

# Ethiopia: Site Assessment Durable Solutions Index

Based on Round 22
Site Assessment Data



Round 5 | 18 October 2020

Site Name Region		Elmahan Somali	Semen Tigray	Hawelti Tigray	Halgan Somali	Burgab Somali	Bello Oromia	Dedesa Oromia	Jirma Oromia	Bereda Bello Oromia	Meda Jalela Oromia
Cause of Displacement		Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Drought	Drought	Drought	Drought	Drought
Number of IDPs		46,348	7,177	4,629	13,800	32,500	6,328	6,866	7,201	2,919	1,589
Physical Safety	1. Long term safety and security	9.7	8.6	8.6	10.0	8.3	7.4	8.3	8.3	7.4	7.7
Material Safety	2. Adequate standard of living	2.0	5.3	5.8	3.3	2.8	3.5	2.8	1.5	3.5	2.8
\$ 14	3. Employment and livelihoods	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	4.5	6.0	5.6	6.8	6.8
	4. Housing, land and property	6.7	8.0	7.3	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	6.0	9.3	10.0
Legal Safety	5. Documentation	4.0	10.0	10.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	8.0	8.0

#### **Objective**

The purpose of the DTM Ethiopia Durable Solutions Index is to determine a benchmark and implement subsequent monitoring to explore and measure the progress of IDP populations towards overcoming displacement-related vulnerabilities by examining specific criteria outlined within the IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC), 2010. IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons. Available at: https://interagencystandingcommittee.org/system/files/iasc\_framework\_on\_durable\_solutions\_for\_idps\_april\_2010.pdf.





Publication Date: 18 October 2020

Starting from this round, 10 additional sites were chosen to be part of this Durable Solutions Index Report. 5 sites in Afar region and 5 sites in Gambela region were selected so that the progress that IDPs make towards overcoming displacement-related vulnerabilities can be monitored.

Site	Site Name		Gonit- abirka	Hadelela Center	Daledora	Bododa	Leitchour	Berehane Selam	Yahol and Gilo	Poljay	Katir Center
Region		Afar	Afar	Afar	Afar	Afar	Gambela	Gambela	Gambela	Gambela	Gambela
Cause of Displacement		Flash Flood	Conflict	Drought	Conflict	Conflict	Seasonal Flood	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict
			*	*1	*	*		*	*	*	*
Numbe	Number of IDPs		1,572	1,896	2,891	2,093	3,047	2,777	3,506	2,912	11,811
Physical Safety	1. Long term safety and security	10.0	8.9	9.1	10.0	10.0	7.4	8.9	7.7	8.6	7.4
Material Safety	2. Adequate standard of living	6.5	4.3	4.5	3.5	6.0	3.0	3.8	3.3	4.0	3.3
\$	3. Employ- ment and livelihoods	4.8	5.2	4.8	4.8	4.0	9.2	7.2	9.6	2.8	8.0
	4. Housing, land and property	6.7	6.7	6.0	6.7	6.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	8.0
Legal Safety	5. Docu- mentation	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	_	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0

<sup>\*</sup>The indicator '10.1.f.1 Do the majority of people have ID' was not answered in Boboda site and thus there is no result for the criteria of documentationfor this round.





Publication Date: 18 October 2020

## **Changes Since the Previous Round**

The 10 sites on page 1 have been analyzed since the first edition of the Durable Solutions Index Report which was first published on 30 October 2019.

The previous Durable Solutions Index Report was based on round 21's Site Assessment data which was collected from 1 to 29 February 2020. This round's report is based on round 22's Site Assessment data which was collected from 1 June to 5 July 2020. The matrix below depicts changes to these 10 sites since the previous round:

Site Name Region		Elmahan Somali	Semen	Hawelti Tigray	Halgan Somali	Burgab Somali	Bello Oromia	Dedesa Oromia	Jirma Oromia	Bereda Bello Oromia	Meda Jalela Oromia
Cause of Displacement		Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Drought	Drought	Drought	Drought	Drought
Number of IDPs		+12,363	0	+21	+7,192	+20,385	-118	-560	-171	-209	-157
Physical Safety	1. Long term safety and security	-0.3	-0.8	-0.8	0.0	-1.7	-0.6	+3.3	-1.7	-0.6	-2.3
Material Safety	2. Adequate standard of living	-3.8	-1.5	-2.0	-2.0	-2.5	-0.5	-1.7	-4.3	-1.3	-1.2
\$ 64	3. Employment and livelihoods	-3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.5	+0.5	+0.8	0.0	+2.8	+2.8
9	4. Housing, land and property	0.0	-2.0	-2.7	-0.7	+1.3	0.0	-2.0	-4.0	-0.7	0.0
Legal Safety	5. Documentation	-6.0	0.0	0.0	-6.0	+4.0	+2.0	+2.0	-8.0	+8.0	+8.0





Publication Date: 18 October 2020

#### **Background**

The DTM Ethiopia Durable Solutions Index (DSI) is an analytical framework for quantifying the progress of IDPs towards overcoming specific vulnerabilities related to reaching durable solutions in Ethiopia. The index matches data collected by the Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM)'s Site Assessment against the 5 core criteria of the IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons to determine the extent to which a durable solution has been achieved.<sup>1</sup>

Site Assessment is IOM's tool for collecting data on the number and location of IDPs, along with their multisectoral needs. The information is collected through key informant interviews, focus group discussions and direct observation. Site Assessment is conducted every 3 months.

According to the IASC Framework, a durable solution is achieved when "internally displaced persons (IDPs) no longer have any specific assistance and protection needs that are linked to their displacement and can enjoy their human rights without discrimination on account of their displacement". These 5 core criteria include long term safety, security and freedom of movement; adequate standard of living; access to livelihoods and employment; restoration of housing, land and property; and access to documentation.

For analytical purposes, these 5 criteria are further categorized into physical, material and legal safety as recommended by the Regional Durable Solutions Secretariat (ReDSS).<sup>2</sup>

#### Methodology

This index evaluates the progress of IDPs towards overcoming displacement-related vulnerabilities in 20 selected sites in Ethiopia. The following is the initial site selection criteria for the first 10 sites (on page 1):

- 5 sites hosting conflict-affected IDPs and 5 sites hosting climate-affected IDPs for cross comparative purposes.
- The site type is host community.
- A site where the majority of the displaced population prefer to locally integrate.

- A site with protracted displacement: where the site has been opened for at least over 1 year.
- A site with a sizeable displacement caseload: in the events where several sites meet the 4 criteria above, the site with the largest displacement caseload is prioritized.

The additional 10 sites (on page 2) were selected based on partners' information needs and is being used to guide durable solutions related programming in Afar and Gambela.

This composite index is made up of 24 indicators from the DTM Site Assessment and treated as sub-criteria in measuring the 5 core criteria of the IASC Framework. Answers are weighed on a scale of 0 to 5. A pass (5)/no pass (0) approach is applied for indicators with binary variables. For example, if IDPs have access to a healthy facility, this sub-criteria receives a score of 5, and if they do not have access to a health facility, this sub-criteria receives a score of 0. On the other hand, indicators with polychotomous variables are applied for indicators with more than 2 possible answers. For instance, the percentage of households in the site who currently have a source of income is weighed based on percentage brackets whereby 0% is 0, 1-20% = 1, 21-40% = 2, 41-60% = 3, 61-80% = 4, 81-100% = 5. To view the full scoring system, kindly refer to Annex 1.

Each criteria is the average of the sum of all the sub-criteria categorized under it.<sup>3</sup> For example, the calculation for the first criteria of long term safety and security is the average of all 7 sub-criteria under it. If the indicator for security incidents is 5, whether women feel safe is 0, whether men feel safe is 5, whether boys feel safe is 5, whether girls feel safe is 0, the relationship amongst IDPs is 3 and the relationship between IDPs with host communities is 0, then the initial score for the criteria of long term safety and security is 2.6.

The score for each criteria is then multiplied by 2 to get a final score which ranges on a scale of 0 to 10. Thus, in the example of measuring the criteria for long term safety and security, the final calculation and score is  $2.6 \times 2 = 5.2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Regional Durable Solutions Secretariat (ReDSS), 2016. Review of Durable Solutions Initiatives in East and Horn of Africa. Available at: https://reliefweb.int/report/somalia/review-durable-solutions-initiatives-east-and-horn-africa-good-practices-challenges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> However, indicators where the answer is unknown are not factored into the average score of the criteria.





Publication Date: 18 October 2020

#### Limitations

This composite index has several limitations, but are not limited to the following:

- Data was sourced from DTM's Site Assessment using focus group discussions and was not obtained through household-level surveys. As such, additional assessments conducted at household-level are encouraged.
- The indicators used to calculate the scores are not exhaustive and should be supplemented with other data sources to ensure the robustness of the calculations where possible. For example, as there is only one indicator in the Site Assessment relevant to the criteria of documentation, a score of 10 should not be interpreted as all IDPs necessarily having access to documentation.
- Findings are at best only able to capture a snapshot of IDPs' progress towards overcoming displacement related vulnerabilities achieving durable solutions as of the time of data collection and may not be able to capture the dynamic changes in IDPs' conditions by the time of reporting.
- In line with the methodology of the Site Assessment, questions asked are applied to the majority of the target population and thus may not represent the accessibility of all IDPs. For instance, the indicator 'on average, how long do IDPs queue for water?' applies to the majority of IDPs. This means that while the answer may be 16-30 minutes, there may well be several IDPs who queue for more than 60 minutes, and the data should be understood with such caveats.

Women and children are approaching an IOM vehicle as a team of DTM enumerators are arriving to conduct Site Assessment in a site in Afar region, Ethiopia







Publication Date: 18 October 2020

## Annex 1 Durable Solutions Index Scoring System

	IASC Criteria	Sub-Criteria	Answers	Score	
Phys-	1. Long term safety and security	10.1.e.1 Do security incidents occur in the site?	Yes, no, unknown	Yes = 0, no = 5 , unknown = n/a	
ical Safety		10.3.a.3 How safe do women feel in the site?	Unsafe, mostly unsafe, somewhat unsafe, mostly safe, very safe, unknown	Unsafe = 0, mostly unsafe = 1, somewhat unsafe = 2, mostly safe= 4, very safe = 5, unknown	
		10.3.a.1 How safe to men feel in the site?	Unsafe, mostly unsafe, somewhat unsafe, mostly safe, very safe, unknown	Unsafe = 0, mostly unsafe = 1, somewhat unsafe = 2, mostly safe= 4, very safe = 5, unknown	
		10.3.a.8 How safe do girls feel in the site?	Unsafe, mostly unsafe, somewhat unsafe, mostly safe, very safe, unknown	Unsafe = 0, mostly unsafe = 1, somewhat unsafe = 2, mostly safe= 4, very safe = 5, unknown	
		10.3.a.9 How safe do boys feel in the site?	Unsafe, mostly unsafe, somewhat unsafe, mostly safe, very safe, unknown	Unsafe = 0, mostly unsafe = 1, somewhat unsafe = 2, mostly safe= 4, very safe = 5, unknown	
		10.2.n.1 Relationship amongst IDPs	Very bad, bad, fair, good, very good, unknown	Excellent = 5, good = 3, poor = 0, unknown = n/a	
		10.2.o.1 IDPs Relationship with Host Communities	Very bad, bad, fair, good, very good, unknown	Very bad = 0, bad = 1, fair = 3, good = 4, very good = 5, unknown = n/a	
Ma- terial	2. Ad- equate	3.2.a.1 Percentage of households with access to electricity.	None, <25%, 25-50%, 51-75%, >75%, unknown	>75% = 5, 51-75% = 4 25-50% = 2, <25% = 1, none = 0, unknown = n/a	
Safety	standard of living	4.1.a.1 Location of the site's main water distribution point (walking, one-way).	On-site (<20 minutes), on-site (>20 minutes), off-site (<20 minutes), off-site (>20 minutes), unknown	More than 20 mins = 0, less than 20 minutes = 5, unknown = n/a	
		4.1.c.2 On average, how long do IDPs queue for water?	No wait, <15 mins, 16-30minutes, 31-60 minutes, >60 minutes	No wait = 5, <15 mins = 4, 16-30minutes = 3, 31- 60 minutes = 2, >60 minutes = 1	
		S1491. Average number of water jerrycans/ buckets (20L) collected per household per day.	#	Using the SPHERE standard of 15 liters per person per day. If the standard is met = 5, if not = 0.	
		5.1.a.1 Is there access to food?	Yes on site, yes off site, no	Yes = 5, no= 0 (on-site or off-site is irrelevant here).	
		5.1.e.1 Do IDPs on the site have access to a market?	Yes, yes with reduced hours, no, no temporarily closed due to COVID-19, unknown	Yes = 5, yes with reduced hours = 5, no = 0, no temporarily closed due to COVID-19 = 0, unknown	
		7.2.a.1 Access to health facility?	Yes, no, unknown	Yes = 5, no= 0, unknown = n/a	
		S1527. Access to primary (formal or temporary) for children from displaced households?	Yes, yes remote learning, no, no temporarily closed due to COVID-19, unknown	Yes = 5, yes remote learning = 5, no = 0, no temporarily closed due to COVID-19 = 0, unknown	
	3. Employ- ment and livelihoods	9.2.a.1 Percentage of households in the site who currently have a source of income.	%	0% = 0, 1-20% = 1, 21-40% = 2, 41-60% = 3, 61- 80% = 4, 81-100% = 5	
		9.2.i.1 Current access to income generating activities.	Yes, no, unknown	Yes = 5, no= 0, unknown = n/a	
		9.3.a.2 Is there livestock on site?	Yes, no, unknown	Yes = 5, no= 0, unknown = n/a	
		9.3.a.3 If yes, % of households owning livestock	%	Only calculated if the answer to the previous question is yes. 0% = 0, 1-20% = 1, 21-40% = 2, 41-60% = 3, 61-80% = 4, 81-100% = 5.	
		9.3.a.4 Do IDPs have access to land for cultivation at or near the site?	Yes <25%, Yes 25-50%, Yes 51-75%, Yes >75%, no, unknown	No = 0, <25% = 1, 25-50% = 2, 51-75% = 4, >75% = 5, unknown = n/a	
	4.Housing, land and property	3.8.p.7 Percentage of households living in standard temporary shelters or culturally inappropriate shelters?	None, <25%, 25-50%, 51-75%, >75%, unknown	None = 5, <25% = 4, 25-50% = 2, 51-75% = 1, >75% = 0, unknown = n/a	
		3.8.p.8 Percentage of households living in shelters that are below standard	None, <25%, 25-50%, 51-75%, >75%, unknown	None = 5, <25% = 4, 25-50% = 2, 51-75% = 1, >75% = 0, unknown = n/a	
		S1489 Percentage of households living in over- crowded shelters	%	0% = 5, <25% = 4, 25-50% = 2, 51-75% = 1, >75% = 0	
Legal Safety	5. Docu- mentation	10.1.f.1 Do the majority of people have ID card or other documentation?	<25%, 25-50%, 51-75%, >75%, unknown	<25% = 1, 25-50% =2, 51-75% = 4, >75% = 5, unknown	