire Dawa to receive assistance. Conflict was the main reason for displacement for 4,921 IDPs, or $100 \%$ of the displaced population.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 89 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 120 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 22 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 0 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 41 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 0 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 0 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 0 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Dire Dawa


Figure 9: Displacement figures for Dire Dawa in round 22.


## 4,693 displaced households

Conflict was the primary reason for displacement and displaced 30,403 IDPs (90.9\%)

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


4,693 households comprising 33,450 IDPs were identified in 12 sites in Gambela region. These figures represent a decrease of 2,440 IDPs ( $-6.8 \%$ ) since round 21 (February/March 2020). This decrease is mainly due to the return of IDPs who used to be in Nibnib site in Jikawo woreda, Nuer zone. Conflict was the main reason for displacement for an estimated 30,403 IDPs, or $90.9 \%$ of the displaced populations. The remaining 3,047 IDPs (9.10\%) were displaced due to seasonal floods.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 79 | Elderly persons <br> without care givers | 69 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 460 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 6 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 659 | Separated children | 123 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 77 | Orphaned children | 92 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 131 | Single-female <br> headed households | 50 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 43 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 71 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Single-child headed <br> Households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 266 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Gambela



## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs



569 households comprising 2,664 IDPs were identified in 8 sites in Harari region. These figures represent an increase of 82 IDPs (+3.2\%) since round 21 (February/March 2020). This slight increase is mainly due to new births. Conflict was the main reason for displacement for an estimated 2,664 IDPs, or $100 \%$ of the displaced population.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care givers | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant wom- <br> en over 18 | 55 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 137 | Separated children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> under 18 | 0 | Orphaned children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> over 18 | 4 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 3 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 1 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 10 |
| Religious mi- <br> norities | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minori- <br> ties | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 37 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Harari


Figure 11: Displacement figures for Harari region in round 22.

## Oromia

## 100,405 displaced households

Conflict was the primary reason for displacement and displaced 430,911 IDPs (73\%)

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


100,405 households comprising 592,057 IDPs were identified in 437 sites in Oromia region. These figures represent a modest decrease of 3,312 IDPs ( $-0.6 \%$ ) since round 21 (February/March 2020). However, it should be noted that a significant number of sites (69 sites) could not be accessed during this round due to security issues and road restrictions. Conflict was the primary reason for displacement for an estimated 426,881 IDPs (72\%), while 78,243 IDPs (13\%) were displaced due to other reasons

| Pregnant girls under <br> 18 | 307 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 1,995 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 9,924 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 184 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 20,725 | Separated <br> children | 573 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties under 18 | 842 | Orphaned <br> children | 1,037 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties over 18 | 1,326 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 3,596 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 732 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 1,057 |
| Religious minorities | 203 | Child-headed <br> households | 59 |
| Ethnic minorities | 118 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 6,554 | and 72,324 IDPs (12\%) due to drought.

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Oromia


## SNNPR \& Sidama

| This is the first |
| :--- |
| time SA was |
| conducted in |
| SNNPR \& Sidama |$\quad \rightarrow 93,982$ IDPs

## 16,231 displaced households

Conflict was the primary reason for displacement II and displaced 66,994 IDPs (71\%)

## Sex and age breakdown of IDPs

#  <br> <br> 51.3\% male <br> <br> 51.3\% male 48,256 males 48,256 males <br> 48.7\% female $60+$ 

16,231 households comprising 93,982 IDPs were identified in 94 sites in SNNPR and Sidama. This is the first time that Site Assessment was conducted in these two regions. A considerable number of sites in Gurage, Sheka, South Bench and Amaro zones could not be accessed due to insecurity. Sites in Merab Omo, South Bench, Woliyta and South Omo zones were also inaccessible due to floods and landslides. Since this is a pilot round, DTM is working hard to obtain better coverage for SA round 23. Con-

| Pregnant girls under <br> 18 | 29 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 57 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 1,491 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 229 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 3,402 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 799 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties under 18 | 104 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 1,669 |
| Persons with mental <br> or physical disabili- <br> ties over 18 | 335 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 443 |
| Persons with chron- <br> ic diseases | 347 | Child-headed <br> households | 120 |
| Minorities | 107 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 560 |
| Elderly persons <br> without care givers | 383 |  |  | flict was the primary reason for displacement for an estimated 66,994 IDPs (71\%), while 26,988 IDPs (29\%) were displaced due to flash floods.

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in SNNPR \& Sidama


Figure 13: Displacement figures for SNNPR and Sidama region in round 22.

## Somali



## 139,173 displaced households

Conflict was the primary reason for displacement and displaced 548,997 IDPs (61\%)

Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


139,173 households comprising 895,884 IDPs were identified in 385 sites in Somali region. These figures represent an increase of 38,794 IDPs (+4.5\%) since round 21 (February/March 2020). Notably, 6 new IDP sites opened in Shabelle zone due to new displacement, and 5 sites were inaccessible due to security issues. Conflict was the main reason for displacement and displaced an estimated 548,997 IDPs (61\%), while drought displaced 281,543 IDPs (31\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 1,732 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 2,704 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 15,353 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 71 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 23,553 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 1,447 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 2,038 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 4,789 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 2,524 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 4,482 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 1,858 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 1,874 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 1 | Child-headed <br> households | 777 |
| Ethnic minorities | 53 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 7,246 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Somali


## Tigray

100,266 IDPs
$+0.7 \%$
IDPs
Tigray

Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


48,084 households comprising 100,266 IDPs were identified in 229 sites in Tigray region. These figures represent a modest increase of 664 IDPs ( $+0.7 \%$ ) since round 21 (February/March 2020). Conflict was the main reason for displacement for an estimated 100,266 IDPs (100\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 2 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 703 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 870 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 2,380 | Separated chil- <br> dren | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 145 | Orphaned chil- <br> dren | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 416 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 4,174 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 1,047 | Single-male head- <br> ed households | 9,453 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 946 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Tigray


Figure 15: Displacement figures for Tigray region in round 22.

## MOBILITY

Number of Sites With Newly Arrived IDPs


Figure 16: Number of sites hosting IDPs who newly arrived within the last 2 months.

86 sites (6.7\%) registered new IDP arrivals in the last 2 months, while 1,210 sites (93.3\%) did not.

Percentage and Number of Newly Arrived IDP Households From the Kebele of Displacement


Figure 17: Percentage of newly arrived IDPs who are currently displaced in the same kebele where they came from.

In the 86 sites hosting new arrivals, more than $75 \%$ or 2,054 IDP households are from the kebele of displacement, while 51-75\% or 406 IDP households are displaced in the same kebele.

## Newly Arrived IDPs



This map portrays areas hosting newly arrived IDPs. The lighter the shade, the fewer the number of newly arrived IDPs. Conversely, the darker the shade, the larger the number of newly arrived IDPs. The following are the top 3 woredas hosting the largest number of newly arrived IDPs:

Figure 18: Concentration of newly arrived IDPs.
 Mustahil, Shabelle, Somali.
2 2,716 newly arrived IDPs in Haro Limu, East Wellega, Oromia.mali.

Factors Preventing Return


Figure 19: Factors preventing return at national level.

Support Needed to Resolve Displacement


Figure 20: Supported needed to resolve displacement at national level.

## DURABLE SOLUTIONS

## National Level



In 193 sites (14.9\%), the majority of IDPs prefer to relocate.


In 200 sites (15.4\%), the majority of IDPs prefer to return.


## Regional Level



Majority of IDPs in 56 sites (89\%) prefer local integration.


Majority of IDPs in 32 sites (58\%) prefer local integration and IDPs in 16 sites (29\%) prefer return.


Majority of IDPs in all 5 sites (63\%) prefer local integration

Majority of IDPs in 213 sites (49\%) prefer local integration and IDPs in 133 sites (30\%) prefer relocation.

prefer recation.

Majority of IDPs in 5 sites prefer local integration (42\%) and IDPs in 4 sites (33\%) prefer relocation.


Majority of IDPs in 72 sites (77\%) prefer return.


Majority of IDPs in both sites (100\%) prefer local integration.


Majority of IDPs in 345 sites (90\%) prefer local integration.


Majority of IDPs in 10 sites (83\%) prefer local integration.


Majority of IDPs in all 229 sites (100\%) prefer local integration.

## SHELTER

## Shelters in Current Location

## Shelters Below Standard

$25-50 \%$ of IDP households in 208 sites in Somali and 70 sites in Oromia feel as though they are living in shelters that are below standard. IDPs in 229 sites in Tigray feel as though they are living in shelters that meet standards.


Figure 22: Percentage of IDP households living in below standard shelters across regions.

## Shelters in Places of Origin



Figure 24: Place of origin of the largest IDP group if more than 75\% of IDP households report that shelters in places of origin are fully destroyed.


## Sites With Overcrowded Shelters

$25-50 \%$ of IDP households in 63 sites in Tigray are of the opinion that they are living in overcrowded shelters. There are also 29 sites in Oromia where 25-50\% of IDP households believe they are living in overcrowded shelters.


Figure 23: Number of sites by region where 25-50\% of IDP households are living in overcrowded shelters.

## Partially Destroyed Shelters

- In 46 sites, the shelters of 51-75\% of IDP households are partially destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 36 sites are in Somali.
- In 18 sites, the shelters of more than $75 \%$ of IDP households are partially destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 7 sites are in Somali.



## Fully Destroyed Shelters

- In 303 sites, the shelters of 51-75\% of IDP households are fully destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 141 sites are in Somali.
- In 638 sites, the shelters of more than $75 \%$ of IDP households are fully destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 198 sites are in Oromia.


Figure 25: Most needed NFI for IDPs by site.

In a large majority of sites (652 sites), IDPs most needed emergency shelter kits. In 238 sites, the most needed NFI was bedding sets while in 209 sites, kitchen sets were the most needed NFI.


IDPs in 572 sites have access to NFI-selling markets (44\%). However, in 34 of those sites, the operating hours of the market have been reduced due to COVID-19.

IDPs in 725 sites have no access to NFI-selling markets (56\%) but in 62 of those sites, they are temporarily closed due to COVID-19.

## ${ }^{5}$ <br> WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

## Amount of Water



Figure 26: Sites where the average number of water jerrycans/buckets (20L) collected per household per day is less than 2.

## Accessibility of Water

## Distance to Nearest Water Point

The main water distribution point for most sites (411 sites) was on-site and within a 20-minute walk for a one-way journey. In 373 sites, the main water distribution point was on-site but required more than a 20-minute walk to reach. Notably, there are 361 sites where IDPs have to walk off-site for more than 20 minutes to reach the main water distribution points.

Figure 27: Distance required to reach the nearest water distribution point from the sites.


At the national level, IDP households collect an average of 2.220 liter water jerrycans/buckets per day. The region that collected the lowest number of water jerrycans/buckets is Tigray with an average of 1.1 per household per day. In Amhara and Afar, the average number of water jerrycans/buckets collected per household per day is 1.5 and 1.9 respectively. In Somali, the average number is 2.820 liter water jerrycans/buckets and 2.4 in Oromia.


## Time Needed to Queue for Water

Once at the water distribution point, IDPs queue for an average of $16-30$ minutes in 432 sites - this is the most common waiting time. In 251 sites, IDPs queue between 31-60 minutes and the wait is over an hour for IDPs in 208 sites.

Figure 28: Average time IDPs take to queue for water.

## Sanitation and Hygiene

## Number of Non-Functioning Latrines

It was found that there are 1,916 non-functioning latrines on-site in Oromia and 1,504 non-functioning latrines on-site in Tigray. In Somali, it was found that there are 857 non-functioning latrines.


Figure 29: Number of non-functioning latrines on-site by region.

## FOOD \& NUTRITION

## Access to Food



## Complaints About the Drinking Water

Of the 1,297 sites covered, a total of 752 sites ( $58 \%$ ) had complaints about the quality of drinking water, while in 545 sites ( $42 \%$ ), there were no complaints about the drinking water.


Figure 30: Number of sites where there are complaints about the quality of drinking water.

Out of the 1,297 sites covered, a total of 1,061 sites (82\%) have access to food, of which 531 sites have access to food on-site and 530 sites have access to food off-site. However, 236 sites (18\%) reported having no access to food. As visible through the map on the left, the region in which this is most prominent is Somali with 110 sites reportedly without access to food.

Figure 31: Sites reporting no access to food.

## Main Source for Obtaining Food

The main source for obtaining food is through food assistance, as reported in 669 sites ( $52 \%$ ). The second main source for obtaining food is via "other" means in 199 sites (15\%), which mainly involves working daily labour jobs for food.


IDPs in 580 sites (45\%) have access to a market. However, in 41 of those sites, the operating hours of the market have been reduced due to COVID-19.

IDPs in 717 sites (55\%) do not have access to a market but in 58 of those sites, they are temporarily closed due to COVID-19.


Figure 32: Number of sites by main source of obtaining food.

## LIVELIHOODS

Income and Occupation


Figure 33: The occupation/trade of the majority of IDPs at sites by region.

A total of 163 sites in Oromia reported that the majority of IDPs in these sites have no main occupation. However, the next recorded main occupation among the majority of IDPs in 89 sites is pastoralism.

In Somali, the majority of IDPs are pastoralists in an overwhelming 273 sites, and agro-pastoralists in 65 sites. The majority of IDPs in all 227 sites in Tigray are daily laborers.

## Livestock

Of the 591 sites that have livestock, the following is the average percentage of households owning livestock:

- Afar-78\%
- Amhara - 40\%
- Benishangul Gumuz-28\%
- Gambella - $52 \%$
- Oromia - 41\%
- SNNPR \& Sidama - $40 \%$
- Somali-12\%
- Tigray - 14\%


## Land



Figure 34: Sites where no IDPs and less than $25 \%$ of IDPs have access to land for cultivation.


0 1. Limited Acess to Land for Cultivation

- Less than 25\% of IDPs in 49 sites in Oromia have access to land for cultivation.
- Less than $25 \%$ of IDPs in 36 sites in Somali have access to land for cultivation.



## No Acess to Land for Cultivation

- IDPs in 275 sites in Oromia do not have access to land for cultivation.
- IDPs in 221 sites in Tigray do not have access to land for cultivation.
- IDPs in 216 sites in Somali do not have access to land for cultivation.


## Health Concerns



Malaria is the main concern for 33 sites (52\%), followed by typhoid in 13 sites (21\%).


Scabies is the main concern for 4 sites (50\%), followed by acute malnutrition in 3 sites (38\%).


Diarrhea is the main concern for 17 sites (31\%), followed by typhoid in 12 sites (22\%).


Diarrhea is the main concern for 105 sites (24\%), followed by malaria in 99 sites (23\%).
 Gumuz Malaria is the main concern for 10 sites (83\%).
 Typhoid is the main concern for 46 sites (49\%), followed by malaria in 32 sites (34\%).


Diarrhea is the main concern for 1 site (50\%) and pneumonia is the main concern for the other site (50\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 217 sites (56\%), followed by pneumonia in 89 sites (23\%).


Malaria is the main concern for all 12 sites (100\%).


Pneumonia is the main concern for 103 sites (45\%), followed by diarrhea in 46 sites (20\%).

## Accessibility of Health Services

## Factors Preventing Access to Health Services



Figure 35: Factors preventing IDPs from accessing health services by number of sites.

## Distance to Health Facilities or Services



## EDUCATION

## Accessibility of Schools



IDPs in 178 sites (14\%) reported having no access to health facilities. Most of these sites are in Somali ( 87 sites) and Oromia (46 sites).

The main factor preventing IDPs from accessing healthcare services is cost, as found in 1,044 sites ( $80 \%$ ). The second main factor is the lack of medicine as reported in 615 sites ( $47 \%$ ), followed by the lack of proper documents in 275 sites (21\%).

IDPs in 1,119 sites (86\%) have access to a health facility.

IDPs in 178 sites (14\%) do not have access to a healthy facility.

For a majority of IDPs, the location of health facilities or services are on-site and within 3 km in 675 sites ( $52 \%$ ). In 167 sites ( $13 \%$ ), IDPs have to travel more than 3 km to access health services, while in 172 sites (13\%), health facilities or services were off-site and more than 3 km away.

Figure 36: Location of health facilities/services.

## Access to Schools for Displaced Children

As a COVID-19 prevention measure, 638 pre-primary schools, 1,120 primary schools and 656 secondary schools were temporarily closed. Pre-primary schools and secondary schools are not accessible for displaced children in 645 and 615 sites respectively, making primary schools slightly more accessible in comparison. Displaced children had access to primary schools only in 55 sites (4\%).

Figure 37: Access to pre-primary, primary and secondary school education for displaced children.

## Access to Learning Supplies

Of the displaced children in 57 sites who have access to primary schools ( 55 have access and 2 employ remote learning), children reported having access to some learning supplies in 44 sites ( $77 \%$ ). However, children in 11 sites (19\%) reported not having access to learning supplies. Only in 1 site (2\%) was it reported that all displaced children have access to learning supplies.


Figure 38: Access to primary school learning supplies.

Reasons for Dissatisfaction with the Standard of Schools


IDPs in 571 sites (44\%) were dissatisfied with the standard of schools due to poor infrastructure, while IDPs in 507 sites (39\%) were dissatisfied because of the lack of awareness of the benefits of education. Notably, IDPs in 56 sites (4\%) mentioned that they experienced unequal access to education due to their displacement status in their current location.

Figure 39: Reasons for dissatisfaction with the standard of schools for sites where IDPs are not satisfied with the standard of schools.

## Distance to Nearest Primary School



Figure 40: Distance to the nearest primary school from sites.

For the 57 sites that have access to primary schools, the most common distance to the nearest primary school is between $1-2 \mathrm{~km}$ away, as found in 25 sites (44\%). The distance is less than 1 km for 6 sites
(11\%), while in 18 sites (32\%), the distance to the nearest primary school is $3-5 \mathrm{~km}$. Significantly, children from 2 sites (4\%) have to travel more than 10 km to reach the nearest primary school.

## PROTECTION

## Security Incidents

## The Relationship Between IDPs and Host Communities



## Protection Services \& Documentation

## Type of Protection Services Available

In 851 sites (66\%), there are reportedly no protection services being provided. However, referral mechanisms for survivors of gender-based violence is available in 264 sites (20\%), while family tracing services are present in 209 sites (16\%).

Figure 42: Types of protection services provided at sites.



The relationship between IDPs and host communities is reportedly good in 751 sites (58\%) and very good in 466 sites (36\%). However, the relationship is reportedly bad in 4 sites (0.3\%).

Figure 41: The relationship between IDPs and host communities across accessed sites.


## Possession of ID Cards

Less than $25 \%$ of female IDPs have ID cards in 622 sites, while less than $25 \%$ of male IDPs have ID cards in 417 sites. Only in 188 sites did more than $75 \%$ of female IDPs have ID cards and only in 325 sites did more than $75 \%$ of male IDPs have ID cards.

Figure 43: Percentage of male and female IDPs who have ID cards by number of sites.

## COVID-I9

## Impact of Covid-19 on IDPs

## Number of Confirmed COVID-I9 Cases

In locations where Site Assessment was conducted, 121 medically tested and confirmed COVID-19 cases were found among IDPs in Tigray, while 6 cases were found in Oromia, 5 cases in Amhara and 2 cases in Afar. However, it should be noted that this data is based on self-reported information and thus the actual number of confirmed COVID-19 cases may vary.

Figure 44: Number of confirmed COVID-19 cases by region.

## Coping Mechanisms Used Due to COVID-I9



Figure 45: Coping mechanisms adopted by IDPs.
In 542 sites (42\%), IDPs resorted to borrowing money from family and friends, while in 514 sites (40\%), IDPs were reducing their meals.

## Shortage of Items \& Price Increases



Figure 47: Shortage of items by number of sites.


## Availability of Quarantine Facilities



Figure 46: Availability of quarantine facilities by sites.
Quarantine facilities were available in 699 sites (54\%), where they were off-site in 598 sites and on-site in 101 sites. However, there were no quarantine facilities in 516 sites (42\%).

COVID-19 has impacted the supply of various items. In 1,153 sites ( $89 \%$ ), IDPs mentioned that there are food shortages. In 1,125 sites (87\%), there is a shortage of hygiene products and this entails water, soap, sanitizers and personal protective equipment (PPE).

Additionally, it was reported that the price of food has increased across 1,163 sites ( $90 \%$ ) and the price of hygiene products have also increased across 1,123 sites (87\%).

## "Only seven focus group

 discussion participants have been chosen to take part in the Village Assessment Survey in Debub Bench woreda, Bench Sheko zone in SNNPR as part of a COVID-19prevention measure."

## Section 2

## Village Assessment Survey (VAS)

## KEY FINDINGS — VILLAGE ASSESSMENT SURVEY



## Population Breakdown \& Initial Causes of Displacement

$1,400,892$ returning IDPs
$(241,053$ households

$\stackrel{\bullet}{\circ}$
$1,226,690$ host community members (210,891 households)
+0.3\% increase in returning IDPs
(there were 1,396,764 returning IDPs in VAS round 4)


1,328,652 returning IDPs
(94.9\%) were initially displaced by conflict in 1,141 villages


37,336 returning IDPs (2.7\%) were initially displaced by seasonal floods in 49 villages


33,659 returning IDPs (2.4\%) were initially displaced by drought in 5 villages

Top 3 Zones With the Highest Returning IDP Figures


Figure 48: Top 3 zones with the highest number of returning IDPs.

[^2]

Data collection period:
1 June - 5 July 2020
Focus groups are made up of 7 individuals whereby each focus group must comprise 2 individuals over 65 years of age, 1 individual under 18 years of age, 2 females and at least 1 government official (i.e. kebele administrator, village leader etc.). In villages where they are present, all 4 population categories, namely IDPs, returning IDPs, returned migrants and host community members must also be represented in the focus group. This lower limit of 7 individuals is employed to ensure that COVID-19 prevention measures such as social distancing can be observed. Focus group participants are also provided with personal protective equipment (PPE).

All VAS data is collected in close collaboration with the National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC). All data is electronically collected through survey forms designed in Kobo. The data collection period for this round of VAS was 1 June to 5 July 2020.


Figure 49: Percentage of villages assessed by DTM at zonal level for round 5 of VAS.


For round 5 of VAS, a total of 102 villages could not be accessed due to security issues and 16 villages could not be accessed due road inaccessibility issues.

## OVERVIEW OF RETURNS

## National Level



Figure 50: The return caseload based on data collected from the Village Assessment Survey in June and July 2020.

According to the data collected between 1 June to 5 July 2020, there are an estimated 1.4 million returning IDPs (241,053 households) across 1,205 villages in Ethiopia. The large number of returning IDPs is mainly due to government-led return movements which began in April 2019.

The largest returning IDP caseload is in Oromia with an estimated total of 786,963 returning IDPs (135,095 households), as captured through the coverage of 691 villages.Oromia witnessed a modest increase of 3,001 returning IDPs (+0.38\%) mainly due to organized returns in Bale, West Arsi, West Hararge and East Hararge zones. However, it should also be noted that there were significant accessibility challenges this round as 90 villages could not be accessed due to security and road inaccessibility issues.

The region hosting the second largest number of returning IDPs is Somali with an estimate of 364,869 returning IDPs $(60,854$ households). This is a significant decrease of 45,285 returning IDPs (-11\%),
which is mainly due to inaccessibility issues caused by the overflow of the Shabelle River which flooded roads and villages in Kalafo and Mustahil woredas of Shabelle zone. Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for the majority of returning IDPs in 88 villages in Somali (81\%). The region hosting the third largest number of returning IDPs is SNNPR and Sidama with an estimated 132,509 returning IDPs $(21,404$ households). This is an increase of 17,698 returning IDPs (+15.4\%) which is mainly caused by the increased coverage of villages in Konso, Sheka, Merab Omo zones. This is the first time DTM received authorization to assess all zones in SNNPR and Sidama. Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in all 123 villages (100\%).

The gender breakdown for returning IDPs is 679,387 males (48.5\%) and 721,505 females (51.5\%). 1.32 million returning IDPs (95\%) were initially displaced by conflict, 37,336 returning IDPs (3\%) were initially displaced by seasonal floods and 33,659 returning IDPs were initially displaced by drought (2\%).
Amhara
$+29.4 \%$
Returning IDPs

Amhara | 24,892 returning IDPs |
| :--- |
| (5,091 households) |
| 86 villages |
| covered |

Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*

5,091 households comprising 24,892 returning IDPs were identified in 86 villages in Amhara region. This significant increase of 5,649 returning IDPs (+29.4\%) mainly involved the return of IDPs who were displaced within the region, particularly in Central Gonder and West Gonder zones of Amhara region. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in 82 villages ( $95.3 \%$ ).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 1 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 271 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 574 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 6 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 1,816 | Separated <br> children | 31 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 201 | Orphaned <br> children | 67 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 219 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 1,076 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 155 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 370 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 5 | Child-headed <br> households | 30 |
| Ethnic minorities | 29 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 234 |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Amhara

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

## Benishangul Gumuz

|  | 84,599 returning IDPs <br> 2,065 IDPs <br> $\therefore$ - 44,614 host community <br> (17,050 households) <br> (442 households) members (9,011 households) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+38.3 \%$ Returning IDPs | Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in all 166 villages (100\%) |

Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*


17,050 households comprising 84,599 returning IDPs were identified in 166 villages in Benishangul Gumuz region.There was a substantial increase of 23,444 returning IDPs (+38.4\%) this round. A total of 64 additional villages were covered this round following an influx of returning IDPs. Returning IDPs in Metekel zone came from Amhara region, while those in Bilidiglu and Kamashi woredas mainly returned from Oromia region. For many returning IDPs, their places of origin and displacement locations are within the same kebeles. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in all 166 villages (100\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 121 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 1,197 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 2,409 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 80 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 6,902 | Separated <br> children | 519 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 579 | Orphaned <br> children | 567 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 903 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 558 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 269 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 787 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 1 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 1,678 |


*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

## Dire Dawa

|  | 540 returning IDPs (106 households) | 2 IDPs <br> (2 households) | $\therefore 0$ host community members ( 0 households) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0.9\% Returning IDPs Dire Dawa | 2 villages covered | 1. Conflict was th $1{ }^{\circ}$ returning IDPs | reason for initial displacement for villages (100\%) |

## Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*


106 households comprising 540 returning IDPs were identified in 2 villages in Dire Dawa. No IDPs or host community community members were observed in these 2 villages. A majority of returning IDPs in both villages returned morethan 6 months ago. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in both villages.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 18 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 30 | Separated <br> children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 0 | Orphaned <br> children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 0 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 0 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 0 |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Dire Dawa

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of return-ing IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

## Harari

number of
number of
returning IDPs
returning IDPs


0 host community members ( 0 households)


## 2 villages covered



Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in both villages (100\%)

## Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*



145 households comprising 955 returning IDPs were identified in 2 villages in Harari region. There was no change in the number of returning IDPs since the previous round (February/March 2020). The majority of returning IDPs in both villages (100\%) arrived more than 6 months ago. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in both villages.

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 17 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 30 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 45 | Separated <br> children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 1 | Orphaned <br> children | 0 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 1 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 0 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 0 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 1 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 18 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 0 |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement Harari

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

## Oromia

$+0.4 \%$
Returning IDPs
Oromia

Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*

|  |  | 0 то 4 | 7\% | 7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 то 14 | 9\% | 10\% |
| 1 | 1 | 15 то 17 | 6\% | 6\% |
|  |  | 18 то 24 | 8\% | 8\% |
|  |  | 25 то 35 | 8\% | 9\% |
| 48.3\% male | 51.7\% female | 36 то 59 | 9\% | 9\% |
| 376,966 males | 406,966 females | $60+$ |  |  |

135,095 households comprising 786,963 returning IDPs were identified in 691 villages in Oromia region. There was a slight increase of 3,001 returning IDPs (+0.4\%) mainly due to returns in West Arsi, Bale, East Shewa and West Shewa zones. However, there were significant accessibility challenges this round as 90 villages could not be accessed due to security and road inaccessibility issues. The majority of returning IDPs in 615 villages (89\%) arrived more than 6 months ago. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in 676 villages (98\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 668 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 3,241 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 36,936 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 464 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 51,940 | Separated <br> children | 1,182 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> under 18 | 2,084 | Orphaned <br> children | 4,368 |
| Persons with <br> mental or phys- <br> ical disabilities <br> over 18 | 3,152 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 6,253 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 1,127 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 2,480 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 1,307 | Child-headed <br> households | 321 |
| Ethnic minorities | 1,679 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 7,785 |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Oromia

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

## SNNPR \& Sidama

 initial displacement for returning IDPs in all 123 villages (100\%).

## Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in SNNPR \& Sidama


*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.

Figure 56: Returning IDP figures for SNNPR \& Sidama in VAS round 5.


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Somali


## Tigray

-6.5\%
Returning IDPs

58,687 host community members (12,822 households)

Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*
 2,593 males

27 villages covered

Seasonal floods was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in 23 villages (85\%)

1,308 households comprising 5,565 returning IDPs were identified in 27 villages in Tigray region. There was a decrease of 384 returning IDPs (-6.5\%) this round. The majority of returning IDPs in 26 villages (96\%) arrived more than 6 months ago. Seasonal floods were the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in 23 villages (85\%).

| Pregnant girls <br> under 18 | 0 | Elderly persons <br> without care <br> givers | 649 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pregnant women <br> over 18 | 1,138 | Unaccompanied <br> children | 0 |
| Breastfeeding <br> mothers | 1,678 | Separated <br> children | 5 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities under <br> 18 | 210 | Orphaned <br> children | 210 |
| Persons with <br> mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 389 | Single-female <br> headed house- <br> holds | 1,308 |
| Persons with <br> chronic diseases | 916 | Single-male <br> headed house- <br> holds | 1,376 |
| Religious minori- <br> ties | 0 | Child-headed <br> households | 0 |
| Ethnic minorities | 0 | Elderly-headed <br> households | 1,354 |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Tigray

*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is calculated at village level.
Figure 58: Returning IDP figures for Tigray region in VAS round 5.

## LIVELIHOODS

The main livelihood for 453 villages in Oromia is farming, followed by pastoralism in 116 villages. In Benishangul Gumuz, the most common livelihood is farming as found in 148 villages.

Figure 59: Main type of livelihood in each village by region.


## Agriculture

## Most Needed Agricultural Inputs

The most needed agricultural input is seeds, as reported in 1,139 villages. The second most needed agricultural input is tools in 1,035 villages.

■ Seeds
■ Tools
■ Fertilizers

- Training
■ Labor
■ Land
■ Other
■ None
s.



## Lack of Access to Land for Cultivation

Returning IDPs in 155 villages (13\%) do not have access to land for cultivation.


Figure 60: Villages where returning IDPs do not have access to land for cultivation/farming.

## Average Land Holding Per Household

- Amhara: 2 hectares
- Oromia: 1.4 hectares
- Benishangul-Gumuz:
3.47 hectares
- Dire Dawa: 0.5 hectares
- Harari: 1 hectares
- SNNPR: 0.4 hectares
- Somali: 0.74 hectares
- Tigray: 0.46 hecatres


## Food

## Food Types Available in Villages

Milk is reportedly available in 786 villages, while vegetables are available in 770 villages.


Figure 61: Food types available in villages.

## Livestock

Of the 1,092 villages (90.6\%) that own livestock, the pie chart shows the main problems affecting livestock herders:


## Livelihood Issues

The main issue with livelihoods in a majority of villages is food shortage, as reported in 506 villages (42\%). This is followed by a lack of non-agricultural livelihood activities in 243 villages (20.1\%), and shortage of farmland in 221 villages (18.3\%). Climate related concerns also affect livelihoods in 65 villages (5.3\%).

Figure 64: Main livelihood issues in villages assessed.

## Problems Affecting Production of Food and Cash Crops

883 villages were affected by crop disease, while 786 villages were affected by other forms of crop damage caused by insects such as desert locust, livestock and wildlife.


Figure 62: Main problems affecting the production of food and cash crops across sites.


Figure 63 (on the left): Main problems faced by livestock herders across villages surveyed.

| Food shortage | $506$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lack of non-agricultural livelihood activities | $243$ |
| Shortage of farmland | - 221 |
| Climate-related reasons | - 65 |
| Other | - 54 |
| Not enough jobs | - 50 |
| Lack qualifications/skills | - 28 |
| Distance | - 20 |
| Locusts | -13 |
| Jobs pay too low | 13 |
| Unequal access | 11 |
| No issues | 1 |

## HEALTH

## Availability of Health Facilities

## Villages Without Access to Health Facilities

870 out of 1,205 villages ( $72 \%$ ) do not have a health facility.


Figure 65: Villages that do not have health facilities.

## Health Concerns



Malaria is the main concern for 65 villages (76\%) in Amhara followed by scabies in 9 villages (10\%).


Typhoid is the main Typhoid is the main concern for 185 vil- concern for 93 villages lages (27\%) in Oromia (76\%) in SNNPR and followed by pneumonia in 164 villages (24\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 160 villages (97\%) in Benishangul Gumuz.
 Sidama followed by malaria and pneumonia in 9 villages each (7\%).

## Common Problems With Health Services

Of the 334 villages that have access to health facilities, the lack of medicine is the most common problems as found in 286 villages.


Figure 66: Common problems in accessing health services in villages where health failities are available.


Waterborne diseases Malaria is the main is the main concern concern for one village for both villages (50\%) in Harari followed (100\%) in Dire Dawa. by scabies in the other village (50\%).


Penumonia is the main concern for 37 villages (34\%) in Somali followed by malaria in 36 villages (34\%).


Pneumonia is the main concern for 13 villages (48\%) in Tigray followed by diarrhea in 7 villages (26\%).

## - <br> WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

## Main Source Water



## Accessibility of Water

## Distance to Nearest Water Source

The main water distribution point for most villages ( 493 villages or $41 \%$ ) is a $20-30$ minutes walk one way. However, it takes more than 45 minutes one-way for people in 172 villages (14\%) to reach the nearest water source.


Figure 68: Distance to the nearest water source.


## Main Source of Drinking Water

The main source of drinking water in 360 villages is rivers (30\%), and in 170 villages it is other sources (14\%). In 152 villages (13\%), people rely on unprotected springs, while in 129 villages (11\%), people rely on Protected springs.

Figure 67: Main source of drinking water across villages.


Figure 69: The average queue time for water across the villages surveyed.


Water sources are accessible to all households in 557 villages (46\%).

Water sources are not accessible to all households in 648 villages (54\%).

Main Reasons for Not Having Water Access
Reasons for insufficient household access to water include a general lack of sufficient water sources (311 villages), poor quality of water (161 villages) and distance ( 148 villages).

Figure 70: Major reasons affecting water accessibility.

## EDUCATION

## Accessibility of Schools



## Kindergarten

Kindergartens are available across 56 villages in Amhara, Benishangul Gumuz, Oromia,Sidama, SNNPR and Somali regions. 62,139 returning IDPs, 56 IDPs and 45,646 host community children are attending kindergarten.


Secondary schools are available across 58 vilages inAmhara, Benishangul Gumuz, Oromia, Sidama, SNNPR, Somali and Tigray. 141,488 returning IDPs, 58 IDPs and 129,018 host community children are attending secondary schools.

## Primary School

Primary schools are available in 601 villages across 6 regions. The following is the attendance for each population category:

| Region | Number of Villages <br> With Primary Schools | Number of Returning IDP <br> Children Attending | Number of IDP <br> Children Attending | Number of Host Commu- <br> nity Children Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amhara | 26 | 8,550 | 315 | 14,749 |
| Benishangul <br> Gumuz | 35 | 24,249 | 195 | 16,391 |
| Oromia | 397 | 469,990 | 3,442 | 569,606 |
|  <br> Sidama | 51 | 56,469 | 0 | 115,747 |
| Somali | 65 | 295,863 | 2,220 | 85,260 |
| Tigray | 27 | 5,635 | 32 | 58,687 |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 0 , 6 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 0 , 4 4 0}$ |

Figure 71: Number of primary schools and primary school attendance across villages.
Based on data available, it was found that there are 469,990 returning IDP children attending primary school in Oromia, along with 3,442 IDP children and 569,606 host community children. In Somali, 295,863 returning IDP children, 2,220 IDP children and 85,260 host community children are attending primary school.

## Education Challenges



## Reasons for Dissatisfaction with Schools

It is reported that 432 villages are not satisfied with the standard of schools due to the temporary closure CoVID-19. The second reason for dissatisfaction with schools is poor infrastructure as reported in 399 villages.This is followed by a lack of teachers across 181 villages.

Figure 72: Reaons for dissatisfaction with schools.

## SHELTER

## Shelter Types \& Conditions

The 2 main housing options in villages are houses made of mud wall with thatched roofing in 905 villages, followed by houses made of mud walls with iron sheet roofing in 842 villages.

Out of 1,205 villages, a majority of returning IDPs have returned to their own homes in 905 villages ( $75 \%$ ), while those in 301 villages (11\%) have not. Of those who returned to their homes, more than $75 \%$ of shelters were fully damaged in 104 villages.

Of those who did not return to their own homes, a majority of returning IDPs cited that it was because their shelters were completely damaged in 225 villages and partially damaged in 55 villages. Notably, returning IDPs in 9 villages said that they did not own shelters.

## Issues With Shelter

Figure 74: Issues with shelters across villages by region.



The main issue with shelters across the villages is the poor quality of shelters as reported in 386 villages in Oromia, 76 villages in SNNPR and Sidama, 55 villages in Somali, and 47 villages in Amhara. The next notable issue is the cost of construction which is said to be too expensive in 257 villages in Oromia. The lack of shelters or overcrowding is also an issue in 34 villages in Oromia and 15 villages in Benishangul Gumuz.

## NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)



Figure 75: Most needed NFI for returning IDPs.

The most needed NFI for returning IDPs is emergency shelter kits as reported in 449 villages (37\%), followed by bedding sets in 257 villages (21\%) and hygiene kits in 242 villages (20\%).


480 villages (40\%) have access to NFI selling markets.


725 villages (60\%) have no access to NFI selling markets.

## HOUSING, LAND AND PROPERTY (HLP)

## Land Ownership \& Land Access

## Main Form of Land Tenure

Based on the pie chart below, the main form of land tenure is landholding certificates in 675 villages (56\%), while communal land is the main form of land ownership in 316 villages (26\%). Meanwhile, leased land is the main form of land ownership in 143 villages (12\%).


- Landholding certificate
- Communal land
- Leased land
- Other
- Informal land tensure
- Public institutes

Figure 76: Main forms of land ownership.

## Claim and Access to Land

A majority of returning IDPs in 897 villages ( $74 \%$ ) had a claim to land and currently have access to land. However, returning IDPs in 304 villages (25\%) stated that they had a claim to land but no longer have access to it.


Figure 77: Villages where returning IDPs have a claim to land but do not have access to their land.


Tenure Documentation
Access to Official Documentation Related to Housing, Land and Property


## PROTECTION

The relationship between returning IDPs and host communities is reportedly good in 31 villages (3\%). The relationship was reported to be poor in 3 villages ( 0.3 \%).

In addition, threats of conflict were found in 38 villages (3\%) and threats of violence in 93 villages (8\%) since IDPs returned, while threats of both volance and conflict were reported in 68 villages (6\%).

A government recognized security provider is present in 1,117 villages ( $93 \%$ ).
(X)

A government recognized security provider is not present in 88 villages ( $7 \%$ ).

## Obstacles to Return to Abandoned HLP

The main perceived, potential or concrete obstacle for returning IDPs to return to their abandoned housing, land and property (HLP) is damage and destruction as reported in 666 villages. This is followed by the lack of essential services and infrastructure in 596 villages.

Figure 78: Perceived, potential or concrete obstacles to return to abandoned housing, land and property.


In 567 sites, more than $75 \%$ of male returning IDPs had access to official documentation related to hoursing, land and property, while more than $75 \%$ of femala returning IDPs had access to these documents in 308 sites.

Figure 79: Percentage of male and female returning IDPs who currently have access to official documentation.

## Relationship Between Returning IDPs and Host Communities



Figure 80: The reported relationship between returning IDPs and host communities across villages.


## Percentage of Returning IDPs With a Separated Family Member

In 724 sites, returning IDPs did not report having separated family members. However, in 449 sites, less than $25 \%$ of returning IDPs reported having a separated family member.

Figure 81: Percentage of returning IDPs with a spearated family member by number of sites.

## $\xrightarrow{1}$ <br> LEGAL ACCESS AND POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

## Political Participation

## Voting

One of the main obstacles impeding returning IDPs from voting is the lack of knowledge on local affairs in 209 villages (17\%), followed by the lack of documentation in 95 villages (8\%).


Figure 82: Main obstacles foreseen for returning IDPs to participate in voting.

## Justice Mechanisms

The Kebele administration is the justice mechanism most used by returning IDPs and host communities in 591 villages (49\%). The second most commonly used justice system are traditional committees as reported in 302 villages (25\%).

Figure 83: Type of justice mechanism most prevalently used by returning IDPs and host communities.


## Participation in Community, Social and Political Organizations

There are various community, social and political organizations existing across the villages. The most common are religious groups which are present in 902 villages. Village or Kebele Committee(s) can be found in 687 villages, while youth and/or women organizations can be found in 431 villages.

In 1,064 villages, returning IDPs are actively participating in these groups, but are not actively participating in 61 villages.


## COVID-I9



## Most Impacted Population Groups

In 551 villages, respondents mentioned that everyone was affected the same by COVID-19. However, in 448 villages, returning IDPs were said to be the most impacted, followed by daily laborers in 405 villages.

Figure 84: Population groups most impacted by COVID-19 across villages.

Figure 85: Coping mechanisms adopted by people living in villages hosting returning IDPs.

In 435 villages (36\%), people resorted to borrowing money from family and friends, while in 406 villages (34\%), people were reducing their meals.

Shortage of Items \& Price Increases


Figure 87: Shortage of items by number of sites.


Availability of Quarantine Facilities


Figure 86: Availability of quarantine facilities by sites.

There were no quarantine facilities across 704 villages (58\%). However, quarantine facilities were available in 410 villages (34\%) whereby the facilities were off-site in 386 villages and on-site in only 24 villages.

COVID-19 has impacted the supply of various items. In 999 villages (83\%), IDPs mentioned that there was a shortage of hygiene products. In 906 villages (75\%), there was a food shortage.

Additionally, it was reported that the price of hygiene products has increased across 1,072 villages (89\%) and the price of food has also increased across 1,004 villages (83\%).

## ANNEX A <br> SUMMARY TABLE OF DISPLACEMENT
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## SUMMARY TABLE OF RETURN

|  |  |  | Displaceme | Tracking M | x/Village As | sment Surve |  |  | Summary Tab | of Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of Asessed | meemaly $\mathrm{i}_{\text {a }}$ | red people | Return |  | mms | Host/Receivir | Community | Resente |  | Other p | mation |  |  |
| Region | zone |  | villez | Household | divdur | Household | Individal |  | Housenold | dividual | Household | divdual | Household | dividual |  | Tomal |
| ${ }_{\text {Amhara }}^{\text {Ampara }}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {Amiagee }}$ | Jawi | $\stackrel{1}{9}$ |  | -19 | ${ }_{2}^{270}$ | ${ }_{3}^{1,249}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{626}^{270}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,249 \\ 3,192}}$ |
|  |  |  | 26 | 19 | 91 | ${ }^{1,744}$ |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,763 |  |
| Amhara | Central Sonder | East Dembia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |
| Amhara | Central oonder | Gonder zuria | 7 |  |  | ${ }^{438}$ | 2,289 |  | 140 | 700 | 155 | 750 | 77 |  | ${ }^{733}$ | 3,399 |
| Amhara | Central Gonder | Tach Amaxheho | 11 |  |  | ${ }^{221}$ | ${ }^{899}$ | 88 | 2,845 | 10,006 |  |  | 477 | 477 | 3,543 | ${ }_{\text {11,382 }}^{11,388}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Amhara }}$ | $cOromia Oromia$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {Artuma fursi }}$ Kemisie | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ |  |  | 140 86 8 | ${ }_{284}^{700}$ | ${ }_{30}^{38}$ | 87 |  |  |  |  |  | 390 <br> 173 | (1988 |
| Amhara | South Wollo | Kalu | 1 |  |  | 35 | 170 | 3 | ${ }_{40}$ | 192 |  |  |  |  | 75 | ${ }_{365}^{601}$ |
| Amhara | Wag timra | Dehana | 1 |  |  | 21 | 100 |  | 52 | 260 |  |  |  |  | 73 | ${ }_{360}$ |
| Amhara | West 6 onder | Metema | ${ }^{13}$ | 65 | 328 | 645 | 3,996 |  | 618 | 2,999 |  |  | 275 | 275 | 1,603 | 6,998 |
|  | West 6 onder | Quara | ${ }_{86}^{11}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 明 | ${ }^{796}$ | 3,290 |  | +1,322 | 6,610 | 3 | ${ }^{220}$ |  |  | 2,168 | 10,766 |
| ${ }_{\text {Amhara }}^{\text {Atoal }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{86}$ | 91 | 449 | 5,091 | 24,892 | 76 | 5,354 | 22,304 | ${ }^{203}$ | 990 | 752 | 752 | 11,991 | 49,458 |
|  | Asossa | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\substack{\text { Assosa } \\ \text { bilidifiu }}}$ | ${ }_{41}$ | 30 | 180 | 5 32 | 25,723 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.122 | 2828 28,93 |
| Benishangul Gumz | Asosa | Maokomo Special | 5 |  |  | 298 | 1,986 | 3 | 70 | ${ }^{450}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{368}$ | 2,436 |
| Benishangu Gumz | ${ }_{\text {Kemashi }}^{\text {Kemat }}$ |  | 9 | 57 | 9 | 137 | \%266 | ${ }_{213}^{213}$ | ${ }_{592}$ | 3,992 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,931 |
| ${ }^{\text {Ben }}$ Benishangul Gumz | enashi | Seashit | 33 <br> 8 | ${ }^{57}$ | 163 1.200 | 4,403 | - $23,3,54$ | 30 210 | ${ }_{1}^{2968}$ | (14,07 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{7,428}$ |  |
| Benishangul Gumz | Kemashi | Yaso | ${ }_{38}$ | 70 | ${ }^{1,301}$ | 3,646 | 16,023 | 2 | ${ }_{1,403}$ | ${ }_{6,704}$ |  | : |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{\text {5,119 }}$ | 23,30 |
| Benishangul Gumz | Kemashi | Sadal | 14 | 30 | 122 | 2,014 | 9,924 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,044 | 10,946 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Bentisangu Gumz } \\ \text { Benishanul Gumz }}}$ | Metetel | Mandura | ${ }^{15}$ | 15 |  | ${ }_{59}^{942}$ | 4,027 |  | 1,769 | ${ }_{\text {\% }}^{8.641}$ | , |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {2,711 }}$ |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { benishanu Gumz } \\ \text { Benishangul Gumz Total }}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{166}$ | ${ }_{442}^{15}$ | 2,065 | 17,550 | 829599 | 455 | 9,011 | 2,860 4 4,614 | : | - |  |  | 26,503 |  |
| Dire dawa | Dire Dawa | Dire oawa | 2 |  |  | 106 | 540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{106}$ | 540 |
| Dire oawa Total |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ |  |  | 106 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 63 | (540 |
| ${ }_{\text {Harari }}$ | $\underset{\text { Haren }}{\text { Harei }}$ | Soff Dire Teyara | 1 |  |  | ${ }_{82}^{63}$ | 315 640 |  | - | - |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{63}$ | 315 640 |
| Hararai Total |  |  | 2 | : | . | 195 | 955 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 195 |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Oromia } \\ \text { Oromia }}}{\text { a }}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{\text { Bale } \\ \text { gale }}}$ | Dave Kachen Gura Damole | 7 |  |  | ${ }_{1,279}^{1,197}$ | 8,346 7,647 |  | ${ }_{435}^{420}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,198 \\ 2145}}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{1,617 \\ 1,714}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | (10,549 |
| Oromia | Bale | lege hida | 2 |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,691}$ | ${ }^{11,363}$ |  | 683 | 2,822 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2,374}^{2,56}$ | 19,185 |
| Oromia | $\substack{\text { Bale } \\ \text { Bale }}$ |  | 5 3 |  |  | 2, ${ }_{\text {2,234 }}$ | ¢ |  | ${ }_{462} 44$ | 2,460 <br> 2,275 <br> 125 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {2,596 }}$ |  |
| oromia | Bale | Oawe Serar | 5 |  |  | 693 | 4,594 |  | 118 | 683 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{811}$ | 5,277 |
| omia | Bale | Meda Weabu | ${ }_{18}^{18}$ | 64 |  | 304 | 1,511 |  | ${ }^{36}$ | 172 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{30} 10$ |  |
| Oromia | ${ }_{\text {Brarena }}^{\text {Brona }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Guchi } \\ \text { Movale }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{44}^{18}$ | 64 |  | 7,275 22,711 | 40,906 113,93 |  | 67 156 | ${ }_{\substack{335 \\ 766}}$ |  |  |  |  | (7,006 | 41,061 114,79 |
| oromia | Esatharege | Babile | ${ }^{30}$ |  |  | 4,095 | 20,96 | 20 | 1,960 | 9,988 |  |  |  |  | 6,055 | 30,314 |
| ${ }^{\text {Oromia }}$ | East Harege | Chinasen | ${ }^{22}$ |  |  | 2,205 | 10,833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,205 | (1,833 |
| (ormma |  | Geirawa | 1 | 2 | 11 | ${ }_{44}$ |  | 15 | 180 | 900 |  |  |  |  | 226 | 3,704 1,146 |
| Oromia | East Harerge | Kumbi | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | 4,045 | 15,614 |  | 1,781 | 8,322 |  |  |  |  | 5,826 | 24,346 |
| Oromia | ${ }^{\text {Eastharere }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Meta }}^{\text {Meru Muluke }}$ | 7 |  |  | 694 1.990 |  | 6 | 900 | 4,500 |  |  |  |  | 1, 1.994 | li,970 |
| Oromia | Eastharerge | Mideges Tola | 2 |  |  | 95 | ${ }_{485}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 | 485 |
| ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{\text { Oromia } \\ \text { Oromia }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\substack{\text { East Shewa } \\ \text { East Welega }}}$ |  | 3 2 2 | . |  | ${ }_{80}^{53}$ | ${ }_{515}^{265}$ | 40 | 361 269 | 1,805 886 |  |  |  |  | 4394 | ${ }_{1,411}^{2,070}$ |
| oromia | East Wellega | Giida Ayana | 2 |  |  | 480 | 2,212 |  | 1.540 | 10,613 |  |  |  |  | 2,020 | 12825 |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Oromia } \\ \text { Oromia }}}{\text { ate }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Haro Limu } \\ \text { Leka oulecha }}}{\text { a }}$ | $\stackrel{12}{2}$ | ${ }^{680}$ | 3,611 | 4,548 43 | 35,296 |  | 4,578 | ci, 31.44 |  |  |  |  | ¢, 1306 | 69,951 |
| Oromia | East Welega | Limu | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 370 | 1,637 |  | 1,477 | 8,572 |  |  |  |  | 1,847 | 10,29 |
| Oromia | Esat Wellega | Sasiga | 17 |  |  | 5,766 | 29,132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,766 | 29,32 |
| Oromia | Guil | Odoshakiso | 17 |  |  | ${ }^{1,0,013}$ | +4,359 |  | 11,990 1,955 | 55,777 |  |  |  |  | (3,003 |  |
| (oromia | ${ }_{\text {Guil }}^{\text {Guil }}$ | Uregal city Administation | 10 |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{453}$ | 2,663 |  | 1,995 | 12,620 | 110 | 510 |  |  | ${ }_{115}^{1,488}$ |  |
| Oromia | Westarsi | Straro | 13 |  |  | ${ }^{202}$ | 1,949 |  | 680 | 2,960 |  |  |  |  | 882 | 4,009 |
| Oromia | West Arsi |  | ${ }_{1}^{8}$ |  |  | 261 20 | 1,305 100 |  | ${ }_{50}^{499}$ | 2,2, ${ }_{150}$ |  |  |  |  | 710 70 | (3,530 |
| mia | est Gui | Abaya | ${ }^{48}$ |  |  | 6,104 | 37,120 |  | 12472 | 72,416 |  |  |  |  | 1,576 | 109,536 |
| Oromia | West Gui | Biribisa kojowa | ${ }^{32}$ |  |  | 6,013 | 34,113 |  | 9,005 | 50,912 |  |  |  |  | 15,018 | ${ }_{85,025}$ |



For datasets and more reports from DTM Ethiopia, visit our website using the following QR Code
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    Round 1 (8 - 16 February 2019). Available at: https://displacement.iom.int/reports/ethiopia-\%E2\%80\%94-benishangul-gu-muz-east-west-wellega-1-rapid-response-site-assessment-report-date?close=true.
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