## KEY FINDINGS

## 2, 105,387 IDPs* 449,492 IDP Households*

## 377 sites covered*

## Conflict was

 the primary reason for displacement
## BACKGROUND:

In early November 2020, the regional party of Tigray allegedly attacked the Northern Command of Ethiopia's National Defense Force in Mekelle, Tigray region, prompting a military offensive from the federal government of Ethiopia. Following this, conflict broke out in the north of Ethiopia and this has displaced many from their homes.

## OVERVIEW:

From I- 26 June 202I, the Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) deployed its Emergency Site Assessment to capture internal displacement related to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis. This multisectoral location assessment assesses the number of internally displaced persons (IDPs) and collects basic information on the multisectoral needs of IDPs at site level.

By this seventh round, $2,105,387$ IDPs ( 449,492 households) have been found to be displaced across 377 sites in Tigray, Amhara and Afar regions thus far*. 2,036,398 IDPs (432,358 households)* were found in Tigray region, 49,001 IDPs ( 9,102 households) in Afar region and 19,988 IDPs ( 8,032 households) in Amhara region*. It should be noted that the lack of access and insecurity continue to be considerable challenges for this round.

## DISPLACEMENT CASELOADS IN TIGRAY, AMHARA AND AFAR REGIONS
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## METHODOLOGY

Data collection:
1 - 26 June 2021

Baseline Location Assessment (B2F): Data is collected through interviews with key informants from woreda level Disaster Risk Management Offices (DRMO), community representatives, education and health offices. This baseline data includes the estimated number of internally displaced persons by kebele, a list of kebeles hosting IDPs, reason for displacement and shelter types. This baseline data is used to guide the geotargeting of the Emergency Site Assessment.

Emergency Site Assessment (ESA): This assessment captures detailed information through focus group discussions and direct observation. Data collected covers the number of IDPs, site details, availability of infrasturcture and services, shelter types, and access to food, water, healthcare, education and livelihoods. The ESA is conducted in any location in Tigray, and in the neighbouring zones of Amhara and Afar with reported displacements of 20 IDP households or more who were displaced due to the Northern Ethiopia Crisis, provided that access and security allow.

The ESA is carried out on a monthly basis. In this seventh round, 3 regions, 13 zones, 68 woredas, 174 kebeles and 377 sites were covered.

The number of participants in focus group discussions for the Emergency Site Assessment are based on the size of the displaced population at the site:

4 IDPs for sites with 50-100 IDP households
5 IDPs for sites with 101-150 IDP households 6 IDPs for sites with 151-200 IDP households 7 IDPs for sites with 201 IDP households or more

Depending on the size of the focus group discussion, more IDP leaders, females, youths and elderly persons must be part of the discussion. However, the aim is to have $50 \%$ female participation in every focus group discussion whenever it is possible.

## ACCESSIBILITY AND COVERAGE INTIGRAY, AMHARA AND AFAR REGIONS



Map 2: Levels of accessibility and areas where the Emergency Site Assessment was conducted across Tigray, Amhara and Afar regions.

## DEMOGRAPHICS



49\% males 987,544 males

$51 \%$ females
1,033,903 females

*Kindly note that the sex breakdown only applies to IDPs tracked after the crisis started in November 2020.


Top 6 Urban Areas Hosting IDPs

| SHIRE | 673,24 I IDPs |
| :---: | :--- |
| MEKELLE | 295,979 IDPs |
| SHERARO | 273,275 IDPs |
| ADWA | 220,675 IDPs |
| AXUM | 133,674 IDPs |
| ADIGRAT | 86,436 IDPs |

## DURABLE SOLUTIONS

## 308 Sites <br> (81.7\%) <br> Return

A majority of IDPs in 305 sites in Tigray, 2 sites in Amhara, 1 site in Afar and prefer to return.

66 Sites
( $17.5 \%$ )
Locally Integrate
A majority of IDPs in 39 sites in Amhara, 18 sites in Afar and 9 sites in Tigray prefer to locally integrate.

## 3 Sites

(0.8\%)

Relocate
IDPs in 3 sites in Amhara prefer to relocate.

Factors preventing return


Support needed to locally integrate


The table below depicts the fuctional status of key infrastructure and services by number of sites:

|  |  | Mostly Functioning | Mostly Not Functioning | Infrastructure Is Destroyed | Infrastructure Never Existed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | Electricity | 150 | 142 | 62 | 23 |
|  | Tap water | 89 | 219 | 51 | 18 |
| $((8))$ | Cell phone coverage | 196 | 132 | 48 | I |
| $!$ | Road to site | 271 | 94 | 11 | 1 |
| 上 | Primary school | 66 | 180 | 128 | 3 |
|  | Health facility | 143 | 135 | 97 | 2 |
| $\underline{\square}$ | Place of worship | 248 | 119 | 10 | - |
|  | Market | 239 | 111 | 4 | 23 |

## SHELTER

Types of Shelters IDPs are Living in


## Main Concerns with the Current Shelter

The main concern with the current shelter reported by IDPs was a lack of privacy in 359 sites, followed by lack of security in 318 sites, overcrowding in 232 sites and no light in 197 sites. Please note that this was a multiple-option question.

In 6 sites, 25-50\% of IDP households are living outside or in an open space and in 1 site, 51-75\% of IDP households are living outside which is located in Midre Genet, Amhara region.

In 78 sites, more than $75 \%$ of IDP households are living in self-constructed shelters that are below standard (no waterproofing, no covering, weak structure).


## NON-FOOD ITEMS (NFIs)

Most Needed NFI for IDPs by Number of Sites


## 336 Sites

Number of sites where a majority of IDPs have not received the most needed NFI since being displaced

307 of these sites are in Tigray region, 13 sites are in Amhara region and 16 sites are in Afar region.

## WATER, SANITATION AND HYGIENE (WASH)

## Distance Required to Reach the Nearest Water Distribution Point



In 255 sites, the main water distribution point was on-site and required less than a 30 -minute walk to reach. For 13 sites, the distance required more than a 30 -minute walk and was off-site.

## Source of Drinking Water



- Tigray - Amhara - Afar

A majority of IDPs rely on water trucking distribution to collect water in 150 sites and tap water networks in 80 sites.

## FOOD \& NUTRITION

The following is the breakdown of sites and the last time food was distributed in the site:

| 76 sites | No food distribution |
| :---: | :---: |
| 44 sites | Within the month |
| 73 sites | I month ago |
| 44 sites | 2 months ago |
| 6 sites | 3 months ago |
| 22 sites | $>3$ months ago |
| $1\|\mid$ sites | Irregular |

## 76 Sites

Number of sites where there has been no food distribution

18 sites are in Northwestern zone, 13 sites in Mekelle, 12 sites in Central zone, 11 sites in Southeastern zone, 10 sites in Southern zone and 9 sites are in Eastern zone, Tigray region. Additionally, 2 sites are in Zone 2 Afar region, and 1 site is in Central Gondar, Amhara region.

Main Source for Accessing Food


## HEALTH

## Distance to Health Facilities



## Factors Preventing IDPs from Accessing Health Services



In 347 sites, the absence of medicine was the main factor preventing IDPs from accessing health services. In 331 sites, the cost was the main factor.

A majority of IDPs in 189 sites in Tigray region are mainly relying on host community donations. The IDPs in 108 sites in Tigray rely on food distributions, while 12 sites in Tigray region reported not having access to food. Additionally, IDPs in 3 sites in the Tigray are selling livestock for food.

## Access to Markets

The following is the breakdown of sites and their access to a market:

## 280 sites Have access to a market

$$
97 \text { sites Do not have access to a market }
$$

The location of health facilities are off-site and require more than a 20 -minute walk to reach in 105 sites. However, the health facilities are on-site and require less than a 20 -minute walk to reach in 100 sites.

Additionally, 36 sites rely on a mobile clinics/health visits and IDPs in 65 sites reported having no access to health facilities. All 65 sites are in Tigray region. Note that this analysis evaluates the distance to the health facilities and does not equate to access to heath services.

## Health Main Concern



Diarrhea was the main health concern in 94 sites while pneumonia was the main health concern in 89 sites.

## EDUCATION

The following is the breakdown of sites and their access to primary school education:

## 57 sites <br> Displaced children have access to (formal) primary schools <br> 320 sites <br> Displaced children do not have access to (formal) primary schools

Issues with Accessing Education


IDPs in 191 sites cited the lack of security as their main issue. Of the 168 sites that mentioned 'other' as the issue, this was mainly the absence of educational services, IDPs not perceiving the situation to be safe enough and the inaffordability of learning supplies.

> 22 sites
> IDP children have access to alternative basic education/ temporary learning centers

## LIVELIHOODS

## Current Occupation of the Majority of IDPs

 Reported by Site

In 349 sites (93\%), a majority of IDPs currently do not have any occupation, while a majority of IDPs are daily labourers in 14 sites (4\%) and pastoralists in 7 sites (2\%).

## Source of Income

Of the 377 sites assessed, IDP households in 348 sites said that they did not have a source of income. In 17 sites, less than $25 \%$ of IDPs have a source of income and in 9 site, $25-50 \%$ of IDPs have a source of income.

## Access to Land

The following is the breakdown of sites and IDPs' access to land for cultivation:

| 2 sites | Less than $25 \%$ have access to land |
| :--- | :--- |
| I site | $25-50 \%$ have access to land |
| 3 sites | $51-75 \%$ have access to land |
| $37 I$ sites | Do not have access to land |

## Possession of Livestock

The following is the breakdown of sites and IDPs' possession of livestock in these sites:

| 10 sites | $<25 \%$ of IDPs have livestock |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 sites | $25-50 \%$ have livestock |
| 6 sites | $51-75 \%$ have livestock |
| 356 sites | Do not have livestock |

Resources and Assets that Were Lost or Damaged During Displacement by Number of Sites
 of origin

## PROTECTION



Available and Accessible Protection Services


In 13 sites, psychosocial support services were available while in 8 sites, family tracing support were available. Child protection services and GBV services were available in 4 and 3 sites respectively. However, no protection services were available for 356 sites.

Accessibility of Complaint and Feedback Mechanisms in Place Per Site


In only 22 sites were complaint and feedback mechanisms for humanitarian assistance reportedly accessible to everyone including women and children. However, there was reportedly no access to these mechanisms in 161 sites.

## ANNEX: SUMMARY TABLES OF DISPLACEMENT





[^0]:    *This displacement total includes the 69,479 IDPs ( 18,032 households) identified across 25 sites in Southern, Southeastern, Central and Western zones in Tigray region that were previously accessible during rounds 2,4 and 6 . Please refer to the annex for the full details.

