



# MOBILITY TRACKING ROUND 5

Site and Village / Neighbourhood Assessments Report

Part II/II: County-Level Analysis

Data collection: March 2019

[Click here for Part I/II: Narrative, Conflict Events and State-Level Analysis](#)



Funded by  
European Union  
Civil Protection and  
Humanitarian Aid



**USAID**  
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft  
Confédération suisse  
Confederazione Svizzera  
Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Agency for Development  
and Cooperation SDC



United Nations  
**CERF**

Central  
Emergency  
Response  
Fund

## MOBILITY TRACKING ROUND 5 REPORTS

[Baseline Assessment Summary Report](#)

[Site Assessment Catalogue](#)

[Village / Neighbourhood Assessment Catalogues](#)

1. [Central Equatoria](#)
2. [Eastern Equatoria I \(Budi - Kapoeta South\)](#)
3. [Eastern Equatoria II \(Lafon - Torit\)](#)
4. [Jonglei I \(Akobo - Duk\)](#)
5. [Jonglei II \(Fangak - Nyirol\)](#)
6. [Jonglei III \(Pibor - Pochalla\)](#)

7. [Jonglei IV \(Twic East - Uror\)](#)

8. [Lakes I \(Awerial - Rumbek East\)](#)

9. [Lakes II \(Rumbek North - Yirol West\)](#)

10. [Northern Bahr el Ghazal](#)

11. [Unity I \(Abiemnhom - Guit\)](#)

12. [Unity II \(Koch - Mayom I/II\)](#)

13. [Unity III \(Mayom II/II\)](#)

14. [Unity IV \(Panyijar - Pariang\)](#)

15. [Unity V \(Rubkona\)](#)

16. [Upper Nile I \(Baliet - Maban\)](#)

17. [Upper Nile II \(Maiwut - Ulang\)](#)

18. [Warrap I \(Gogrial East - Tonj East\)](#)

19. [Warrap II \(Tonj North - Twic\)](#)

20. [Western Bahr El Ghazal I \(Jur River\)](#)

21. [Western Bahr El Ghazal II \(Raja - Wau\)](#)

22. [Western Equatoria I \(Ezo - Mundri East\)](#)

23. [Western Equatoria II \(Mundri West - Yambio\)](#)

## MOBILITY TRACKING PRODUCTS

The Baseline Assessment Summary Report presents an overview of identified IDP and returnee populations in South Sudan, with key characteristics such as time of arrival, reason for displacement and type of displacement setting (IDPs) or current housing status (returnees). It also contains a linked map providing access to a county-level atlas of assessed locations.

The Catalogues provide a two-page profile on each assessed settlement including the full range of collected indicators. They are designed to provide in-depth location-level information to partners planning operations in specific areas.

The datasets contain the raw data used for DTM reports and allow users to carry out their own analysis. A limited amount of sensitive data, including additional protection and vulnerabilities indicators, is available upon request.

## ROUND 5 DATASETS

[Baseline Sub-Area Dataset](#)

[Baseline Location Dataset](#)

[Site Assessment Dataset](#)


[Village / Neighbourhood Assessment Dataset](#)

# OVERVIEW OF CONTENTS

Click on the thumbnails to skip to the relevant section.


## PROXIMITY TO CONFLICT EVENTS

Figures and maps based on a spatial overlay of Mobility Tracking data and ACLED conflict events (see Part I/II)




## STATE-LEVEL MULTI-SECTORAL NEEDS OVERVIEW

Ranked barplots showing the percentage of IDPs / returnees in need in each state according to 16 sectoral indicators (WASH, Protection, SNFI, Food security, Health and Education) (see Part I/II)



## COUNTY-LEVEL MULTI-SECTORAL NEEDS OVERVIEW

Choropleth maps highlighting the number and percentage of IDPs / returnees in need in each county for 16 sectoral indicators




## OVERVIEW OF CONTENTS

Click on the thumbnails to skip to the relevant section.


### STATE-LEVEL CHANGE BETWEEN ROUND 4 AND 5

Ranked barplots highlighting the change in the share of IDPs / returnees in need in each state for 16 sectoral indicators (see Part I/II)




### COUNTY-LEVEL CHANGE BETWEEN ROUND 4 AND 5

Choropleth maps showing the change in the number of IDPs / returnees in need by county for 16 sectoral indicators



### SETTLEMENT TYPE AND SIZE

Barplots showing how the share of IDPs / returnees in need changes according to the type and size of settlements (see Part I/II)



### ANALYSING NEEDS AND CHANGE

Different indicators can affect the way in which needs are compared geographically and over time.

While the number of individuals living in affected settlements in a certain region of the country links most directly with operational planning, it tends to downplay severe needs in smaller or less populous areas in favour of larger ones. As a result, prevalence is used at the state-level and accompanies absolute figures in the county-level section.

When looking at change over time, starting levels and population inflows / outflows affect indicators in different ways. Percentage change in the

number of individuals living in affected settlements is unbounded and tends to overstate change in less populous areas or ones that performed better in Round 4, since these had fewer individuals living in affected settlements.

This report uses the change in the proportion of individuals living in affected settlements – or change in prevalence – at the state level and the change in the number of individuals living in affected settlements at the county level. Change in prevalence is not sensitive to population inflows / outflows that maintain the same distribution of individuals across affected and better-performing settlements, and is less affected by the state's initial population and needs situation, helping to highlight underlying sectoral changes.

## TABLE OF FIGURES

### COUNTY-LEVEL MULTI-SECTORAL NEEDS OVERVIEW

|  |    |
|--|----|
| F1. % IDP population living in IDP settlements that are over 20 min away from the main water source (walking, one way), by county.....           | 9  |
| F2. % returnee population living in returnee settlements that are over 20 min away from the main water source (walking, one way), by county..... | 9  |
| F3. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements that are over 20 min away from the main water source (walking, one way), by county.....             | 9  |
| F4. number of returnees living in returnee settlements that are over 20 min away from the main water source (walking, one way), by county.....   | 9  |
| F5. % IDP population living in IDP settlements with drinking water unfit for human drinking, by county.....                                      | 10 |
| F6. % returnee population living in returnee settlements with drinking water unfit for human drinking, by county.....                            | 10 |
| F7. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with drinking water unfit for human drinking, by county.....  | 10 |
| F8. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements with drinking water unfit for human drinking, by county.....                              | 10 |
| F9. % IDP population living in IDP settlements with evidence of open defecation, by county.....  | 11 |
| F10. % returnee population living in returnee settlements with evidence of open defecation, by county.....                                       | 11 |
| F11. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with evidence of open defecation, by county.....   | 11 |
| F12. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements with evidence of open defecation, by county.....   | 11 |
| F13. % IDP population living in IDP settlements that have not been reached by a hygiene promotion campaign, by county.....                       | 12 |
| F14. % returnee population living in returnee settlements that have not been reached by a hygiene promotion campaign, by county.....             | 12 |
| F15. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements that have not been reached by a hygiene promotion campaign, by county.....                         | 12 |
| F16. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements that have not been reached by a hygiene promotion campaign, by county.....               | 12 |
| F17. % IDP population living in IDP settlements where separate male and female latrines are not available, by county.....                        | 13 |
| F18. % returnee population living in returnee settlements where separate male and female latrines are not available, by county.....              | 13 |
| F19. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements where separate male and female latrines are not available, by county.....                          | 13 |
| F20. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements where separate male and female latrines are not available, by county.....                | 13 |
| F21. % IDP population living in IDP settlements where toilets/bathrooms do not have locks from the inside, by county.....                        | 14 |
| F22. % returnee population living in returnee settlements where toilets/bathrooms do not have locks from the inside, by county.....              | 14 |
| F23. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements where toilets/bathrooms do not have locks from the inside, by county.....                          | 14 |
| F24. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements where toilets/bathrooms do not have locks from the inside, by county.....                | 14 |
| F25. % IDP population living in IDP settlements with reports of conflict-related security incidents*, by county.....                             | 15 |
| F26. % returnee population living in returnee settlements with reports of conflict-related security incidents*, by county.....                   | 15 |
| F27. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with reports of conflict-related security incidents*, by county.....                               | 15 |
| F28. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements with reports of conflict-related security incidents*, by county.....                     | 15 |
| F29. % IDP population living in IDP settlements with areas avoided by women and girls, by county.....  | 16 |
| F30. % returnee population living in returnee settlements with areas avoided by women and girls, by county.....                                  | 16 |
| F31. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with areas avoided by women and girls, by county.....  | 16 |
| F32. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements with areas avoided by women and girls, by county.....                                    | 16 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| F33. % IDP population living in IDP settlements with over 50% of collapsed shelters or shelters in danger of collapse, by county.....                | 17 |
| F34. % returnee population living in returnee settlements with over 50% of collapsed shelters or shelters in danger of collapse, by county.....      | 17 |
| F35. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with over 50% of collapsed shelters or shelters in danger of collapse, by county.....                  | 17 |
| F36. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements with over 50% of collapsed shelters or shelters in danger of collapse, by county.....        | 17 |
| F37. % IDP population living in IDP settlements without access to a local market selling NFIs, by county.....  | 18 |
| F38. % returnee population living in returnee settlements without access to a local market selling NFIs, by county.....                              | 18 |
| F39. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to a local market selling NFIs, by county.....  | 18 |
| F40. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to a local market selling NFIs, by county.....                                | 18 |
| F41. % IDP population living in IDP settlements without access to food, by county.....   | 19 |
| F42. % returnee population living in returnee settlements without access to food, by county.....   | 19 |
| F43. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to food, by county.....   | 19 |
| F44. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to food, by county.....   | 19 |
| F45. % IDP population living in IDP settlements without access to a local food market, by county.....  | 20 |
| F46. % returnee population living in returnee settlements without access to a local food market, by county.....                                      | 20 |
| F47. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to a local food market, by county.....  | 20 |
| F48. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to a local food market, by county.....  | 20 |
| F49. % IDP population living in IDP settlements without access to health care, by county.....  | 21 |
| F50. % returnee population living in returnee settlements without access to health care, by county.....  | 21 |
| F51. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to health care, by county.....  | 21 |
| F52. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to health care, by county.....  | 21 |
| F53. % IDP population living in IDP settlements without health facility or with the closest health facility >3km off-site*, by county.....           | 22 |
| F54. % returnee population living in returnee settlements without health facility or with the closest health facility >3km off-site*, by county..... | 22 |
| F55. % IDP population living in IDP settlements without health facility or with the closest health facility >3km off-site*, by county.....           | 22 |
| F56. % returnee population living in returnee settlements without health facility or with the closest health facility >3km off-site*, by county..... | 22 |
| F57. % IDP population living in IDP settlements located >6km from the nearest educational facility, by county.....                                   | 23 |
| F58. % returnee population living in returnee settlements located >6km from the nearest educational facility, by county.....                         | 23 |
| F59. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements located >6km from the nearest educational facility, by county.....                                     | 23 |
| F60. Number of returnees living in IDP settlements located >6km from the nearest educational facility, by county.....                                | 23 |
| F61. % IDP population living in IDP settlements with under 50% of children attending primary education, by county.....                               | 24 |
| F62. % returnee population living in returnee settlements with under 50% of children attending primary education, by county.....                     | 24 |
| F63. Number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with under 50% of children attending primary education, by county.....                                 | 24 |
| F64. Number of returnees living in returnee settlements with under 50% of children attending primary education, by county.....                       | 24 |

**COUNTY-LEVEL CHANGE BETWEEN ROUND 4 AND ROUND 5**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| F65. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements that are over 20 min away from the main water source (walking, one way), by county.....           | 26 |
| F66. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements that are over 20 min away from the main water source (walking, one way), by county..... | 26 |


|  |    |
|--|----|
| F67. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with drinking water unfit for human drinking, by county .....  | 26 |
| F68. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements with drinking water unfit for human drinking, by county.....                               | 26 |
| F69. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with evidence of open defecation, by county .....  | 27 |
| F70. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements with evidence of open defecation, by county.....   | 27 |
| F71. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements that have not been reached by a hygiene promotion campaign, by county.....                           | 27 |
| F72. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements that have not been reached by a hygiene promotion campaign, by county.....                 | 27 |
| F73. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements where separate male and female latrines are not available, by county .....                           | 28 |
| F74. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements where separate male and female latrines are not available, by county.....                  | 28 |
| F75. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements where toilets/bathrooms do not have locks from the inside, by county .....                           | 28 |
| F76. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements where toilets/bathrooms do not have locks from the inside, by county.....                  | 28 |
| F77. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with reports of conflict-related security incidents*, by county .....                                | 29 |
| F78. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements with reports of conflict-related security incidents*, by county.....                       | 29 |
| F79. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with areas avoided by women and girls, by county.....  | 29 |
| F80. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements with areas avoided by women and girls, by county.....                                      | 29 |
| F81. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with over 50% of collapsed shelters or shelters in danger of collapse, by county.....                | 30 |
| F82. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements with over 50% of collapsed shelters or shelters in danger of collapse, by county.....      | 30 |
| F83. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to a local market selling NFIs, by county .....                                       | 30 |
| F84. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to a local market selling NFIs, by county.....                              | 30 |
| F85. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to food, by county.....   | 31 |
| F86. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to food, by county .....  | 31 |
| F87. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to a local food market, by county.....  | 31 |
| F88. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to a local food market, by county.....                                      | 31 |
| F89. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without access to health care, by county .....   | 32 |
| F90. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements without access to health care, by county.....  | 32 |
| F91. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements without health facility or with the closest health facility >3km off-site*, by county .....          | 32 |
| F92. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements without health facility or with the closest health facility >3km off-site*, by county..... | 32 |
| F93. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements located >6km from the nearest educational facility, by county.....                                   | 33 |
| F94. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements located >6km from the nearest educational facility, by county.....                         | 33 |
| F95. Change in number of IDPs living in IDP settlements with under 50% of children attending primary education, by county.....                               | 33 |
| F96. Change in number of returnees living in returnee settlements with under 50% of children attending primary education, by county .....                    | 33 |

## COUNTY-LEVEL MULTI-SECTORAL NEEDS OVERVIEW




**COUNTY SUMMARY: WATER**


F1. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS THAT ARE OVER 20 MIN AWAY FROM THE MAIN WATER SOURCE (WALKING, ONE WAY), BY COUNTY




F2. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS THAT ARE OVER 20 MIN AWAY FROM THE MAIN WATER SOURCE (WALKING, ONE WAY), BY COUNTY



F3. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS THAT ARE OVER 20 MIN AWAY FROM THE MAIN WATER SOURCE (WALKING, ONE WAY), BY COUNTY




F4. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS THAT ARE OVER 20 MIN AWAY FROM THE MAIN WATER SOURCE (WALKING, ONE WAY), BY COUNTY




F1-4 are based on data from 1,686 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: WATER**


F5. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH DRINKING WATER UNFIT FOR HUMAN DRINKING, BY COUNTY




F6. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH DRINKING WATER UNFIT FOR HUMAN DRINKING, BY COUNTY



F7. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH DRINKING WATER UNFIT FOR HUMAN DRINKING, BY COUNTY




F8. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH DRINKING WATER UNFIT FOR HUMAN DRINKING, BY COUNTY




F5-8 are based on data from 1,703 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: HYGIENE**


F9. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH EVIDENCE OF OPEN DEFECACTION, BY COUNTY




F10. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH EVIDENCE OF OPEN DEFECACTION, BY COUNTY



F11. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH EVIDENCE OF OPEN DEFECACTION, BY COUNTY




F12. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH EVIDENCE OF OPEN DEFECACTION, BY COUNTY




F9-12 are based on data from 1,703 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: HYGIENE**


F13. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REACHED BY A HYGIENE PROMOTION CAMPAIGN, BY COUNTY




F14. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REACHED BY A HYGIENE PROMOTION CAMPAIGN, BY COUNTY



F15. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REACHED BY A HYGIENE PROMOTION CAMPAIGN, BY COUNTY




F16. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REACHED BY A HYGIENE PROMOTION CAMPAIGN, BY COUNTY




F13-16 are based on data from 1,708 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

## COUNTY SUMMARY: WASH & GBV RISKS


F17. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WHERE SEPARATE MALE AND FEMALE LATRINES ARE NOT AVAILABLE, BY COUNTY




F18. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WHERE SEPARATE MALE AND FEMALE LATRINES ARE NOT AVAILABLE, BY COUNTY



F19. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WHERE SEPARATE MALE AND FEMALE LATRINES ARE NOT AVAILABLE, BY COUNTY




F20. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WHERE SEPARATE MALE AND FEMALE LATRINES ARE NOT AVAILABLE, BY COUNTY




**COUNTY SUMMARY: WASH & GBV RISKS**


F21. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WHERE TOILETS/  
BATHROOMS DO NOT HAVE LOCKS FROM THE INSIDE, BY COUNTY




F22. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WHERE  
TOILETS/BATHROOMS DO NOT HAVE LOCKS FROM THE INSIDE, BY COUNTY



F23. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WHERE TOILETS/  
BATHROOMS DO NOT HAVE LOCKS FROM THE INSIDE, BY COUNTY




F24. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WHERE  
TOILETS/BATHROOMS DO NOT HAVE LOCKS FROM THE INSIDE, BY COUNTY




F21-24 are based on data from 370 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: PROTECTION**


F25. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH REPORTS OF CONFLICT-RELATED SECURITY INCIDENTS\*, BY COUNTY




F26. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH REPORTS OF CONFLICT-RELATED SECURITY INCIDENTS\*, BY COUNTY



F27. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH REPORTS OF CONFLICT-RELATED SECURITY INCIDENTS\*, BY COUNTY



F28. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH REPORTS OF CONFLICT-RELATED SECURITY INCIDENTS\*, BY COUNTY




\* Conflict-related incidents include: armed conflict, conflict over land and resources, friction among site residents and friction with host community


F25-28 are based on data from 1,712 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: PROTECTION**


F29. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH AREAS AVOIDED BY WOMEN AND GIRLS, BY COUNTY




F30. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH AREAS AVOIDED BY WOMEN AND GIRLS, BY COUNTY



F31. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH AREAS AVOIDED BY WOMEN AND GIRLS, BY COUNTY



F32. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH AREAS AVOIDED BY WOMEN AND GIRLS, BY COUNTY




F29-32 are based on data from 1,678 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.




**COUNTY SUMMARY: SHELTER AND NON-FOOD ITEMS**


F33. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH OVER 50% OF COLLAPSED SHELTERS OR SHELTERS IN DANGER OF COLLAPSE, BY COUNTY




F34. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH OVER 50% OF COLLAPSED SHELTERS OR SHELTERS IN DANGER OF COLLAPSE, BY COUNTY



F35. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH OVER 50% OF COLLAPSED SHELTERS OR SHELTERS IN DANGER OF COLLAPSE, BY COUNTY




F36. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH OVER 50% OF COLLAPSED SHELTERS OR SHELTERS IN DANGER OF COLLAPSE, BY COUNTY




F33-36 are based on data from 929 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: SHELTER AND NON-FOOD ITEMS**


F37. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL MARKET SELLING NFIs, BY COUNTY




F38. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL MARKET SELLING NFIs, BY COUNTY



F39. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL MARKET SELLING NFIs, BY COUNTY




F40. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL MARKET SELLING NFIs, BY COUNTY




F37-40 are based on data from 1,674 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: FOOD SECURITY**


F41. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FOOD, BY COUNTY




F42. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FOOD, BY COUNTY



F43. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FOOD, BY COUNTY




F44. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FOOD, BY COUNTY




F41-44 are based on data from 1,714 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: FOOD SECURITY**


F45. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL FOOD MARKET, BY COUNTY




F46. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL FOOD MARKET, BY COUNTY



F47. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL FOOD MARKET, BY COUNTY




F48. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL FOOD MARKET, BY COUNTY




F45-48 are based on data from 1,713 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: HEALTH**


F49. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE, BY COUNTY




F50. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE, BY COUNTY



F51. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE, BY COUNTY




F52. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE, BY COUNTY




F49-52 are based on data from 1,708 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: HEALTH**


F53. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT HEALTH FACILITY OR WITH THE CLOSEST HEALTH FACILITY >3KM OFF-SITE\*, BY COUNTY




F54. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT HEALTH FACILITY OR WITH THE CLOSEST HEALTH FACILITY >3KM OFF-SITE\*, BY COUNTY



F55. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT HEALTH FACILITY OR WITH THE CLOSEST HEALTH FACILITY >3KM OFF-SITE\*, BY COUNTY



F56. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT HEALTH FACILITY OR WITH THE CLOSEST HEALTH FACILITY >3KM OFF-SITE\*, BY COUNTY




\* Settlements visited by mobile clinics / health extension workers are not counted among those >3km off-site from the closest health facility.


F53-56 are based on data from 1,684 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: EDUCATION**


F57. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS LOCATED >6KM FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATIONAL FACILITY, BY COUNTY




F58. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS LOCATED >6KM FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATIONAL FACILITY, BY COUNTY



F59. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS LOCATED >6KM FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATIONAL FACILITY, BY COUNTY




F60. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS LOCATED >6KM FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATIONAL FACILITY, BY COUNTY




F57-60 are based on data from 1,688 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.

**COUNTY SUMMARY: EDUCATION**


F61. % IDP POPULATION LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH UNDER 50% OF CHILDREN ATTENDING PRIMARY EDUCATION, BY COUNTY




F62. % RETURNEE POPULATION LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH UNDER 50% OF CHILDREN ATTENDING PRIMARY EDUCATION, BY COUNTY



F63. NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH UNDER 50% OF CHILDREN ATTENDING PRIMARY EDUCATION, BY COUNTY



F64. NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH UNDER 50% OF CHILDREN ATTENDING PRIMARY EDUCATION, BY COUNTY




F61-64 are based on data from 1,699 settlements for which the indicator in question was available in Round 5.




## COUNTY-LEVEL CHANGE BETWEEN ROUND 4 AND ROUND 5

**R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: WATER**


F65. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS THAT ARE OVER 20 MIN AWAY FROM THE MAIN WATER SOURCE (WALKING, ONE WAY), BY COUNTY




F66. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS THAT ARE OVER 20 MIN AWAY FROM THE MAIN WATER SOURCE (WALKING, ONE WAY), BY COUNTY



F67. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH DRINKING WATER UNFIT FOR HUMAN DRINKING, BY COUNTY




F68. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH DRINKING WATER UNFIT FOR HUMAN DRINKING, BY COUNTY




The figures are based on data from 1,081 (F65-66) / 1,104 (F67-68) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.

**R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: HYGIENE**


F69. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH EVIDENCE OF OPEN DEFECACTION, BY COUNTY




F70. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH EVIDENCE OF OPEN DEFECACTION, BY COUNTY



F71. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REACHED BY A HYGIENE PROMOTION CAMPAIGN, BY COUNTY




F72. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN REACHED BY A HYGIENE PROMOTION CAMPAIGN, BY COUNTY




The figures are based on data from 1,121 (F69-70) / 1,102 (F71-72) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.

**R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: WASH & GBV RISKS**


F73. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WHERE SEPARATE MALE AND FEMALE LATRINES ARE NOT AVAILABLE, BY COUNTY




F74. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WHERE SEPARATE MALE AND FEMALE LATRINES ARE NOT AVAILABLE, BY COUNTY



F75. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WHERE TOILETS/BATHROOMS DO NOT HAVE LOCKS FROM THE INSIDE, BY COUNTY




F76. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WHERE TOILETS/BATHROOMS DO NOT HAVE LOCKS FROM THE INSIDE, BY COUNTY




The figures are based on data from 139 (F73-74) / 155 (F75-76) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.

**R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: PROTECTION**

F77. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH REPORTS OF CONFLICT-RELATED SECURITY INCIDENTS\*, BY COUNTY




F78. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH REPORTS OF CONFLICT-RELATED SECURITY INCIDENTS\*, BY COUNTY




\* Conflict-related incidents include: armed conflict, conflict over land and resources, friction among site residents and friction with host community

F79. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH AREAS AVOIDED BY WOMEN AND GIRLS, BY COUNTY




F80. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH AREAS AVOIDED BY WOMEN AND GIRLS, BY COUNTY




The figures are based on data from 998 (F77-78) / 1,036 (F79-80) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.

**R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: SHELTER AND NON-FOOD ITEMS**


F81. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH OVER 50% OF COLLAPSED SHELTERS OR SHELTERS IN DANGER OF COLLAPSE, BY COUNTY




F82. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH OVER 50% OF COLLAPSED SHELTERS OR SHELTERS IN DANGER OF COLLAPSE, BY COUNTY



F83. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL MARKET SELLING NFIs, BY COUNTY




F84. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL MARKET SELLING NFIs, BY COUNTY




The figures are based on data from 348 (F81-82) / 1,065 (F83-84) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.

### R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: FOOD SECURITY


F85. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FOOD, BY COUNTY




F86. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO FOOD, BY COUNTY



F87. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL FOOD MARKET, BY COUNTY




F88. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO A LOCAL FOOD MARKET, BY COUNTY




The figures are based on data from 1,121 (F85-86) / 1,120 (F87-88) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.

**R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: HEALTH**


F89. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE, BY COUNTY




F90. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE, BY COUNTY



F91. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT HEALTH FACILITY OR WITH THE CLOSEST HEALTH FACILITY >3KM OFF-SITE\*, BY COUNTY



F92. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITHOUT HEALTH FACILITY OR WITH THE CLOSEST HEALTH FACILITY >3KM OFF-SITE\*, BY COUNTY




\* Settlements visited by mobile clinics / health extension workers are not counted among those >3km off-site from the closest health facility.  
The figures are based on data from 1,116 (F89-90) / 1,088 (F91-92) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.




**R4-R5 CHANGE BY COUNTY: EDUCATION**


F93. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS LOCATED >6KM FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATIONAL FACILITY, BY COUNTY




F94. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS LOCATED >6KM FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATIONAL FACILITY, BY COUNTY



F95. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF IDPs LIVING IN IDP SETTLEMENTS WITH UNDER 50% OF CHILDREN ATTENDING PRIMARY EDUCATION, BY COUNTY



F96. CHANGE IN NUMBER OF RETURNEES LIVING IN RETURNEE SETTLEMENTS WITH UNDER 50% OF CHILDREN ATTENDING PRIMARY EDUCATION, BY COUNTY



The figures are based on data from 1,083 (F93-94) / 1,098 (F95-96) settlements for which the indicators in question were available for both Round 4 and 5.