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## INTRODUCTION

## Background: Population Mobility and Internal Displacement in Ethiopia

Ethiopia faces significant internal displacement. In 2018, Ethiopia recorded the third highest number of new displacements worldwide, with 3,191,000 internally displaced persons (IDPs) identified. ${ }^{1}$ A significant portion of these displacements are conflict-induced, largely related to ethnic and border-based disputes. Old tensions such as the contestation of the Oromia-Somali regional border which first flared up in 2017 continue to persist, while new conflicts have also emerged. In April and later in June 2018, conflict which was aggravated by competition for land and resources broke out between Gedeo and Guji Oromo tribes in West Guji. It is estimated that by August 2018, 748,499 IDPs were displaced from the Gedeo-West Guji conflict alone. ${ }^{2}$ Simultaneously, a localized conflict in Benishangul Gumuz region and the East and West Wellega zones of Oromia region displaced an estimated 191,995 IDPs. ${ }^{3}$ This brought displacement in Ethiopia to a peak of 3.04 million IDPs in March 2019.

Ethiopia is also riddled with climate-induced displacement mainly caused by drought and floods. Beginning in 2015, Ethiopia faced one of the strongest onsets of El Niño, a periodic heating of the eastern tropical Pacific, which reduced the kiremt rainfall ${ }^{4}$ and successively resulted in drought in the Southern and Southeastern parts of the country. ${ }^{5}$ This prolonged drought continued to impact agricultural and pastoralist communities across Ethiopia in 2019 by driving down crop yields of the main meher harvest ${ }^{6}$, reduced pastures for livestock, and dried up water resources. Floods are another major cause of climate-induced displacement. While certain areas experienced reduced rainfall, others experienced heavy rainfall and floods during the kiremt rainy season in many low laying areas. Around 202,202 IDPs were displaced in October 2019 due to several flood incidences in Afar, Oromia, SNNPR and Somali regions ${ }^{7}$.

Adding to the high mobility landscape of Ethiopia is the number and rate of returns. According to the government, 1.8 million IDPs have returned to their place of origin as of June 2019. This nationwide, government-led return operation has been ongoing since April 2019. ${ }^{8}$

Since June 2019, Ethiopia has been combating a desert locust invasion which is reportedly the worst the country has seen in 25 years. As of January 2020, hopper bands had covered more than $429 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ worth of crops and vegetation. ${ }^{9}$ Since arriving in the country, the desert locusts have bred and produced millions of hoppers, placing additional strain on food security and livelihoods. If left uncontrolled, this could lead to 500 times more locusts than at present. ${ }^{10}$

[^0]In March 2020, the Ministry of Health confirmed the first COVID-19 case in Ethiopia. Since then, the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases has increased to 370,054 cases as of 20 November 2021. ${ }^{14}$ The spread of COVID-19 and regulations to curb it has caused unemployment and has exacerbated the food insecurity situation in the country. On 29 June 2020, the killing of a popular musician in Addis Ababa sparked civil unrest across Oromia region. ${ }^{15}$

In early November 2020, the regional party of Tigray allegedly attacked the Northern Command of Ethiopia's National Defense Force in Mekelle, Tigray region, prompting a military offensive from the federal government of Ethiopia. Following this, conflict broke out in the North of Ethiopia and it is estimated that more than two million IDPs have been displaced due to the conflict. ${ }^{16}$

## IOM Population Observation in Ethiopia

In order to capture population mobility and internal displacement in Ethiopia, IOM's Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) is deployed to track internally displaced persons (IDPs), returning IDPs, migrants and returned migrants. IOM entered into partnership with the Government of Ethiopia's National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC) in August 2016, and has since been regularly implementing DTM assessments in Ethiopia since September 2016.

DTM tools that are active in Ethiopia, include a quarterly Site Assessment (SA) which focuses on tracking internal displacement and a quarterly Village Assessment Survey (VAS) aimed at tracking returns. SA covers areas where there are reports of 20 or more IDP households, whereas VAS covers areas where there are reportedly 5 or more returning IDP households. In addition, a monthly Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) tool has been deployed to cover crises with significant displacement, such as the Northern Ethiopia Crisis.

Figure 1 illustrates DTM's coverage of its SA and VAS in August and September 2021 and its ESA tool in August 2021. The areas in blue represent areas that were exclusively covered by SA, while the areas in green represent areas where both SA and VAS were deployed. The areas in yellow represent areas that were only covered by VAS, while the areas covered in pink represent areas covered exclusively by the ESA.


Figure 1: Geographic coverage of DTM assessments in August and September 2021.
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## KEY FINDINGS — SITE ASSESSMENT \& EMERGENCY SITE ASSESSMENT

Site Assessment: 1,577 sites covered
Emergency Site Assessment: 695 sites covered


Site Assessment: 424 inaccessible sites Emergency Site Assessment: 35 inaccessible sites

## Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) — Nationwide

## 4,239,636 individuals

## - 2,124,983 individuals

(identified by Site Assessment round 27 across 9 regions except Addis Ababa, Benishangul Gumz and Tigray regions)

- 2,114,653 individuals
(identified by Emergency Site Assessment round 8 in Tigray, Afar and Amhara regions due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis )


## 828,407 households

- 378,761 households
(identified by Site Assessment round 27 across 9 regions except Addis Ababa, Benishangul Gumz and Tigray regions)
- 453,263 households
(identified by Emergency Site Assessment round 8 in Tigray, Afar and Amhara regions due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis)
+2\% increase in IDPs since National Displacement Report 9 (where there were 4,171,550 IDPs)
Reasons for Displacement


3,589,421 conflict displaced IDPs 307,871 drought displaced IDPs 139,199 seasonal floods displaced IDPs (85\%) in 1,855 sites
(7\%) in 225 sites
(3\%) in 64 sites
-1,474,768 conflict displaced IDPs in 1,160 sites (identified by Site Assessment)

- 2,114,653 conflict displaced IDPs in 695 sites (identified by Emergency Site Assessment)

Other reasons for displacement included: Flash Floods ( 119,744 IDPs), Social Tension ( 50,828 IDPs), Landslides ( 12,278 IDPs), Swampy Lands ( 10,773 IDPs), Hydropower Projects ( 4,855 IDPs), Strong Winds ( 2,054 IDPs), Volcanoes (1,450 IDPs), Fire (853 IDPs) and Economic Factors (310 IDPs).

## Top 3 Zones With the Highest Displacement Figures

五
North Western 802,759 IDPs in 123 sites

Figure 2: Top 3 zones with the highest displacement caseloads


## SITE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

IOM Ethiopia employs a series of tools in its DTM. The present methodology refers to the Site Assessment tool which falls under the Mobility Tracking component of the DTM. Data for this tool is collected at the zonal, woreda and site level on a quarterly basis. Since September 2016, IOM Ethiopia has conducted 27 rounds of data collection. The DTM programme is implemented in close collaboration with the National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC) and regional, zonal and woreda counterparts.

IOM's DTM team is composed of 150 field enumerators, under the direct supervision of 15 field focal points, and the overall supervision of the DTM team based at IOM's Addis Ababa Country Office. The data collection methodology is divided into three administrative levels:

Zone level: Data is collected through interviews with key informants from the Disaster Risk Management Office (DRMO), community representatives, and the education and health offices. This data includes the estimated number of displaced individuals, a list of woredas within the zone that hosts displaced persons, reasons for displacement, time of arrival of IDPs and their locations.

Coverage of this round



Data collection:
15 August - 23 September 2021
Woreda level: Information is collected through key informants at woreda level and data collected includes number of displaced households and individuals at woreda level, site typology and approximate locations of identifiable displacement sites among other indicators. The information is used to plan the roll out of the Site Assessment.

Site level: In-depth Site Assessments capture detailed information through focus group discussions, key informant interviews and direct observation. The focus group discussions are made up of 7 individuals comprising of male, female, elderly and youth IDP representatives. The Site Assessment is conducted at sites where there are 20 IDP households or more. Data on available services by sector, accessibility constraints, exact type, location and name of the site, place of origin of IDPs, estimated size and type of the site and most common type of shelter are captured. Age and gender disaggregation for the site is extrapolated using a demographic calculator tool based on the age range and sex of the household members. A total of 30 IDP households are sampled at each site. However, all households are sampled for sites where there are fewer than 30 IDP households.


Figure 3: The map depicts the percentage of sites assessed by DTM at zonal level for this round.


424 Inaccessible Sites
Data was not merged from previous rounds for uncovered sites. This methodology was implemented as of round 18 onwards. For round 27,305 sites had security issues, mainly in West Wallaga, North Wollo, East Wallaga, Guji, West Guji, Metekel, Asosa, Kemashi, East Hararge and Wag Himra zones. 119 sites were inaccessible due to road issues and this was primarily in East Harerge, West Harerge and Bale zones.

## OVERVIEW OF DISPLACEMENT

## National Level



Figure 4: The displacement caseload in Ethiopia based on data collected in June and July 2021 through Site Assessment round 26 and Emergency Site Assessment round 7.

According to data collected through the Site Assessment round 27 in August and September 2021, 2.12 million IDPs ( 378,761 households) were internally displaced across 1,577 accessible sites in Ethiopia. This reflects a $+2.85 \%$ increase of 58,820 IDPs since the previous round's data collection in June and July 2021.

The gender breakdown of IDPs is almost equal with 1,059,635 males (49.9\%) and 1,065,348 females (50.1\%). Conflict remains the primary cause of displacement and displaced 1.47 million IDPs (69\%), while drought displaced 307,871 IDPs (14\%), seasonal floods displaced 139,199 IDPs (7\%), flash floods displaced 119,744 IDPs (6\%), social tension displaced 50,828 IDPs (2\%), landslides displaced 12,278 (1\%) and swampy land displaced 10,773 (1\%).

Factors preventing return as cited by respondents include damaged or destroyed houses and livelihoods
in 1,138 sites (72\%) and 1,060 sites (67\%) respectively, followed by a lack of food in 1,007 sites ( $64 \%$ ). The main form of support requested to help resolve displacement was economic opportunities across 1,499 sites ( $95 \%$ ), followed by the restoration of lost assets in 1,285 sites ( $81 \%$ ).

Additionally, the Northern Ethiopia Crisis broke out in November 2020 and displacement caused by this crisis was captured through the Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 8 which was mainly conducted in August 2021. Through this assessment, a total of 2,114,653 IDPs (453,263 households) have been identified to be displaced across 695 sites in Tigray, Afar and Amhara regions thus far. However, it is important to state that this does not reflect the total number of persons displaced due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis as inaccessibility and insecurity continue to pose challenges to the data

## National Displacement Timeline



Figure 5: Number of IDPs identified nationwide by DTM since July - August 2019 (SA round 18). Round totals include displacement data captured through previously conducted Rapid Response Assessments and the ongoing Emergency Site Assessments.

IOM Ethiopia has been collecting data on In round 25 (March-April 2021), the total internal displacement via its Site Assessment tool for 27 rounds now. Round 20 (NovemberDecember 2019) and round 21 (February-March 2020) experienced increases in the number of IDPs to 1.73 million IDPs and 1.74 million IDPs respectively.

In round 22 (June-July 2020), the total number of IDPs increased to 1.82 million but this can be largely explained by the new coverage of SNNPR and Sidama region which additionally captured 93,982 IDPs. There was only a marginal increase in round 23 (August-September 2020) to 1.84 million IDPs. In round 24 the number of IDPs increased to 1.95 million IDPs.

In round 25 (March-April 2021), the total number of IDPs increased to 3.7 million. This increase was due to the 1.7 million IDPs displaced by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis and identified through Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 5. Since then, the number of IDPs has increased to 4.2 million IDPs throughout the country as of round 27 (August-September 2021), and this is inclusive of the 2.1 million IDPs displaced by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis identified through ESA round 8 (August 2021).


TOTAL: 255, 769 IDPs
106,440 IDPs (Site
Assessment) + 149,329 IDPs
(Emergency Site Assessment)


TOTAL: 47,440 IDP households
19,658 IDP households (Site
Assessment) + 27,782 IDP households (Emergency Site Assessment)

Site Assessment

$\rightarrow$| 106,440 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IDPs |$\quad \rightarrow$| 82 sites |
| :--- |
| covered |$\rightarrow$| Flash floods was the primary |
| :--- |
| reason for displacement and |
| displaced 48,501 IDPs (46\%) |

Sex and age breakdown of IDPs
53.8\% male 57,230 males
0 TO 4
5 TO 14
15 TO 17
18 TO 59
$60+$


■ Male ■ Female

19,658 households comprising 106,440 IDPs were identified in 82 sites in Afar region. These figures represent a decrease of 11,123 IDPs ( $-9.46 \%$ ) since round 26 (June - July 2021). There was an overall increase in the number of IDPs in Zone 2 and Zone 4 due to active conflict. However, 11 sites were inaccessible during the round due to violence, road insecurity and access

| Pregnant women and girl | 1,160 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Breastfeeding mothers | 1,566 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> under 18 | 124 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> over 18 | 237 |
| Persons with chronic diseases | 47 |
| Vulnerable older persons who need <br> support but do not have care givers | 100 |
| Single-female headed households | 462 |
| Single-male headed households | 251 |
| Child headed Households | 1 | restrictions. Flash floods were the primary cause of displacement for an estimated 48,501 IDPs, or 46\% of the displaced population.

## Emergency Site Assessment



The Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 8 was conducted to capture internal displacement caused by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis and was rolled out from 27 July- 31 August 2021. A headcount verification exercise took place in Shire in Tigray region from 29 September to 4 October 2021, and the results were incoporated into the report. The ESA is a multisectoral location assessment which assesses the number of IDPs and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.

Site Assessment \& Emergency Site Assessment


Figure 6: Displacement figures for Afar region based on Site Assessment round 27 and Emergency Site Assessment round 8.


TOTAL: 122,413 IDP households
83,673 IDP households (Site Assessment) $+38,740$ IDP households (Emergency Site Assessment)


Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


83,673 households comprising 391,260 IDPs were identified in 378 sites in Amhara region. These figures represent an increase of 57,234 IDPs ( $+17.13 \%$ ) since round 26 (June - July 2021). This significant increase was due to the opening of 110 new sites caused by the the arrival of new IDPs in South Wello, North Gondar, Central Gondar and West Gojam zones and a scale up of operations to obtain better coverage of the region. However, it should be noted that IDPs in Wag Hamra and North Wello zones could not be reached due to active conflict. Conflict was the primary reason for displacement for an

| Pregnant women and girls | 4,405 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Breastfeeding mothers | 16,463 |
| Persons with mental or physical <br> disabilities under 18 | 489 |
| Persons with mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 705 |
| Persons with chronic diseases | 1,251 |
| Vulnerable older persons who need <br> support but do not have care givers | 352 |
| Single-female headed households | 10,657 |
| Single-male headed households | 4,064 |
| Child-headed households | 13 |

Emergency Site Assessment


151,040 IDPs tracked by ESA round 8

38,740 IDP households tracked by ESA round 8

86 sites tracked by ESA round 8
Conflict due to the Northern
Ethiopia Crisis displaced
151,040 IDPs in Amhara
The Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 8 was conducted to capture internal displacement caused by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis and was rolled out from 27 July- 31 August 2021. A headcount verification exercise took place in Shire in Tigray region from 29 September to 4 October 2021, and the results were incoporated into the report. The ESA is a multisectoral location assessment which assesses the number of IDPs and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.

Site Assessment \& Emergency Site Assessment


Figure 7: Displacement figures for Amhara region based on Site Assessment round 27 and Emergency Site Assessment round 8.
Dire Dawa
No change
in number
of IDPs
Dire Dawa

Sex and age breakdown of IDPs


576 households comprising 2,491 IDPs were identified in 2 sites in Dire Dawa. There was no change in the number of IDPs since the previous round 26 (June - July 2021). Conflict was the main reason for displacement for 2,491 IDPs, or $100 \%$ of the displaced population.

| Pregnant women and girls | 45 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Breastfeeding mothers | 95 |
| Persons with mental or physical <br> disabilities under 18 | 0 |
| Persons with mental or physical <br> disabilities over 18 | 28 |
| Persons with chronic diseases | 0 |
| Vulnerable older persons who need <br> support but do not have care givers | 0 |
| Single-female headed households | 0 |
| Single-male headed households | 0 |
| Child-headed households | 0 |

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Dire Dawa


Figure 8: Displacement figures for Dire Dawa in round 27.


Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Gambela


Figure 9: Displacement figures for Gambela region in round 27.


Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Harari


Figure 10: Displacement figures for Harari region in round 27


Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Oromia


Figure 11: Displacement figures for Oromia region in round 27.

[^2]

Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Sidama


Figure 12: Displacement figures for Sidama region in round 27.
*The administrative breakdown of zones and woredas in Sidama region is a temporary arrangement as agreed with Sidama Regional Disaster Risk Management Commission until all administrative areas and boundaries have been finalised by the regional government. TRACKING MATRIX


Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in SNNP Region


Figure 13: Displacement figures for SNNP region in round 27.


Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Somali


Figure 14: Displacement figures for Somali region in round 27.


## Displacement Caseload and Causes of Displacement in Tigray

The Emergency Site Assessment (ESA) round 8 was conducted to capture internal displacement caused by the Northern Ethiopia Crisis and was carried out from 27 July-31 August 2021. A headcount verification exercise took place in Shire in Tigray region from 29 September to 4 October 2021, and the results were incorporated into the report.

The ESA is a multisectoral location assessment which assesses the number of IDPs and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.

Due to the nature of the ongoing crisis, only the Emergency Site Assessment was conducted in Tigray region as it is a shorter assessment than the Site Asssessment thus allowing for more efficient data collection and due to its higher frequency of being rolled out on a bimonthly basis as compared to a quarterly basis.


Figure 15: Displacement figures for Tigray region are based on Emergency Site Assessment round 8.
*The decrease in IDPs in Tigray region was mainly due to returns, as well as the door-to-door headcount verification exercise in Shire.
**Kindly note that the sex breakdown only applies to IDPs tracked in round 8 and were displaced after the crisis started in November 2020.

## MOBILITY

Number of Sites With Newly Arrived IDPs


Figure 16: Number of sites hosting IDPs who newly arrived within the last 2 months.

267 sites (17\%) reportedly registered 455,846 new IDP arrivals in the last 2 months, while 1,310 sites (83\%) did not.

Number of Sites with Newly Arrived IDPs That Had Been Displaced Previously


Figure 17: Number of Sites with Newly Arrived IDPs that been displaced previously.

Of the 267 sites that reported new IDP arrivials in the last 3 months, 73 sites reported that the majority of arriving IDPs had been previously displaced.

Newly Arrived IDPs


This map portrays areas hosting newly arrived IDPs. The lighter the shade, the fewer the number of newly arrived IDPs. Conversely, the darker the shade, the larger the number of newly arrived IDPs. The following are the top 3 woredas hosting the largest number of newly arrived IDPs:

Figure 18: Concentration of newly arrived IDPs. Amhara.
in Sasiga, East Wallage zone, Oromia.
in Zigem, Awi/Agew zone, Amhara.

Factors Preventing Return


Figure 19: Factors preventing return at national level.

Support Needed to Resolve Displacement


Figure 20: Support needed to resolve displacement at national level.

## DURABLE SOLUTIONS

## National Level

In 338 sites (21.4\%), the majority of IDPs prefer to return.



In 381 sites (24.2\%), the majority of IDPs prefer to relocate.

## Regional Level



Majority of IDPs in 68 sites (83\%) prefer local integration and IDPs in 13 sites (16\%) prefer return.


Majority of IDPs in 153 sites (41\%) prefer in relocation and in 132 (100\%) prefer local sites (35\%) prefer local integration.


Majority of IDPs in both sites integration.


Majority of IDPs in 11 sites (73\%) prefer local integration and IDPs in 4 sites (27\%) prefer return.


Majority of IDPs in 7 sites ( $88 \%$ ) prefer local integration and IDPs in 1 site (12\%) prefer return.


Majority of IDPs in 194 sites (44\%) prefer local integration and IDPs in 162 sites (37\%) prefer relocation.


Majority of IDPs in 10 sites (71\%) prefer return and IDPs in 4 sites (29\%) prefer relocation.


Majority of IDPs in 124 sites (59\%) prefer return and in 53 sites (25\%) prefer local integration.


Majority of IDPs in 391 sites (92\%) prefer local integration and in 27 sites (6\%) prefer relocation.

## Shelters in Current Location

## Site Types

Out of the 1,577 sites covered, IDPs in 920 sites were living in host communities, while IDPs in 485 sites were living in spontaneous camps. Meanwhile, IDPs in 71 sites were living in dispersed settlements and in 68 sites, IDPs were living in collective centers.


Figure 22: Site type by number of sites.

## Shelters in Places of Origin



Figure 24: Place of origin of the largest IDP group if more than 75\% of IDP households report that shelters in places of origin are fully destroyed.


## Level of Overcrowding

IDP households across 807 sites felt like they were living in low levels of overcrowding. However, in 599 sites, IDPs felt a moderate level of overcrowding and in 13 sites, they felt a very high level of overcrowding.


Figure 23: The level of overcrowding by the number of sites.

## Partially Destroyed Shelters

- In 21 sites, the shelters of 51-75\% of IDP households are partially destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 14 sites are in Somali region.
- In 5 sites, the shelters of more than $75 \%$ of IDP households are partially destroyed in their place of origin. All sites are in Oromia region.


## Fully Destroyed Shelters

- In 413 sites, the shelters of 51-75\% of IDP households are fully destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 148 sites are in Amhara region.
- In 829 sites, the shelters of more than $75 \%$ of IDP households are fully destroyed in their place of origin. Of these, 223 sites are in Somali region.

> Number of sites where damaged or destroyed houses was reported as the main factor preventing the return of the largest group of IDPs.

## $-\uparrow$ NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)



Figure 25: Most needed NFI for IDPs by site.

In 907 sites (58\%), IDPs most needed emergency shelter kits. In 364 sites (23\%), the most needed NFI was bedding sets, while in 215 sites (14\%) kitchen sets were identified as the most needed NFI.

IDPs in 838 sites have access to NFI-selling markets (53\%).

IDPs in 739 sites have no access to NFI-selling markets (47\%).

## HOUSING, LAND AND PROPERTY (HLP)

## Obstacles to Land Access

In 690 sites (34\%), land was not allocated to IDPs because it was physically not available. In 610 sites (30\%), the authority in charge of land administration has not taken a decision on allocating land to IDPs. In 381 sites (19\%), IDPs were not able to pay rent to use the land.


Figure 26: Types of obstacles IDPs face to accessing land.

Type of Disputes Around HLP


Figure 27: Type of disputes existing around HLP by number of sites.

In 1,004 sites (64\%), no disputes around HLP were reported. However, in 337 sites (21\%), disputes existed due to a lack of shelter for all families. Intrafamily disputes were also reported in 243 sites (15\%) and boundary disputes were reported in 148 sites (9\%).


IDPs in 365 sites were satisfied with the outcome of their HLP claims (23\%).

IDPs in 1,118 sites were not satisfied with the outcome of their HLP claims (71\%).

## T WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

## Amount of Water



Figure 28: Sites where the average number of water jerrycans/buckets (20L) collected per household per day is less than 2.

## Accessibility of Water

## Distance to Nearest Water Point

In the largest proportion of sites (584 sites), the main water distribution point was on-site and was within a $20-$ minute walk. The main water distribution point for 449 sites was off-site and required more than a 20 -minute walk for a oneway journey.

## Time Needed to Queue for Water

Once at the water distribution point, IDPs queue for an average of $16-30$ minutes in 558 sites - this is the most common waiting time. In 335 sites, there is no wait, and in 212 sites IDPs queue greater than 60 minutes.

Figure 30: Average time IDPs take to queue for water.


At the national level, IDP households collect an average of 2.5 water jerrycans/buckets (20L) per day. The region that collected the lowest number of water jerrycans/buckets is Dire Dawa with an average of 2.0 per household per day. In Afar, the average number of water jerrycans/buckets collected per household per day was 2.1. In Gambela, the average number is 3.9 water jerrycans/buckets (20L) per household per day.


Figure 29: Distance required to reach the nearest water distribution point from the sites.


## Sanitation and Hygiene

## Number of Non-Functioning Latrines

It was found that there were at least 1,454 nonfunctioning latrines on-site in Oromia, and 1,159 nonfunctioning latrines on-site in Somali. In SNNP, it was found that there were 201 non-functioning latrines.


Figure 31: Number of non-functioning latrines on-site by region.

## Complaints About the Drinking Water

Of the 1,577 sites covered, a total of 819 sites (52\%) had complaints about the quality of drinking water, while in 758 sites (48\%), there were no complaints about the drinking water.


Figure 32: Number of sites where there are complaints about the quality of drinking water.

## FOOD \& NUTRITION

## Access to Food



Figure 33: Sites reporting no access to food.

## Last Time Food was Distributed

In 219 sites, food was distributed last month, and in 164 sites food was distributed within the month. Meanwhile, food was distributed 2 months ago in 141 sites.

Out of the 1,577 sites covered, a total of 1,284 sites (81\%) have access to food, of which 617 sites have access to food on-site and 667 sites have access to food off-site. However, 293 sites (19\%) reported having no access to food. As visible through the map on the left, the region in which this is most acute is Oromia with 111 sites reportedly not having access to food.


Figure 34: Last time food was distributed.

## Main Source for Obtaining Food

The main source for obtaining food is food assistance, as reported in 1,004 sites ( $64 \%$ ). There was reportedly no main source for obtaining food in 235 sites (15\%). Meanwhile, 146 sites (9\%) relied on other main sources. Of the 146 sites, 96 sites reported obtaining food from the market by working as daily labourers.

IDPs in 954 sites (60\%) have access to a food selling market.

IDPs in 623 sites (40\%) do not have access to a market.


Figure 35: Number of sites by main source of obtaining food.

## LIVELIHOODS

## Income and Occupation



Figure 36: The occupation/trade of the majority of IDPs at sites by region.
A total of 346 sites in Amhara region reported that the majority of IDPs in these sites are engaged in farming, and the main occupation of IDPs in 302 sites in Somali region is pastoralism and in another 78 sites it is agropastoralism.

## Livestock

Of the 634 sites that have livestock, the following is the average percentage of households owning livestock by region:

- Afar-55\%
- Amhara-18\%
- Sidama-45\%
- Gambela-52\%
- SNNP - 32\%
- Somali $-11 \%$
- Oromia - 47\%


## Land



## HEALTH

## Health Concerns



Malaria is the main concern for 41 sites (50\%), followed by diarrhea in 18 sites (22\%).


Diarrhea is the main concern for 138 sites (37\%), followed by malaria in 109 sites (29\%).


Pneumonia is the main concern for 2 sites (100\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 15 sites (100\%).


The common cold is the main concern for 5 sites (63\%), followed by acute malnutrition in 1 site (13\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 125 sites (28\%), followed by pneumonia in 104 sites (24\%).


Malaria is the main for all 14 sites (100\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 94 sites (45\%), followed by other in 78 sites (37\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 218 sites (51\%), followed by pneumonia in 131 sites (31\%).

## Accessibility of Health Services

## Factors Preventing Access to Health Services



Figure 38: Factors preventing IDPs from accessing health services by number of sites.

Distance to Health Facilities or Services


## EDUCATION

## Accessibility of Schools



## Access to Schools for Displaced Children

Pre-primary schools and secondary schools were not accessible for displaced children in 1,181 and 909 sites respectively, making primary schools more accessible in comparison. Displaced children reportedly had access to primary schools in 1,370 sites.

Figure 40: Access to pre-primary, primary and secondary school education for displaced children.
$\square$ Unknown $\square$ No, temporarily closed due to COVID-19 $\square$ No $\square$ Yes, remote learning $\square$ Yes

## Access to Learning Supplies

Of the displaced children who have access to primary schools either in person or via remote learning, children reported having access to some learning supplies in 674 sites (49\%).

However, children reported not having access to learning supplies in 624 sites ( $45 \%$ ). In 66 sites (5\%), it was reported that all displaced children have access to learning supplies.


Figure 41: Access to primary school learning supplies.
Reasons for Dissatisfaction with the Standard of Schools


IDPs in 653 sites (41\%) were dissatisfied with the standard of schools due to poor infrastructure, while IDPs in 362 sites ( $23 \%$ ) were dissatisfied because of a lack of awareness of the benefits of education.

Notably, IDPs in 50 sites (3\%) mentioned that they experienced unequal access to education due to their displacement status in their current location.

Figure 42: Reasons for dissatisfaction with the standard of schools by the number of sites.

## Distance to Nearest Primary School



Figure 43: Distance to the nearest primary school from sites.

Of the sites that have access to primary schools, the most common distance to the nearest primary school is between 1 and 2 km ( 612 sites). The distance is less than 1 km away, as found in 585 sites,
while in 164 sites, the distance to the nearest primary school is $3-5 \mathrm{~km}$. However, children from 2 sites have to travel more than 10 km to reach the nearest primary school.

## PROTECTION

## Relations With the Host Community

## The Relationship Between IDPs and Host Communities



Figure 44: The relationship between IDPs and host communities across accessed sites.

IDPs are living with the host community in 920 sites. Of these 920 sites, the relationship between IDPs and host communities is reportedly good in 705 sites (77\%) and reportedly fair in 95 sites (10\%). However, please note that this information is self-reported by the IDPs who were part of the focus group discussions.

## Protection Services \& Documentation

## Type of Protection Services Available

In 949 sites (45\%), there were reportedly no protection services being provided. However, provision of birth registry or other official documentation was available in 291 sites (14\%), while social workers were present in 197 sites ( $9 \%$ ) and family tracing services were present in 182 sites (9\%).


Figure 46: Types of protection services provided at sites.


In the 23 sites where conflicts or disputes were reported between IDPs and the host community, they were over the following matters:

*Kindly note that this was a multiple-choice question.
Figure 45: Types of conflicts or disputes reported between IDPs and host communities by number of sites.

## Possession of ID Cards

Less than 25\% of female IDPs had ID cards in 717 sites, while less than $25 \%$ of male IDPs had ID cards in 486 sites. Only in 143 sites did greater than $75 \%$ of female IDPs have ID cards and only in 391 sites did greater than 75\% of male IDPs have ID cards.


Figure 47: Percentage of male and female IDPs who have ID cards by number of sites.

## COVID-I9

## Impact of COVID-19 on IDPs

Most Impacted Population Groups


Coping Mechanisms Used Due to COVID-I9


Figure 49: Coping mechanisms adopted by IDPs.

In 1,304 sites (83\%), the majority of IDPs believed everyone has been affected the same. In 198 sites (13\%), IDPs believed the elderly had been most affected.

Figure 48: Population groups most impacted by COVID-19 across sites.


In 1,130 sites (72\%), IDPs did not have any specific coping mechanism. In 339 sites (21\%), IDPs resorted to borrowing money from family and friends.

Shortage of Items \& Price Increases


Figure 50: Shortage of items by number of sites.

COVID-19 has impacted the supply of various items. In 1,197 sites (76\%), IDPs mentioned that there were food shortages. In 1,170 sites ( $74 \%$ ), there were shortages of hygiene products, and in 1,129 sites ( $72 \%$ ) there were shortages of other NFIs. This entails water, soap, sanitizers and personal protective equipment (PPE).

Additionally, it was reported that the price of food has increased across 1,228 sites ( $78 \%$ ), while the price of hygiene products has increased in 1,175 sites ( $75 \%$ ).

## "Returning IDPs and

 host community members participating in the Village Assessment Survey in

## Section 2

## Village Assessment Survey (VAS)

## KEY FINDINGS — VILLAGE ASSESSMENT SURVEY

## 1,172 villages covered



474 inaccessible villages

Population Breakdown \& Initial Causes of Displacement


1,541,284 returning IDPs
(287,185 households)

3+15 \% increase in returning IDPs (There were 1,336,134 returning IDPs in VAS round 9)

7,137 returned migrants
 1,098,628 host community members (190,642 households)


1,423,594 returning IDPs (92.4\%) were initially displaced by conflict in 1,066 villages


61,295 returning IDPs (4\%) were initially displaced by flash floods in 60 villages


54,095 returning IDPs
(3.5\%) were initially displaced by seasonal floods in 43 villages


## Top 3 Zones With the Highest Returning IDP Figures



Figure 51: Top 3 zones with the highest number of returning IDPs.

## METHODOLOGY

The Village Assessment Survey (VAS) was used for the first time in IOM Ethiopia in July 2019 in response to the government-led return movement in an effort to capture return trends and sectoral needs in areas reporting high return concentrations. This is the 10th round of VAS data collection.

VAS targets villages with reported returns equal to or greater than 5 returning IDP households, and collects demographic data on returning IDPs, resettled IDPs, returned migrants and host community members. The purpose of VAS is to assess the capacity of villages to absorb returns through the availability of services with a focus on livelihoods and reintegration.

DTM works closely with national, zonal and woreda level officials whoserveaskeyinformants inidentifying villages with high returns. At the village level, DTM collects its data through focus group discussions, key informants and through direct observation. Focus

## Coverage of this round




Data collection period:
16 August - 23 September 2021
groups are made up of 7 individuals whereby each focus group must comprise 2 individuals over the age of 65 years of age, 1 individual under 18 years of age, 2 females and at least 1 government official (i.e. kebele administrator, village leader etc.). In villages where they are present, all 4 population categories, namely returning IDPs, resettled IDPs, returned migrants and host community members must also be represented in the focus group. This lower limit of 7 individuals is employed to ensure that COVID-19 prevention measures, such as social distancing, can be observed. DTM teams ensure that they are equipped with personal protective equipment (PPE) during data collection.

All VAS data is collected in close collaboration with the National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC). All data is electronically collected through survey forms designed in Kobo. The data collection period for this round of VAS was 16 August to 23 September 2021.


Figure 52: Percentage of villages assessed by DTM at zonal level for round 10 of VAS.


474 Inaccessible Villages
For round 10 of VAS, a total of 474 villages could not be accessed. 434 villages were inaccessible due to security issues mainly in Kemashi, West Guji, Asosa, Metekel, Central Gonder, West Gonder, West Wallega, East Wallega, Konso and Fafan zones. 38 villages could not be accessed due to road inaccessibility issues mainly in Central Gonder, Awsi (Zone 1), East Hararge, Shabelle, South Omo and West Arsi zones, while the remaining 2 villages in Borena zone were undergoing administrative changes during the time of data collection.

## OVERVIEW OF RETURNS

## National Level



Figure 53: The return caseload based on data collected from the Village Assessment Survey in August and September 2021.

According to the data collected between August and September 2021, there were an estimated 1.5 million returning IDPs ( 287,185 households) across 1,172 villages in Ethiopia. The large number of returning IDPs is mainly due to government-led return movements which began in April 2019.

The largest returning IDP caseload is in Oromia region with an estimated total of 653,549 returning IDPs (118,384 households), as captured through the coverage of 752 villages. Oromia witnessed a decrease of 18,766 returning IDPs (-3\%). However, 146 villages could not be accessed due to security and road inaccessibility issues mainly in East Wallega, West Guji, Guji, West Wallega and East Harerge zones. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in 718 villages (95\%).

The region hosting the second largest number of returning IDPs is Somali region with an estimate of 392,286 returning IDPs (65,414 households). However, it should be noted that 17 villages could not be covered
due to road inaccessibility and security issues in Dawa, Fafan and Shabelle zones. Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in 85 villages (84\%).

The region hosting the third largest number of returning IDPs is SNNP region with an estimated 224,822 returning IDPs $(37,055$ households). There was a considerable increase of 15,862 returning IDPs (+8\%) since the previous round. Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in 161 villages (88\%).

The gender breakdown for returning IDPs is 750,758 males ( $48.7 \%$ ) and 790,526 females ( $51.3 \%$ ). 1.4 million returning IDPs (92.5\%) were initially displaced by conflict, 61,295 returning IDPs (4\%) were initially displaced by flash floods and 54,095 returning IDPs were initially displaced by seasonal floods (3.5\%).


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Afar


Figure 54: Returning IDP figures for Afar in VAS round 10.


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Amhara


Figure 55: Returning IDP figures for Amhara in VAS round 10.
Dire Dawa
$-1.9 \%$
Returning IDPs
Dire Dawa

Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in both villages (100\%)
Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*

106 households comprising 530 returning IDPs were identified in 2 villages in Dire Dawa. There was a slight decrease of 10 returning IDPs (-1.85\%) since the previous round 9 (June - July 2021). No IDPs or host community community members were observed in these 2 villages. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in both villages (100\%).

| Pregnant women and girls | 25 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Breastfeeding mothers | 45 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> under 18 | 0 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> over 18 | 0 |
| Persons with chronic diseases | 0 |
| Vulnerable older persons who need <br> support but do not have care givers | 0 |
| Single-female headed households | 0 |
| Single-male headed households | 0 |
| Child-headed households | 0 |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Dire Dawa


Figure 56: Returning IDP figures for Dire Dawa in VAS round 10.

Gambela
+1.2\%
Returning IDPs
Gambela

11 villages covered

10,150 host community members ( $2,030 \mathrm{HHs}$ )
Conflict was the main reason for initial displacement for returning IDPs in 10 villages (91\%)

Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*
49.4\% male 4,488 males
50.6\% female 4,604 females
1,876 households comprising 9,197 returning IDPs were identified in 11 villages in Gambela. This is an increase of 105 returning IDPs (+1.15\%) since the previous round 9 (June - July 2021). A majority of returning IDPs in 11 villages (100\%) arrived more than 6 months ago. Conflict was the cause of initial displacement for a majority of returning IDPs in 10 villages (90.91\%) followed by seasonal floods in 1 village (9.09\%).

| Pregnant women and girls | 212 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Breastfeeding mothers | 384 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> under 18 | 34 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> over 18 | 66 |
| Persons with chronic diseases | 29 |
| Vulnerable older persons who need <br> support but do not have care givers | 44 |
| Single-female headed households | 30 |
| Single-male headed households | 51 |
| Child-headed households | 0 |

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Gambela


Figure 57: Returning IDP figures for Gambela in VAS round 10.


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement Harari


Figure 58: Returning IDP figures for Harari in VAS round 10.


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Oromia


Figure 59: Returning IDP figures for Oromia region in VAS round 10.

## Sidama



Sex and age breakdown of returning IDPs*
50.2\% male
8,751 males
49.8\% female
8,678 females
0 TO 4
5 TO 14
15 TO 17
18 TO 24
25 TO 35
36 TO 59
$60+$


| Pregnant women and girls | 302 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Breastfeeding mothers | 538 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> under 18 | 17 |
| Persons with mental or physical disabilities <br> over 18 | 6 |
| Persons with chronic diseases | 42 |
| Vulnerable older persons who need support <br> but do not have care givers | 8 |
| Single-female headed households | 138 |
| Single-male headed households | 94 |
| Child-headed households | 0 |

5,036 households comprising 25,935 returning IDPs were identified in 19 villages in Sidama region. This represents an increase of 8,506 returning IDPs (+48.8\%) since the previous round 9 (June - July 2021). The increase is mainly due to a rise in returning IDPs who were previously displaced due to floods, as well as new locations that were covered this round. Returning IDPs in 9 villages (47.4\%) arrived more than six months ago, while in 8 villages (42.1\%) the returning IDPs arrived between 4-6 months and in 2 villages (10.5\%) between 1-3 months. Flash floods were the initial reason for displacement for returning IDPs in all 19 villages (100\%).

Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Sidama


Figure 60: Returning IDP figures for Sidama region in VAS round 10.


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in SNNP Region


Figure 61: Returning IDP figures for SNNP region in VAS round 10.
*Please note that the sex and age disaggregation for returning IDPs is an estimate based on the percentage of male and female returning IDPs and based on the percentage of returning IDPs at each age bracket. This is
**The total number of IDPs reported in SNNP region is based on DTM's current coverage in the region.


Returnee Caseload and Causes of Initial Displacement in Somali


Figure 62: Returning IDP figures for Somali region in VAS round 10.

## LIVELIHOODS

## Type of Livelihood

The main livelihood for 473 villages in Oromia is farming, followed by agro-pastoralism in 132 villages. In SNNP, the most common livelihood is also farming (171 villages).

Figure 63: Main type of livelihood in each village by region.

Main Issues with Livelihoods



## Agriculture

## Lack of Access to Land for Cultivation

Returning IDPs in 165 villages (14\%) do not have access to land for cultivation.


Figure 65: Villages where returning IDPs do not have access to land for cultivation/farming.

In 466 villages (40\%), the main livelihood concern was food shortages, followed by a shortage of farmland in 319 villages (27\%). Meanwhile, 149 villages (13\%) reported a lack of non-agricultural activities as the main livelihood issue.

Figure 64: Main issues with livelihood in villages assessed.


Most Needed Agricultural Inputs
The most needed agricultural input is seeds in 1,091 villages, followed by tools in 979 villages.


Figure 66: Most needed agricultural inputs by number of villages.

Barriers to Accessing Land


## Food

## Food Types Available in Villages

Milk was reportedly available in 767 villages, while vegetables were available in 736 villages.


Figure 68: Food types available in villages.

Of the 165 villages that reported no access to land for cultivation, in 87 villages (53\%) returning IDPs reported issues accessing land due to a lack of availability. In 54 villages (33\%), returning IDPs were not allocated land due to other reasons.

Figure 67: Types of barriers returning IDPs are facing in accessing land across villages.

## Problems Affecting Production of Food and Cash Crops

770 villages were affected by crop disease, while 657 villages were affected by other forms of crop damage caused by insects such as desert locust, livestock and wildlife.


Figure 69: Top 5 problems affecting the production of food and cash crops across sites.

## Livestock

Of the $93 \%$ of villages where people report owning livestock ( 1,093 villages), the primary problems are livestock diseases ( 736 villages), scarcity of grazing land ( 714 villages) and markey facilities (489 villages).


Figure 70: Main problems faced by livestock herders across villages surveyed.


## HEALTH

## Availability of Health Facilities

Villages Without Access to Health Facilities
876 out of 1,172 villages ( $75 \%$ ) do not have a health facility.


Figure 71: Villages that do not have health facilities.

## Common Problems With Health Services

Of the 296 villages that have a health facility, common problems faced include no medicine in 271 villages and no qualified personnel in 114 villages.


Figure 72: Common problems in accessing health services in villages where health facilities are available.

## Health Concerns



Malaria is the main concern for 9 villages (69\%) in Afar followed by diarrhea in 3 villages (23\%).


Diarrhea is the main concern for 62 villages (70\%) in Amhara followed by malaria in 23 villages (26\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 2 villages (100\%) in Dire Dawa.


Malaria is the main concern for 11 village (100\%) in Gambela .


Malaria is the main concern for 1 village (33\%) in Harari and typhoid in 1 other village (33\%).


Typhoid is the main concern for 196 villages (26\%) in Oromia followed by malaria in 137 villages (18\%) and pneumonia in 123 villages (16\%).


Malaria is the main concern for 19 villages (100\%) in Sidama.


Typhoid is the main concern for 134 villages (74\%) in SNNP followed by malaria in 37 villages (20\%).


Pneumonia is the main concern for 39 villages (39\%) in Somali followed by malaria in 20 villages (20\%).

## T WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

Main Water Source


## Accessibility of Water

## Distance to Nearest Water Source

The main water distribution point for the highest proportion of villages ( 424 villages or $36 \%$ ) is a 21-30 minute walk one-way. However, it takes more than 45 minutes one-way for people in 156 villages (13\%) to walk to the nearest water source.


Figure 74: Distance to the nearest water source.

## Main Reasons for Not Having Water Access

Reasons for insufficient household access to water include a general lack of sufficient water sources in 530 villages, poor quality of water in 475 villages and distance in 400 villages.

Figure 76: Major reasons affecting water accessibility.

## Main Source of Drinking Water

The main source of drinking water in 300 villages is rivers (26\%), and in 180 villages it is protected springs ( $15 \%$ ). 146 villages ( $13 \%$ ) rely on unprotected springs while people rely on tap water networks in 138 villages (12\%).

Figure 73: Main source of drinking water across villages.

Average Queue Time for Water


Figure 75: The average queue time for water across the villages surveyed.


Water sources are accessible to all households in 475 villages (41\%).
Water sources are not accessible to all households in 697 villages (59\%).


## EDUCATION

## Accessibility of Schools

Primary School
Primary schools were available in 743 villages across 9 regions. The following is the reported attendance for each population category:

| Region | Number of Villages With Pri- <br> mary Schools | Number of Returning IDP Children <br> Attending | Number of Host Community <br> Children Attending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afar | 11 | 924 | 280 |
| Amhara | 30 | 3,023 | 1,297 |
| Dire Dawa | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Gambela | 7 | 1,171 | 0 |
| Harari | 1 | 10 | 1,000 |
| Oromia | 505 | 47,034 | 100,854 |
| Sidama | 12 | 5,795 | 3,922 |
| SNNP | 88 | 16,399 | 22,272 |
| Somali | 87 | 10,705 | 1,964 |
| Grand Total | 743 | $\mathbf{8 5 , 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 5 8 9}$ |

Figure 77: Number of primary schools and primary school attendance across villages.

## Education Challenges

## Reasons for Dissatisfaction with Schools

450 of the 646 villages with access to primary schools report being dissatisfied with the standard of the available school. Of the 450 villages, 342 villages report dissatisfaction with poor infrastructure. The second reason for dissatisfaction with schools is lack of awareness of the benefits of education as reported in 202 villages. This is followed by a lack of teachers in 179 villages.
Top 7 Reasons Girls Are Not Attending School


Figure 79: Main reasons girls are not attending school by number of villages.


Figure 78: Reaons for dissatisfaction with schools.

## Top 7 Reasons Boys Are Not Attending School



Figure 80: Main reasons boys are not attending school by number of villages.

## Shelter Types \& Conditions

The 3 main housing options in villages are houses made of mud walls with thatched roofing in 856 villages (37\%), followed by mud walls with iron sheet roofing in 775 villages ( $33 \%$ ) and Buuls/Tukuls (wooden frame with cloth or plastic sheeting) in 428 villages (18\%).

Out of the 1,172 villages covered, a majority of returning IDPs in 754 villages have returned to their own shelters (64\%), while those in 418 villages (36\%) have not. Of those who did not return to their own homes, a majority of returning IDPs cited that it was because their shelters were completely damaged (259 villages) or partially damaged shelters (135 villages). In addition, returning IDPs in 12 villages reported that they did not own shelter before displacement as the reason they did not return to their homes.

## Issues With Shelter

Figure 82: Issues with shelters across villages by region.
The main issue with shelters across the villages accessed was the poor quality of shelters as reported in 392 villages in Oromia, 75 villages in Amhara, 66 villages in Somali, and 40 villages in SNNP. The next notable issue was the cost of construction which was said to be too expensive in 280 villages in Oromia. The lack of shelters or overcrowding was also an issue in 71 villages in Oromia and 9 villages in Somali.

## NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)

Most Needed NFI


- Emergency Shelter Kits
- Bedding sets
- Hygiene kits
- Kitchen sets
- None
- Mosquito Nets

Figure 83: Most needed NFI for returning IDPs.
The most needed NFI for returning IDPs is emergency shelter kits as reported in 363 villages (31\%), followed by bedding sets in 230 villages (20\%).

Nearest Accessible Market Selling NFIs


Figure 84: Distance to nearest market selling NFIs.
For returning IDPs in 658 villages, the nearest accessible market selling non-food items (NFIs) is offsite and requires more than a 20-minute walk. In 195 villages, there are no accessible markets selling NFIs.

## HOUSING, LAND AND PROPERTY (HLP)

## Land Ownership \& Land Access

## Main Form of Land Tenure

The main form of land tenure was landholding certificates in 742 villages (63\%), while communal land was the main form of land ownership in 363 villages (31\%). Meanwhile, public institutes were the main form of land ownership in 23 villages (2\%).


- Landholding certificate
- Communal land
- Other
- Public institutes
- Leased land
- Informal land tenure

Figure 85: Main forms of land ownership.

## Claim and Access to Land

A majority of returning IDPs in 888 villages (76\%) who had a claim to land currently have access to the land. However, returning IDPs in 266 villages (23\%) stated that they had a claim to land but no longer have access to it.


Figure 86: Villages where returning IDPs have a claim to land but do not have access to their land.

Tenure Documentation
Type of Disputes That Exist Around HLP


## PROTECTION

Of the 364 villages that responded to the question, the relationship between returning IDPs and host communities is reportedly good in 304 villages and very good in 60 villages. However, it should be noted that the focus groups in 808 villages did not answer this question.

In addition, threats of conflict were found in 57 villages (5\%) and threats of violence in 39 villages (3\%) since IDPs returned, while threats of both violence and conflict were reported in 73 villages (6\%).


There have been security incidents in the past two months in 44 villages (4\%).
(X)

There have been no security incidents in the past two months in 1,128 villages ( $96 \%$ )

## Obstacles to Return to Abandoned HLP

In 527 villages no obstacle were reported. The main obstacle for returning IDPs to return to their abandoned housing, land and property (HLP) was damage and destruction as reported in 503 villages. This was followed by the lack of essential services and infrastructure in 390 villages.

Figure 87: Obstacles to return to abandoned housing, land and property as reported by returning IDPs.


In 782 villages (67\%), no disputes around housing, land and property were reported. However, boundary disputes were reported in 279 villages (24\%), while intra-family disputes were reported in 255 villages (22\%).

Figure 88: Type of disputes that exist around HLP.

## Relationship Between Returning IDPs and

 Host Communities

Figure 89: Number of villages that reported threats of violence and/or conflict since IDPs returned.


## Types of Protection Services Available

Across 464 villages (40\%), it was reported that services to resolve disuptes over housing, land and property exist. However, there were no protection services available in 501 villages (43\%).

Figure 90: Types of protection services available in or near the community across villages.

## $\stackrel{1}{\wedge}$

## Political Participation

## Voting

The most prominent obstacle listed impeding returning IDPs from voting was a lack of knowledge on local affairs as reported in 66 villages and a lack of documentation in 41 villages.


Figure 91: Main obstacles foreseen for returning IDPs to participate in voting.

## Justice Mechanisms

The Kebele administration was the justice mechanism most used by returning IDPs and host communities in 694 villages (59\%). The second most commonly used justice mechanism was traditional committees as reported in 249 villages (21\%).

Figure 92: Type of justice mechanism most commonly used by returning IDPs and host communities.


## Participation in Community, Social and Political Organizations

There are various community, social and political organizations that exist across the villages. The most common were village or kebele committees which were present in 935 villages, while religious groups were found in 699 villages.

Of the 1,126 villages with community, social or political organizations, in 1,046 villages returning IDPs were actively participating in these groups, and in 80 villages they were not actively participating.



Coping Mechanisms Used Due to COVID-I9


In 409 villages (35\%), people borrowed money from family and friends as a coping mechnism, while in 629 villages (54\%), there was no specific coping mechanism.

Figure 94: Coping mechanisms adopted by people living in villages hosting returning IDPs.

Shortage of Items \& Price Increases


Figure 95: Shortage of items by number of sites.

COVID-19 has also impacted the supply of various items. In 942 villages ( $80 \%$ ), there was a reported shortage of hygiene products. In 916 villages ( $78 \%$ ), there was a reported food shortage.

Additionally, it was reported that the price of food increased across 938 villages ( $80 \%$ ) as did the price of medicine in 934 villages ( $80 \%$ ) and hygiene products in 914 villages ( $78 \%$ ).

## ANNEX A

## SUMMARY TABLE OF DISPLACEMENT Site Assessment
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## SUMMARY TABLE OF DISPLACEMENT Emergency Site Assessment
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Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) Emergency Site Assessment Round 8 (August 2021) - Summary Table of Displacement

# SUMMARY TABLE OF RETURN Village Assessment Survey 
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